or

WHY AN HONEST NAZI IS BETTER THAN A LYING, SCHEMING JEW

3rd Printing

To The Reader

Due to other commitments, this study was written over a period of nearly two years, so if the reader detects passing references in the text to current events which do not appear to fit the chronology, that is the reason. I am most fortunate in that I live within easy travelling distance of the world's premier research institution the British Library, including the Newspaper Library at Colindale where most of my newspaper research is conducted. I do though use a variety of other sources, and some of the newspapers consulted for this short study were in the form of press cuttings in the Wiener Library collection to which I had access for over a year, and from the Library of the Jewish Chronicle, which I visited twice in 1992. Many daily newspapers have different editions; the Guardian for example has two London editions and two Manchester editions. (1) It may be therefore that some of the newspaper articles cited here do not correspond with those kept at Colindale, though of course any errors either of interpretation or of fact are entirely my own.

I would also like to thank Mr Jordan in person for taking the trouble to reply with courtesy to all of my letters, even though we have substantial ideological differences, especially with regard to the Jewish Question.

A few minor alterations have been made to this 3rd Printing.

Alexander Baron, Sydenham, London.

August 29, 1995

The Fallacy Of Sweeping Generalisations

If there's one thing the media likes it's sensationalism. Nothing sells like a good story, and for the tabloid press at any rate, the more lurid the better. This may have something to do with the fascination Nazism still holds for so many people a half century after its defeat. The image of the jackbooted, blond-haired beast stamping on the innocent Jewish face, the Gestapo officer hissing "Ve haff vays of making you talk!", and all the other imagery associated with Hitler's allegedly discredited *Master Race* theories, all these make good copy even today. I say that Hitler's *Master Race* theories are allegedly discredited, because the fact that the Nazis lost World War Two doesn't discredit Hitler's race theories any more than Saddam Hussein's losing the Gulf War discredits Islam. But just for the record, Hitler's *Master Race* theories are largely a creation of his enemies. There is no evidence that Hitler hated any man or woman on account of his or her race. (2) Except for the Jews, of course. Hitler regarded the Jews as a corrupting influence on Western society: on music, on medicine, on the media. Although even the alleged Jewish control of the media didn't stop the Führer himself having a favourite Jewish actress. (3)

There are many fallacies associated with anti-Semitism and anti-Semitic ideology. The most obvious is the extrapolation from the specific to the general. An African may look at the *rich* West with envious eyes, but of course, although the West is rich in comparison with Black Africa, there are many poor people in the West, while not a few Africans are wealthy. Similarly, because many persons of Jewish ancestry were involved in the communist movement, and because the Rothschilds and other Jewish families played a major role in the development of international banking (and usury), doesn't mean either that communism is Jewish or that banking is a Jewish "conspiracy".

But just as it is invalid to extrapolate from selected individuals to the group, so too it is invalid to extrapolate from the group to the individual. The fact that most Jews are innocuous and for the most part industrious citizens doesn't mean that all of them are. The reality is that some Jews, like some *goyim*, are worthless parasites. And just because some Nazis committed atrocities against the Jews, doesn't mean that all Nazis (and more generically fascists), are worthless individuals who deserve only to be spat on, ostracised from society, and even attacked physically. Fascists, like many anti-Semites, and indeed like many, many socialists, are often as not among the most idealistic of people; usually it's only their critical faculties that are at fault. (4) By way of example, let us take the explicitly non-fascist Duke of Edinburgh. The good Duke is an ardent conservationist and environmentalist. No doubt he also cares passionately about the welfare of his subjects and of the human race generally. What then are we to make of his statement - widely quoted - that when he dies, if he were reincarnated, he would wish to return as a killer virus to lower human population levels? (5) Doubtless, the thought of even one dead human being would horrify the good Duke in practice. He simply didn't stop to think. Ditto most fascists and their fellow travellers.

Fascism: The Unmentionable - And Its Unspeakable Enemies

Whether or not most fascists are thoroughly evil men and women, or simply misguided idealists like the Duke of Edinburgh, there is a school of thought - one which, sadly, has been the prevailing ethos since at least the 1930s - that fascism is such a terrible ideology that fascists must not be given any platform to spread it in case they contaminate the rest of the population. Apparently, John Tyndall, he of BNP fame, and his fellow travellers, are such powerful orators and such Machiavellian schemers, that if we only listen to what they have to say, we, or a sizable percentage of us, will rush out and burn down the nearest synagogue, drag Mr Patel out of his corner shop, or string up the nearest limbo dancer. Surely a philosophy that is so powerful should be studied rather than spurned? We might learn a lot about human nature from it, if nothing else.

