

RODNEY REED — Murderer And Serial Rapist

In April 1996, Rodney Reed raped and murdered Stacey Stites at Bastrop, Texas. Texas is the wrong place in America to commit a murder; in 1998, the year Reed was convicted, it executed nineteen men and one woman. A reasonable person would have expected or at least hoped Reed would have been executed well before 2021, when this video was made, but campaigning by braindead celebrities in addition to a series of spurious appeals based on distortions, half-truths and outright lies has not only kept him alive but has led to further evidentiary hearings.

For the most part, the mainstream media has parroted the lies of Reed and his supporters more or less uncritically. Stacey Stites lived with police officer Jimmy Fennell in Giddings, which is over 23 miles from Bastrop - the distance varies according to the route taken. Stacey's mother lived in the apartment below them. Stacey and Fennell were to be married less than three weeks after her murder.



In October 2007, Fennell disgraced his uniform by sexually violating a drunken woman in his custody. She reported him at once, and he tried, perhaps with some collusion from fellow officers, to make her retract her claim. Then she was arrested. The following year, Fennell was given a ten year sentence for that crime, and served over nine years of it; this was a sentence that was no picnic for a man who is both a sex offender and a former police officer. That fact coupled with Fennell being a suspect in the Stites murder has led to Reed's supporters claiming it was he who murdered her, and some have even fabricated Fennell confessions.

Fennell was a suspect primarily because all relatives, friends, associates, neighbours and workmates are always suspects in a very general sense when somebody is murdered, unless it is an open and shut case. Investigators appear to have gone the extra mile and then some to put Fennell in the frame, but it should have taken a week or two at most to clear him because the crime scene photographs and other evidence show it was

impossible for him to have committed the crime and got back to Giddings in time. The crime scene photographs can be found at the Internet Archive.

Stacey left for work at 3am to start work at 3.30 in Bastrop at the H-E-B store; she was driving Fennell's truck. At 6.45am, her mother received a phone call from her place of work informing her that Stacey hadn't turned up. Fennell was at home. Stacey's body was found dumped in woodland the same afternoon. The truck was abandoned some time between 3.30am and 5am.

Because he couldn't have murdered Stacey in the time frame alleged, Reed's supporters have tried to fudge the time of death, but this doesn't work. Stacey was dressed in her working clothes, and frankly it is ridiculous to suggest that Fennell murdered her at home then dumped her body and staged the crime scene, and just as ridiculous to claim he accompanied her to Bastrop and murdered her there.

Although Jimmy Fennell never confessed to murdering Stacey, someone else did. In May 1996, just nine days after the Stites murder, Mary Ann Arldt was murdered. She was thirty-three and a married woman. The obvious conclusion here was that a serial killer was on the loose. Obvious but wrong. Mrs Arldt was murdered by a white dude named David Lawhon who boasted about the killing, *and* the Stites killing. He was convicted of the Arldt murder and won't be released until he is an old man if at all. He was cleared of the Stites murder because unlike Reed, his semen wasn't found inside her. We can thank Linda Schlueter for bringing Reed to book.

In November 1996, late at night, Reed asked Linda for a ride home. Kindly but perhaps foolishly she agreed. He ended up trying to rape her and would almost certainly have murdered her as well, but she fought back against a much bigger man, who had once been a promising amateur boxer, and managed to hold him off until another car came by. Reed settled for stealing her car, which he dumped close to his mother's house, as he had done Jimmy Fennell's truck. Linda reported the incident and picked out Reed's photograph.



Reed's campaigners including his brother claim he is the victim of racism. And a police conspiracy. The truth is the only conspiracy at work here is the one to exonerate Reed, and it has quite a few actors who are either wilfully blind to Reed's guilt or as stupid as he is. Reed has an IQ of 83.



After being questioned about the attack on Linda, the racist police released Reed without bond. Linda it will be recalled was the racist white girl who gave a strange black man a lift late at night.

