The Latest News

Ken Clarke Fantasist Ben Fellows Acquitted



CRIME FEATURED GNEWS

NEWS POLITICS

By Alexander Baron



82 SHARES

While the Operation Yewtree witch-hunt has landed one of our biggest stars behind bars and dragged the names of many others through the mud, there do appear to be limits for even the

Metropolitan Police.

Having said that, the former child actor Ben Fellows has now been cleared of making false

allegations against one of the elder statesmen of British politics. Unlike most of his kind, Ken

Clarke is widely liked by all his peers and most of the politically aware public. Now 75 years old, Mr

Clarke has served as both Chancellor of the Exchequer and Home Secretary; he continues to serve

as Member of Parliament for Rushcliffe, having first entered the House in 1970. Prior to that he

contested Mansfield in both March 1966 and October 1964. He is also about the unlikeliest

person one could imagine groping underage boys, but unfortunately that counts for nothing in our believe the "victim" or else culture.

Fortunately, at the time this ectoplasmic groping took place, 1994, Mr Clarke was in office, as Chancellor, which means that he would have had a full diary, and no free time to scuttle round to the offices of the lobbyist Ian Greer for a spot of paedophilia. Fellows did not make this allegation until 2012. It should be pointed out that Mr Clarke is far from the only person to have been falsely accused by Fellows in this manner. This serial fantasist has pointed the finger at many people employed by the BBC, and indeed at both a world famous A List actor and one of our most distinguished composers, the latter in a YouTube video that has been blocked by the UK.

Fellows was arrested in 2013 for perverting the course of justice after attending Charing Cross Police Station. After being bailed he decamped to Lanzarotte where he now appears to live in spite of press reports to the contrary; he was arrested at Birmingham International Airport on his return, and was remanded in custody.

He stood trial at the Central Criminal Court before Mr Justice Simon. An order was made under Section 4(2) of the *Contempt of Court Act* 1981, which meant in practice publication of any reporting about the case would be delayed until the end of legal proceedings, which does not necessarily mean the case in question. The *Exaro* website claims to have been responsible for the lifting of this restriction, however, it is not really possible to quelch coverage when someone of Mr Clarke's stature is involved; on July 27, he took the stand saying the allegation was preposterous. He also gave an insight into what is always alluded to by unthinking conspiratards and other gullibles as a cover-up.

"I was first alerted by my son, who uses the internet, and he spotted somebody called Ben Fellows was making rather nasty allegations against me on the internet which upset my son and upset me as well."

He added: "My staff made attempts to get it taken down but it was hopeless."

And "By the time the police arrived I was aware what there was on the internet and newspapers. This guy for some reason was making this allegation against me over and over again trying to publicise it."





Ben Fellows

We see this again and again, people try to, and perhaps succeed in, removing defamatory material from the public domain, in some cases the authorities do this, and shred the papers including witness statements to protect the innocent. Then years or decades later someone comes along and claims the police, the local authority and Uncle Tom Cobbleigh and all have been protecting paedophiles. This is what happened in the case of the ludicrous Hampstead Satanic abuse hoax.

When Fellows took the stand in his own defence, he stood by his claims, even though the notorious Roger Cook – for whom he claimed to have been working at the time – said he'd never heard of him. He was cleared after eight hours deliberation by the jury. Is it possible that even an OJ Simpson jury could have swallowed this? Unfortunately we will never know because unlike in the US, jurors are not permitted to comment on cases after a verdict, but it is most likely they recognised him for what he is, a fantasist rather than a liar in the normal sense of the word. If he had simply accused Mr Clarke and no one else, their verdict would most likely have been different.

Naturally, the people behind *Exaro* are ecstatic, and no doubt we will now see all manner of allegations being spread about the hapless Mr Clarke and Heaven knows who else in the Conservative Party. Who knows, they might even accuse one or two of them of snorting cocaine or consorting with prostitutes. Stranger things have happened, after all.

82 SHARES

http://www.thelatestnews.com/ken-clarke-fantasist-ben-fellows-acquitted/