Leaving aside such arguments, the organised hue and cry against fascism becomes far less impressive when one studies the ideology of its most vociferous opponents. The most violent anti-fascist group in the United Kingdom is the quasi-terrorist far left outfit Red Action. These fanatics were expelled from the Socialist Workers Party in 1982. (6) They were, apparently, too extreme for even them. On page 8 we reproduce a Red Action sticker. No, this is not a spoof, this was not something which was put out by the British National Party or the National Front in

order to discredit a respectable anti-fascist organisation; Red Action really do advocate that we should support the IRA! (7)

Now this is interesting to say the least. Most manifestations of alleged fascism and that most nebulous of social diseases, racism, consist of so-called racial discrimination, and such things as thoughtless remarks relating to a person's ethnic origins, or maybe the suggestion that no more immigrants should be allowed to enter the country. Or, in extreme cases, "Why don't you go back to your own country?" There is of course racially motivated violence, but the full extent of this has been greatly exaggerated by the media and others for both sensationalist and clearly political purposes. There have certainly been occasional gang attacks, but no far right hate group has ever organised a terrorist campaign in this country, not in any meaningful sense. On the other hand, the IRA, an avowedly Marxist organisation, has committed more murders on Mainland Britain than could be documented here.

The irony of terrorists, and those who openly support terrorists, going into hysterics about fascism and alleged racial hatred, is something which most people, and certainly the media and most of our politicians, fail to grasp. Why do such people really object to "fascists" and racists, and do everything in their power to prevent fascists and racists from exercising their right of free speech?

The real reason has nothing whatsoever to do with their opposition to hatred, rather it is because they regard fascists et al, as ideological opponents, to some extent. Fascism may indeed be an undesirable, or even a terrible, ideology, but terrible as it is, it has a case, a case which the masses of the violent anti-fascist movement are unable to answer. If you are unable to answer a case, there are really only two things you can do. You can concede the fact that your opponent is right, which is something no anti-fascist would ever dream of doing, or you can prevent the other side from being heard. In short, the leaders and policy makers of the anti-fascist movement are damned liars. One such damned liar is Gerry Gable, one of the major players on the Zionist wing of the anti-fascist movement in Britain. Mr Gable professes to have been engaged in the anti-fascist struggle for more than thirty years. (8) In fact, all the available evidence suggests that Mr Gable has done more to incite hatred against his own race than any cabal of anti-Semites. He also wilfully incites hatred against the wicked *Aryan goyim*, whom he so obviously despises. Let us now examine Mr Gable's technique by a review of one of his professional smear jobs, on Britain's longest-running Nazi.

Gerry Gable On Colin Jordan

The British Nazi leader Colin Jordan is one of Mr Gable's pet hates. On the back page of the February 1991 issue of *Searchlight*, an unsigned article obviously written by Gable, praises his co-racialist, the then recently deceased Leslie Jacobs. Jacobs was a leading member of the 1962 Committee, better known as the 62 Group. He was also the businessman who, many years ago, put up several hundreds of pounds bail for Gable and two other young Jews, Manny Carpel and David Freedman, when they were remanded by Highgate magistrates charged with burglary artifice at the home of David Irving. (9)

As a tribute to this departed thug, (10) Gable published three photographs from the 1960s. Two of them were captioned "Anti-fascists battle it out with Mosley and Jordan on the streets of London". This is not quite true, both Mosley and Jordan organised peaceful public meetings which were frequently disrupted by communists, fellow travellers and other trouble-makers masquerading as anti-fascists, including many racial Jews like Gable himself. (11) Any political meeting of any size has to be stewarded of course, and occasionally hecklers and others have to be ejected. The only Mosley meeting in which significant violence was used against members of the public was the ill-fated 1934 BUF rally at Olympia, but the fascists have always been on a hiding to nothing; whoever instigates the violence at their meetings, they are always blamed for it.

While the reference to anti-fascists battling it out with fascists on the streets of London may be a distortion of the truth, the caption of the third photograph is an absolute lie. This reads: "Walking wounded. Colin Jordan, British nazi boss, injured after his men attacked an election meeting." The photograph shows a grinning Colin Jordan, evidently in good spirits, even though his face has been bruised. He appears to have been cut under the right eye.

Such lies have long been part and parcel of Gerry Gable's technique and his unconditional racial hatred against a society he obviously despises, in particular against those of native stock who, seeing their society and culture under threat, seek to do their utmost to warn their fellow citizens. One can argue that Mr Jordan is terribly

misguided for blaming the Jews for all society's ills - and indeed, the current writer does - but one should question neither his sincerity, nor, more importantly, his right to do so. Nor should one question the right of scum like Mr Gable to lie through his teeth about Colin Jordan or about anyone else under the sun, although of course, libels against specific individuals are subject to the civil law should such individuals wish to seek redress through the courts.