When the similarity of the attack on Linda was recognised, Reed was called in for questioning again, and by this time, the police had other evidence. Reed's DNA was on file from another sex crime, of which more shortly. The police gave Reed the impression they were investigating a drugs case then asked him if he knew Stacey Stites. He denied knowing her, and signed a statement to that effect. It was only after he was charged with her murder that he claimed not only to have known her but to have been having a clandestine affair with her, basically sex and nothing else. There was no credible evidence of this at the time, and there is still no credible evidence.

There is also the little matter of Reed's saliva on Stacey's breast. The idea that his sperm was still in her vagina days after they had sex isn't tenable, but his saliva remaining on her breast, that beggars belief.

Reed claimed he had kept this phantom affair secret because Stacey was white, this from a man who had a series of relationships with white women as well as committing a series of rapes on them.

In August 1987, when still a teenager, Reed was accused of raping this young woman in Wichita Falls.



They knew each other from school. She claimed he had attacked her as she arrived home, forced her inside, and raped her. Reed claimed the sex was consensual admitting only that he had slapped her, after she had insulting him. Accusing him of rape, perhaps?

Interestingly, Reed initially denied any sexual contact with the victim, but when he realised the evidence was against him, he claimed the sex was consensual. There are times when a man might deny having sex with a woman when accused of rape, out of shame perhaps, if she was a prostitute, or if he were married, but does that ring true here?

The case didn't come to trial until 1991, and a racist court acquitted him of rape, although he was convicted, on his own admission, of assault and given a six month sentence. Reed's brother has gone on record denying he had been convicted of any crime of this nature.

Here is one for you feminist supporters of Reed, especially the white ones. Take another look at the photograph of this young woman. Here is a close up of her bruised eye in colour.



Now ask yourself, if you were sitting on a jury and were told she was raped, and that the accused had initially denied any sexual contact, would you acquit him? Or you Amy Goodman, serial liar?



What about you Rihanna? This is what Chris Brown did to you;



what do you think Rodney Reed would have done to you if you uncurred his wrath, or his lust?

I said earlier that Reed's DNA was on file from another sex crime. This was from a woman named Caroline Rivas, who has been described as intellectually disabled. In other words, a retard. We hear a lot from the sexual grievance industry about vulnerable women; most of these women are anything but. Women who are genuinely intellectually disabled are a different matter. According to court documents, her caseworker "noticed bruises on Rivas's body and, when asked about them, Rivas admitted that Reed would hurt her if she would not have sex with him."

Later, the same caseworker noticed that Rivas was walking oddly and sat down gingerly. Rivas said the previous evening, Reed "called her vulgar names, and anally raped her."

A rape kit was administered, but Rivas didn't go through with the prosecution. A lot of women who claim to have been raped drop the case when they are caught out by forensics or for some other reason, but like I said, this girl was a retard, and she was clearly a victim of abuse. It doesn't end there, but I will give you just one more of Reed's victims.

In 1989, Reed broke into a Bastrop home and sexually violated a 12 year old girl, this while he was waiting for the 1987 Wichita Falls case to come to court. The girl was blindfolded, gagged, and raped in three orifices. She hasn't been officially identified, but these are Reed's bite marks on her face.



The victim was unable to identify Reed, and at that time, DNA profiling was in its infancy, but it was eventually confirmed that Reed was indeed her rapist.

Because Reed was never tried for any of these crimes, his supporters call them “unadjudicated rape allegations”. Some go much further. Here is Reed’s brother lying about the 12 year old.

All Rodney Reed’s victims have been subjected to torrents of on-line abuse by his supporters, as have the people who investigated and prosecuted him. Here is a tiny example.

What is Rodrick Reed’s explanation for the rape of the 12 year old girl? How was his brother framed, was his DNA perhaps planted in the victim by the real rapist?

Okay, let’s return to the murder. Stacey Stites was strangled with her belt, strangled so hard that it was broken. Here is what the Innocence Project has to say about that. Understood? The courts have refused to order it to be tested for DNA. When Stacey was murdered in 1996, touch DNA wasn’t a thing; it would be another decade before it was developed. What use would it have been to test the belt in 2008? Clearly no use at all. Do you think the people who run the Innocence Project don’t know this?

Finally, let’s finish with another lie from Rodrick Reed. Here he is claiming many members of Stacey’s family support Reed and believe Fennell is the real murderer. Here is the truth.