The VIP Paedophile Ring Fantasy Exposed By The BBC

By Alexander Baron - Oct 9, 2015




Paedophile Ring Fantasy Exposed By The BBC

12 SHARES

At last, after more than two years of hysteria, dragging the names of innocent men alive and dead through the mud, the BBC has come up with a documentary worth watching about the witch-hunt that resulted from the hatchet job on the late Jimmy Savile. There will be and indeed already are those who have denounced *The VIP Paedophile Ring:*What's The Truth? their voices deserve to be heard, and then laughed at, or in some cases they need to be prosecuted for any number of offences starting with wasting police time.

The VIP Paedophile Ring Fantasy Exposed By The BBC



Jimmy Savile


If you are not *au fait* with this nonsense, refresh your memory here and follow the links as desired. The programme begins with an outline of the case, accusations and denials.

Chief fantasist, the still anonymous Nick, claims to have been sexually abused as a child over a nine year period at various locations by powerful men, including politicians. A claim of this nature warrants if not summary dismissal then extremely careful investigation, and a warning to the accuser that if he is caught out in a bare-faced lie he will be prosecuted to the full extent of the law. Did this or will this happen? Of course not.

It is certainly possible for one politician, maybe a couple, to engage in unspeakable acts with the young, but the more who are said to be involved and the more grotesque the allegations, the least likely are they to be true. Having said that, no one could accuse the police of being afraid to grasp the nettle. Fortunately for those caught up in this madness, like Harvey Proctor, it has not been possible to frame them for imaginary crimes. It is one thing to stitch up a world famous entertainer for groping an underage girl, it is quite another to charge men with the murder of one or even three boys and take that case to court on what?

There is a lot crammed into this documentary, including the fiction that Jimmy Savile was the most prolific opportunistic paedophile this country has ever seen. This piece of nonsense is endorsed by Peter Spindler, the man who oversaw that investigation. There is also some comment from Peter Saunders of the National Association for People Abused in Childhood, a registered charity that claims to assist *survivors* but which is really part of the abuse industry, perpetuating myths in the guise of refuting them. Any organisation that has *The Courage To Heal* on its reading list should be given a wide berth; this is one of the most toxic books ever written.

Ian Macfadyen claims to have been the victim of a paedophile ring. Although it is not mentioned here, he was a classmate of Nick Clegg. A number of teachers at his school were indeed convicted of sexual offences against boys, but it remains to be seen if they were all guilty or the victims of a trawl. Like many self-styled survivors of child sexual abuse, Mcfadyen blames these experiences for ruining his life. By his own admission (elsewhere) he took heroin at the age of 12, at 14 had sex with men, stole, abused solvents, and was expelled from school at 15.



Elm Guest House list

We see fleeting footage of Charles Napier, who is not named but is definitely a paedophile of the most odious kind, but we hear nothing of him. What we do hear though is the origin of the infamous *Elm Guest House* list. This was drawn up by Chris Fay, who claims it is based on documents he was shown by Carole Kasir, the co-owner of this notorious establishment. Casir committed suicide in 1990, which is grist to the mill for the conspiratards. However, anyone who knows anything about contemporary Britain will realise this list is bunk. At the top is Leon Brittan while in fifth place is Colin Jordan, who was listed as a leader of the National Front. Colin Jordan was never even a member of the National Front! Rather, until his death in 2009 he was Britain's leading National Socialist theorist; his views on homosexuals were no more flattering than his views on Jews. Are we really expected to believe he would have engaged in obscene acts with a senior Jewish Conservative politician, Leon Brittan?

Broadly speaking there are two likely explanations for this list, either Carole Kasir was playing a trick on Fay, or Fay made it up out of the whole cloth. Leaving aside Fay's 2011 conviction for fraud, one of the *survivors* who appears in this programme accuses Fay of putting words in his mouth. Which brings us to "Nick".

The biggest problem with historical investigations of this kind is the lack of a paper trail, but one of the three murders Nick claims to have witnessed is that of a boy who was run down in the street by a car. The *Panorama* team claim to have checked out this, and surprise, surprise, there is no paper trail for the crime concerned. Which tends to indicate that it never happened, even though they did not have a full name to go on.

The *Exaro* website is behind this nonsense. According to *Panorama*, Nick had previously accused his (deceased) stepfather of abusing him; following the exposure so-called of Jimmy Savile, Nick made allegations against him too, claiming Savile was part of a paedophile ring. Peter Saunders says he has no problem believing Nick, because why would he (a professional person) or indeed anyone make up such fantastic stories? How about because he is a head case who enjoys taking saps like you for a ride?



Allegations are that abuse took place in Dolphin Square

Shortly he concedes that survivors (his word) do sometimes get facts wrong because memory plays all sorts of tricks on you, and as you age, memory fades, it can even change, but the fundamental memory of something terrible happening will not change. Oh yes it will! And let's not talk about other things that can make memories change, including alcohol, drugs, brain damage, and perhaps the biggest of all wherever the media is concerned, money.

If the *Elm Guest House* allegations beggar belief, those concerning Dolphin Square are if anything even sillier, because while the former was undoubtedly used for trivial sex "offences", the latter is an estate close to the Palace of Westminster which is used by many politicians. The police have a heavy presence in the area, which begs the question, were they in on it too? If we are to believe some of these nutters, everyone from your local constable to Prince Phillip is involved. Fortunately, we don't have to, even though the MP Tom Watson was taken in by Chris Fay, and had no problem with naming Leon Brittan under Parliamentary privilege. As far as Fay's allegations can be checked, they do not check out.

Like fantasist Nick, Darren has been used as a source by the *Exaro* website. He has also been convicted of making bomb hoaxes, and has confessed falsely to both murder and rape. If *Panorama* could find this out, then presumably so could the police, who don't have the same excuses as the lowlife at *Exaro*. And so it goes on.

There is an unfortunate admission by Spindler, when you have so many people coming forward saying essentially the same thing about so many individuals, this convinces juries. He says the thousands of people who have come forward in the past and who will come forward in the future cannot all be making it up. This is a sentiment of which Matthew Hopkins himself would approve, and is the main reason we have already seen so many terrible miscarriages of justice on both sides of the Atlantic, not only of celebrities but of teachers, care staff, and even nursery workers, women as well as men.

This opinion article was written by an independent writer. The opinions and views expressed herein are those of the author and are not necessarily intended to reflect those of TheLatestNews.com