As well as the right to lie about Mr Jordan, Mr Gable has the right to protest against what he believes to berightly in Mr Jordan's case - his anti-Semitism (more of this anon), and the right to organise or to participate in counter-demonstrations. As stated above, Mr Gable does of course have the right to lie about Mr Jordan, and Mr Jordan has the right to sue him for libel if he goes too far. And Mr Gable and his co-racialists and the gullible goyim they recruit to do their dirty work, also have the right to protest against Mr Jordan's expressing of his opinions, some of which verge on lunacy rather than hatred. But neither Mr Gable nor anyone else has the right to assault Mr Jordan, nor to smash his meetings with violence. (12) Nor to assault or attack anyone else or their meetings, political or otherwise. And by the same token, those of us who are wise to both Mr Jordan's foolishness and Mr Gable's perfidy, have a duty to expose them both, which is what I now propose to do.

The Truth About The Jordan Photograph

The photograph of Colin Jordan, reproduced here on page 8 was not taken after he or anyone associated with him had attacked any kind of political meeting. The reality is that Mr Jordan himself was the victim of an attack here, as he has been many times by both racial Jews like Gable and their equally venal far left fellow travellers. This photograph is one of a series taken in January 1965 when Mr Jordan and fellow members of the National Socialist Movement were campaigning against the Labour Party candidate, Patrick Gordon Walker. Mr Jordan had heckled a Labour Party election meeting in Leyton, East London. The meeting was stewarded by members of the 62 Group. Gable himself was a member of the 62 Group, (13) though the current writer has no information on whether or not he took part in this attack. (14)

According to the Daily Mirror, which can hardly be branded a pro-Nazi paper, Mr Jordan stood on a chair and gave the Nazi salute. The crowd then shouted "Kill him, kill him!" (15) and Mr Jordan was viciously attacked, punched, kicked and dragged out by the stewards. (16) A thunderflash was then thrown, but only after the attack on Mr Jordan, and it is by no means certain that it was thrown by one of his members or supporters, or with Mr Jordan's knowledge. (17)

The story was naturally covered by the local press; the Leytonstone Express and Independent for January 29 published an article Gestapo methods? in which Mr Jordan was said to have invited violence. By giving the Nazi salute? Mr Jordan himself published a letter in this same paper on February 5 in his capacity as leader of the National Socialist Movement complaining about the violence. In a telephone conversation with the current writer (March 23, 1994) he was more specific. He referred to the 62 Group as the Bidney gang and admitted freely that he and his men had been disrupting the Gordon Walker meetings. (The same way his meetings were disrupted and the same way BNP and similar meetings are disrupted to this day, but without any of the violence one associates with the anti-fascist left). He sneaked into the meeting in disguise but the 62 Group recognised him, cleared the seats around him and dived in. The only reason he escaped so lightly was because so many of them attacked him that they ended up beating each other. Indeed, Mr Jordan was far from the only person to complain about the violence, and other contemporary photographs bear out his claims.

Of course, there are those, Gable in particular, who would argue that Mr Jordan brought this on himself by his heckling, but if this is so then he has no right to condemn the brutality that was meted out by the BUF at the aforementioned 1934 Olympia rally when anti-fascists turned out in their droves to heckle Mosley. This is not intended to be an apology for fascist violence, all violence is unjustified, but the double standard scum like Gable have foisted on our media and on the minds of, especially the young, always goes unnoticed. This is that fascist violence is to be condemned - on the rare occasions fascists resort to violence, (18) while unprovoked attacks on fascists and their fellow travellers are invariably interpreted as justifiable outrage from ordinary people. In other words, the fascists provoke such violence not merely by expressing their opinions but simply by existing. (19) In reality, most of these ordinary people belong to such groups as the Socialist Workers Party, its front organisation the Anti Nazi League (ANAL), and other anti-fascist organisations.

The Life And "Crimes" Of John Colin Campbell Jordan

Colin Jordan has a long and distinguished career both as a Nazi activist and as an anti-Semitic propagandist. (20) After serving his country in World War Two, (21) Cambridge graduate Jordan fell under the spell of Arnold Leese. (22) Leese (1878-1956), was perhaps the most fanatical anti-Semite this country has ever produced. Although he used the swastika as the emblem of his pre-war Imperial Fascist League, Leese was less a Nazi than "John Bull in jackboots", as he has often been described. (23) He obtained notoriety in 1936 when he was gaoled for seditious libel after accusing the Jews of practising ritual murder. (24)

Although unquestionably an anti-Semite, Colin Jordan was never either as fanatical or as irrational about the Jewish Question as Leese. In fact, the thing which distinguishes the charismatic CJ from most other "Nazis" is his principled approach to the whole business of politics, and to the Jewish Question. While at Cambridge he ran the short lived Cambridge University Nationalist Club. (25) In 1954, he joined the League of Empire Loyalists but was expelled on account of his anti-Semitism - "intransigence" on the Jewish Question, as one researcher put it diplomatically. (26) In 1956, at the age of 32, he had a minor brush with the law. (27) Pleading not guilty to using insulting words and behaviour, he was convicted and fined the then considerable sum of £20 with £5.5s costs. (28) This conviction resulted out of a protest against the visit to England of Messrs Bulganin and Krushchev; Mr Jordan's co-defendant, the secretary of the League of Empire Loyalists, accused Prime Minister Anthony Eden of shaking hands with a murderer. (29) This is something Mr Jordan and many of his fellow travellers have been unable to understand over the years; many people who condemn them for preaching a philosophy of hate, or even denounce them as murderers or potential murderers, have no objection whatsoever to shaking hands with people who support a political philosophy - Marxism - which is stained with the blood of many more innocent victims than Nazism. Spokesmen for international Marxism have never been able to explain this discrepancy, and indeed they never try, preferring to witter on with trite comments about the evils of something they call racism.

After working as a salesman, Mr Jordan obtained a teaching post in the City of Coventry in 1957. (30) In 1958, he founded the White Defence League in response to the outbreak of race riots. (31) Obviously, his radical politics caused him some problems, and later he was expelled from the NUT. (32) All the same, in a far less tolerant era than we supposedly live in today, his treatment by his employer, the local authority, was a model of equanimity, and his right to free expression was defended for three years by officials because he didn't attempt to bring his politics into the classroom, though he was warned several times over possible embarrassment. (33)

Mr Jordan was not the only person to come under scrutiny at work for his extracurricular political activities. From 1950 to 1958, the Conservative-controlled Middlesex Education Authority banned the appointment of known members of fascist *and* communist parties from the headships of county primary and secondary schools. (34) Such a ban on communists, or even on *gay* "rights" supporters would cause a hue and cry today. This latter is particularly ironic: racial *purity* is taboo, but racial defilement is almost honourable. (35)

Mr Jordan crossed swords with the law again in 1961; on April 17 that year he was fined £15. In Mr Jordan's own words, he was in a Land Rover, decked with placards in a street outside some major Jewish gathering. The report in his local press was more specific. According to the *Coventry Evening Telegraph* for April 18, 1961, CJ and seven other men turned up uninvited at a Polish-Jewish cemetery in London on the anniversary of the Warsaw ghetto uprising. They were indeed in a Land Rover, and they were displaying a banner which read "Punish atrocities by Jews". (36)

What these atrocities were was not made clear, but it is a fair guess that they had something to do with the terrorist war waged by the Zionists in then Palestine against the British. This was a war within a war, which was launched by mass murderer - and later Prime Minister of Israel - Menachem Begin, in February 1944, at exactly the same time that the British, including CJ, never let it be forgotten were fighting a war to, among other things, save the Jews from the gas chambers. One can and should condemn Mr Jordan's anti-Semitism, but one should never lose sight of the fact that even the most bigoted of people can have genuine grievances.

Though as stated Mr Jordan's activities were monitored by his employer, it was only after his ill-fated Trafalgar Square rally that he was suspended from his post. CJ founded the National Socialist Movement on April 20, 1962, the birthday of his glorious Führer, and, on Sunday, July 1, he and his future Reich - a handful of supporters (37) - held a rally in London's Trafalgar Square under the unforgettable slogan "Free Britain From Jewish Control".

The meeting was well attended, but not by supporters, rather by the usual ragbag mob we have long come to expect to find attending the public - and private - meetings of Nazis and their fellow travellers. Naturally the mob came not to listen to the speakers but to disrupt them. The report in the *Guardian* newspaper the following day spoke of the speakers being not just heckled but pelted with tomatoes, eggs and apples, and pennies - the latter being a weapon rather than a gesture of disapproval. Twice the police officer in charge of the meeting was forced to stop it, and in the end the crowds fought the police while the speakers were forced to beat a hasty retreat. Mr Jordan remembers it well: "Ch. Supt. Burgoyne requested us on 4 occasions to halt while Police fought to restore order. Only on [the] 5th attack, when [the] meeting [was] almost finished did he require us to stop.]" (38)

There were twenty arrests. The reader should note that, as always, it was not the fascists - or as CJ would have it, National Socialists - who caused all the trouble, it seldom if ever is, but was the *anti-fascists* in the crowd, who surely must have known what to expect. About two hundred people immediately in front of the speakers were said to have kept up a torrent of abuse.

Subsequently, both Jordan and his deputy, current BNP Führer John Tyndall, were charged with and convicted of using insulting words at the rally. Tyndall admitted claiming that: "In our democratic society the Jew is like a poisonous maggot feeding on a body in a state of decay". (39) CJ used no such offensive language, he simply blamed World War Two on the Jews, and on them alone, and admitted to the prosecutor that he had made the following claim: "Sept. 3, 1939, was the blackest day in British history. The long and intensive Jewish campaign was crowned with success and the Jews of the world rejoiced." (40)

Both men were gaoled: Jordan for two months, Tyndall for six weeks, but were released on bail pending appeal against conviction and sentence. As he arrived at the court, Mr Jordan was served with a summons relating to an alleged offence under the *Public Order Act*. (41) Again, his activities attracted unfavourable attention from the local authority, and he made the front page of the Coventry press when the school governors demanded his head. (42)

Colin Jordan and John Tyndall's Spearhead activities have been mythologised by the anti-fascist left, not just by arch-liar Gable. Photographs of CJ in full Nazi uniform, and, more relevantly, of John Tyndall, (43) are still bandied about today as proof not only of their Nazi antecedents but of a sinister conspiracy a là the Protocols Of Zion. In his lie-ridden autobiography, Searchlight "mole" Ray Hill claimed that at this time (1962), "the NSM was also busy forming a well-oiled private army. Fortunately, Special Branch officers were monitoring the activities of the Spearhead group, and found it to be the paramilitary wing of the NSM. One of its declared aims was to form a 'monolithic combat-efficient international political apparatus to combat and utterly destroy the international Jew-Communist and Zionist apparatus of treason and subversion'. Camps were subsequently raided by police, and Jordan, Tyndall and others received prison sentences for organizing and equipping a paramilitary force." (44) This sounds really terrible, but what actually happened?

In its August 12, 1962 edition, the *People* newspaper carried a story about a camp held in the Cotswolds by Jordan and his fellow travellers the previous weekend; the paper ran the story under the somewhat unflattering front page headline: *THE NEW 'WORLD FUEHRER' - elected by 27 idiots!* The new *World Fuehrer* was said to have been Colin Jordan; this had apparently been a two horse race, the other contender being the charismatic American National Socialist leader George Lincoln Rockwell. Rockwell was said to have conceded the leadership to Jordan, being mightily impressed by the latter's Master of Arts degree. (45) The Spearhead group had actually been formed in the summer of 1960, (46) so for two years Jordan and co had caused the authorities no noticeable consternation at all. The August 1962 camp led to the so-called Cotswold agreement, and to military manoeuvres, but it wasn't the Special Branch who took an interest in it so much as local residents who broke it up angry at their peaceful countryside being disturbed by a bunch of overgrown boy scouts. (47)

On August 10, the NSM's headquarters at Princedale Road was raided by Special Branch officers who found, among other things, a can of weedkiller, the label of which had been altered to read Jew-killer! (48) The following October, at the trial, Jordan, who defended himself, (49) suggested that this had been written on the can by the police. Perish the thought!

Cross-examined by CJ, Chief Inspector Williams admitted that he hadn't noticed the scribbling on this particular can until the cans arrived at Scotland Yard "and were examined in good light". When CJ suggested to him that one of his officers might have been responsible, the Chief Inspector replied: "I don't believe that of any of my officers." Before the reader bursts into fits of laughter he should bear in mind that this was 1962. It was only with the rise of the drug culture in the swinging sixties that large numbers of "respectable people" began coming into contact with the police and seeing a different side to them. And as recently as January 1980, Lord Denning, one of our most distinguished judges, in dismissing an action in the Birmingham Six case, said that "If the six men win, it will mean that the police were guilty of perjury...This is such an appalling vista that every

sensible person in the land would say: It cannot be right that these actions should go any further..." After the Birmingham Six, Guildford Four and a plethora of other cases, one can only marvel that one of the finest legal minds in British history could have been so incredibly naïve. (50) Returning to the Spearhead trial, CJ also claimed that "Jews had gone to Scotland Yard endeavouring to put pressure on the authorities to prosecute Spearhead." (51) Again, perish the thought, but although Mr Jordan thinks he is referring to Jews here, he is in fact referring to the forever wailing-and-gnashing-of-teeth servants of political Zionism who, three decades later, were responsible for his home being raided and his being subjected to eighteen months of legal harassment. At about the same time, the same highly organised slime dragged the equally principled but gullible Lady Birdwood into court not once but twice on similar charges with their incessant whining and wailing.

During the 1962 trial, Jordan was alleged to have told a reporter that he would be in power in ten years. (52) Instead, he was gaoled for a mere nine months; Tyndall received six months; two others: Roland Kerr-Ritchie and Denis Pirie, were gaoled for three months apiece. Interestingly, the charges against the Spearhead group were originally that they had trained, organised and equipped in such a manner as to arouse reasonable apprehension that this was done so that they could be used for a display of physical force to promote a political objective. The word equipped was dropped at the request of the senior Treasury Counsel at the Old Bailey who prosecuted the summons. (53) All four appealed, but to no avail. Jordan was naturally the last to be released; he came out of gaol in mid-1963. (54)

This then was the great Spearhead conspiracy; none of the four men was convicted of any offence of violence. To the best of the current writer's knowledge, neither Mr Jordan nor his second in command John Tyndall, has ever been convicted of any act involving actual violence, (55) nor accused of one in earnest. As a result of his political activities, CJ lost his job and was expelled from his union, the National Union of Teachers. It was reported in September 1963 that, although he had submitted his resignation on his release from prison following a decision by the Ministry of Education that he was no longer suitable to teach, the professional conduct committee was of the view that no member could resign until it had taken a decision in a case where unprofessional conduct had been alleged. Complaints about Mr Jordan's extracurricular activities dated to September the previous year, and he was expelled from the NUJ on September 8. (56)

The Trafalgar Square rally and Spearhead case gave the charismatic CJ a high profile, and in spite of his nasty Nazi image he received some quite amusing press coverage. One person who saw this and was mightily impressed was heiress Françoise Dior, niece of the legendary designer Christian Dior. Dior was not an ideological anti-Semite like her future husband but a rabid Jew-hater who stated that she would like to see all synagogues burnt by an Act of Parliament, (57) Later, she would do her best to make this wish come true, but when she saw CJ and JT stomping about in swastikas and jackboots (58) she was so impressed that she travelled to England to meet them. At one point she was engaged to JT, but later decided to marry Jordan. They became engaged 14,000 feet above the English Channel (59) and were married at Coventry Register Office, Saturday, October 5, 1963. The following day, they went through a bizarre wedding ceremony at Leese House, Princedale Road, where they mixed their blood, and both swore they were of good Aryan stock. (60) Alas, it was not to last; three months later she was to tell the tabloid press "I thought I was marrying a leader and a hero...Instead I found I had married a middle-class nobody who wanted only to live in a house in the country." (61) She was expelled from the NSM in 1966, (62) but the previous year she had become the driving force behind a campaign to fulfil her dream of burning down Jewish houses of worship. On March 13 of that year, Brondesbury Synagogue was completely destroyed by fire; on April 25, in an unrelated (but possibly copycat) attack, the Greenbank Synagogue in Liverpool was burnt out. This heralded the start of an arson campaign which culminated in three trials at the Old Bailey. On February 15, 1966, six men were gaoled for arson; in a separate trial, April 5, four more were convicted; the third trial, which took place in January 1968, was of Mrs Jordan herself. She was gaoled for 18 months for conspiracy; all the arsonists were members or former members of the National Socialist Movement; all had been under the spell of "The Oueen of the Nazis". (63)

CJ suffered at the hands of his faithless wife in more ways than one; she cuckolded him as well; her paramour, Mr Cooper was likewise booted out of the NSM. (64)

Much as his faithless wife tortured him, CJ suffered other agonies: his kinfolk in Rhodesia were being sold out to black Marxists by the government of perfidious Albion, and he grieved for them. So much so in fact that in the autumn of 1965, incensed at this act of racial treason, he exercised his democratic right to protest. This protest was certainly peaceful if not particularly diplomatic, but that didn't prevent him from being arrested. His *crime* was to display a placard outside the Prime Minister's residence protesting against "the betrayal of our white kinfolk in Rhodesia". It was claimed that two women in the crowd made as if to attack him. So *he* was arrested! (65)





Walking wounded. Colin Jordan, British nazi boss, injured after his men attacked an election meeting.

Top: a pro-IRA sticker published by "anti-fascist" Red Action. Bottom: Colin Jordan pictured after he was attacked by Jewish thugs in January 1965.

If this sounds novel, alas it isn't now and wasn't even then. The mere existence of National Socialists and their fellow travellers is said to cause such outrage that they rather than their inevitably far more vocal (and violent) opponents live in constant fear of arrest every time they dare to show themselves in public. In Germany, even the display of Nazi regalia and memorabilia has long been banned, yet no one bats an eyelid at the display of communist regalia. Not only can the hammer and sickle be displayed in public in a forthright manner, but the hate literature of communists, socialists and fellow travellers is displayed and sold openly, even on university campuses. One can perhaps understand Mr Jordan's perplexity when he finds himself attacked as a hatemonger and potential mass murderer by the acolytes of Joseph Stalin, the murdering thug Trotsky, and the perpetrators of an inestimable number of mass murders from the Gulags and the killing fields to the jungles of Latin America. (66)

Returning to CJ's arrest, by, among others, apparently, his namesake Sergeant John Jordan, he must have been more than a little perplexed not just at the arrest but at his sentence of three months' imprisonment for insulting behaviour. Naturally he appealed, and towards the end of the month it was reported that this Draconian sentence was quashed. "Having regard to the whole of the circumstances, no one wants to make you a political martyr and we think the sentence of three months was excessive", he was told. He was also ordered to pay thirty guineas costs. (67)

Undaunted, CJ's protests against the perfidy of Albion continued, and on January 6, 1967, he turned up at 10 Downing Street and attempted to arrest Prime Minister Harold Wilson on a charge of high treason. Bow Street magistrates had refused to issue him an arrest warrant under either the Treason Act, 1351 or the Felony Act, 1848; he was advised by the Chief Magistrate to take up the matter with the Director of Public Prosecutions. Instead he sought to arrest the Prime Minister in his capacity as a private citizen. Obviously, the policeman on the door gave him short shrift, (68) but at least CJ tried. Nearly five years later, he sought to arrest then Conservative Prime Minister Edward (Bilderberger) Heath for treason and felony, this time in connection with the sell out of Britain to Europe. He argued that Britain's accession to the Treaty of Rome curtailed our sovereignty, and hence was treasonable. (69) It has certainly added yet another layer of unnecessary bureaucracy and a packet to the average family's food bill. And it has made us susceptible to the whims of foreigners. And, more than two decades on, many far more prominent persons than CJ are more than a little concerned at the prospect of what amounts to forced European integration. And their protests are both a lot more stylish and far better organised. And well funded. They are also far more vocal, and nobody laughs at them the way they undoubtedly laughed at the gallant Führer in 1971. So perhaps on this issue, CJ will have the last laugh after all.

True, this works both ways, we can now interfere in other countries' domestic affairs too. At the time of writing, the British government is trying to drag Germany into court for banning the import of British beef, which that august nation's leaders fear is infected with the dreaded *mad cow disease*, with good reason, it might be added. But would we be half as enthusiastic if the boot were on the other hoof?

Returning to CJ, just after his attempt to arrest the treacherous Harold Wilson, the fearless Führer found himself in court. For while Wilson was attempting to turn over the good Christian white people of Rhodesia to the rule of godless savages - as he obviously saw it - Mr Jordan himself was being gaoled for conspiracy to distribute insulting literature, or, as the *Times* put it, "conspiring to contravene the [Race Relations] Act by distributing insulting written matter likely and intended to stir up hatred against a section of the public distinguished by colour and race." (70) It should be borne in mind that this was under the 1965 version of this vile piece of Draconian and anti-British legislation, and this act has been tightened up twice since then. Incredibly, there are those, not the least vocal of whom are the spokesmen for Organised Jewry, who are campaigning vociferously to have it tightened up yet again.

CJ pleaded not guilty but was duly convicted. The basis of the charge against him was that he had published a pamphlet called *The Coloured Invasion*. (There isn't one, of course). In his summing up, the judge said that CJ had claimed that National Socialism in Germany had been a triumph, and made the curious statement that "Surely the man who wrote that is saying in effect, 'I entirely approve of Hitler's views on racial matters'." (71)

That National Socialism had been a success, at least until *Britain declared war on Germany* (never let it be forgotten) is hardly open to discussion. Certainly Adolf Hitler was easily the most popular leader of his generation. However, this is a regular phenomenon of dictators, (72) the recently deceased North Korean despot Kim Il-sung has been mourned hysterically by the Korean public, and, contrary to the assertions of certain Western journalists, this has not all been for show.

Why it should be regarded as a criminal offence to admire or speak admiringly of Adolf Hitler remains a total mystery. Winston Churchill himself once said of the Führer that: "If our country were defeated, I hope we should find a champion as indomitable to restore our courage and lead us back to our place among the nations." (73)

while Lloyd George visited Nazi Germany as late as September 1936 and was reported by the *Jewish Chronicle* as never having seen a happier people! (74) The following month he came under fire by the same paper for claiming that Hitler was perhaps the greatest man he had ever met! (75) This was at a time when the true nature of Nazism was well known, and a year after the passage of the Nuremberg race laws. None of this excuses Nazi Germany's anti-Jewish policy, but it does suggest that there was rather more to Nazism than persecuting Jews.

While Lloyd George was rightly taken to task for his extraordinary naïvete, CJ was branded a thoroughly wicked man and thrown into gaol, even though he hadn't actually distributed any of these pamphlets himself but had merely *incited* and *conspired with* his 19 year old acolyte Peter Pollard to distribute such material. CJ has never but never advocated violence against anyone, never in his entire career. So, one is entitled to ask, why was he gaoled for eighteen months in 1967 when two race acts later no one bats an eyelid when Red Action put up stickers praising the IRA and asking people to support their murderous campaign? (76)

Yet another example of the endemic violence of Mr Jordan's "Nazi menace" occurred in November 1968, and, as ever, CJ was on the receiving end. The *Times* for November 11 reported that as he was standing in the street speaking to four other men, he was attacked by a thirty strong mob in what was obviously a planned attack. He was kicked and punched, his raincoat was torn and he received facial injuries, while one of the men with him, who was described by the *Times* as elderly, had his glasses shattered. (77) Not content with attacking Mr Jordan, this group of thugs stole his briefcase, obviously in the hope that it contained confidential documents. (78) CJ's *crime* on this occasion was to stand outside the offices of South African Airways and hand out leaflets directing people to a meeting of the Phoenix Book Club which was being held at a nearby hotel.

In September 1972, CJ made the news again; he was fined for disorderly behaviour at Heathrow Airport for daring to protest against the influx of Ugandan Asians into Britain. (79) CJ's "disorderly behaviour" amounted to addressing airport staff through a loudhailer imploring them to withdraw their services. Other people were fined for demonstrating against this *invasion*, but CJ said he was not part of their demonstration. It should be pointed out here that these Asians had been expelled from Uganda by the country's (presumably *racist*) leader, General Amin. One cannot and indeed should not believe everything that has been written about this military despot; he may indeed have been a cannibal and a mass murderer, but it is curious that his *racist* policies, and the discriminatory policies of so many non-white *racists* like him, invariably draw less righteous indignation from the organised left than do the antics of Colin Jordan. Presumably a man with a microphone or a bunch of overgrown boy scouts stomping around in swastikas and jackboots is a far greater threat to democracy (or socialism!) than a despot who inflicts tyranny, mass murder and even genocide on his own nation. (80)

A little diversion here, although no fair-minded person would endorse the anti-Semitic nonsense that certain people on the so-called far right proclaim, one cannot help but wonder if the irrationality is not entirely on one side. When Arnold Leese was gaoled in 1936 for his hatemongering, his supporters published a petition which made the points that no trouble or breach of the peace had occurred and that others had been engaged in similar hatemongering, for example, a communist front outfit had referred to the King and Queen as useless parasites. (81)

In March 1936, another, though far more moderate, protagonist of Organised Jewry, Sir Oswald Mosley, made exactly the same point in a letter to the Home Secretary after the latter had made a speech condemning anti-Semitism. (82) "[T]he object of this letter [is] to request further elucidation of those passages in your speech which appear, at least to some readers, to suggest that it is illegal in public speech to attack Jews or the conduct of Jews in this country...We have as much right to attack Jews in public speech as Mr. Lloyd George had the right to attack Landlords when Chancellor of the Exchequer...We have as much right to attack Jews as members of the Labour Party have the right to attack anyone who possesses capital, to say nothing of the [or their] advocacy of class war. We have far more right to attack Jews than Communists and some members of the Labour Party have the right to attack the Crown. I have observed the vilest attacks on the Royal Family published by the Communist Party which have been the subject of no action or prosecution whatsoever although they were clearly quite illegal." (83)

Whatever one may think of Arnold Leese, Oswald Mosley or Colin Jordan, it is a fact that Jewish leaders have attempted, successfully in many cases, to put Jews above all criticism, and have routinely smeared all such critics as anti-Semitic. In this venture they have been aided and abetted by the "anti-fascist" left, who have in turn been rewarded with similar smears when they have had the audacity to protest against the brutalisation of the Palestinian people by their Zionist masters. (84)

Returning to CJ, in June 1976 the fearless Führer was arrested yet again, this time for the heinous crime of demonstrating outside a County Court in the City of Birmingham. The report in the London *Times* has a dearth of particularity, but it goes without saying that the thoroughly principled CJ was making his democratic point in

the usual orderly manner. He was arrested along with two other senior members of the British Movement: Michael McLaughlin and Albert Chambers. (85) As ever, CJ pleaded not guilty; as might be expected, he was convicted, and was fined fifty quid. (86)

If the arrest, conviction and fining of the gallant Führer and his merry men was mildly outrageous, the treatment meted out to the subject of their protest was scandalous in the extreme. Robert Relf was a man without any particularly strong political convictions, but he was and remains a self-professed racial bigot. In defiance of the notorious so-called *Race Relations Act* he had the audacity to advertise his house for sale to an English (ie white) family only, and placed a notice to that effect in his front garden. The powers that be decided that this was as heinous an offence as any that any man could possibly commit, and ordered him to take it down. He replied "No thank you," (or words to that effect). He was then ordered to do so by the court, no less. There is no question of Mr Relf being a staunch Libertarian defending his property rights, but be that as it may, that is what he was doing in his own bigoted way. However, when he refused to take the notice down, the authorities decided that he must be punished, and gaoled him for contempt of court. (87)

I have no idea what price Mr Relf was selling his house for, but if the going rate in those days were say £20,000, and Mr Patel from Handsworth had offered him £21,000, Mr Relf would have had to choose between compromising his *principles*, by selling his home to one of the infernal curry-eating invaders, or taking the cash and exposing himself as a hypocrite for all the world to see. I have no doubt at all that he would have shown his hypothetical prospective purchaser the door, but that really is not the point. The point is that people of his generation fought in the Second World War to defend their freedoms against - among other things - this sort of bureaucratic tyranny. (88) (I don't know if Robert Relf served in the forces but CJ certainly did). One can, then, understand the outrage the likes of Relf and CJ felt, especially Relf at being sent to the slammer. At this point it is as well to remind the reader again that CJ and his ilk are the Nazis, remember, not the so-called Commission for Racial Equality, Race Relations Board, or whatever socialist-inspired quango sent him there.

This was in 1976, the racial tyranny has progressed by leaps and bounds since then, but the previous year CJ had also been arrested, only for him this was an entirely new experience, because his arrest was not for his usual misguided hatemongering and railing against the Jews, but for theft. In its May 17, 1975 issue, the Sun newspaper reported on page three PANTIE THIEF JORDAN: IACCUSE RACISTS. Mr Jordan of Tudor Avenue, Coventry, was said to have been fined £30 with £29.42 costs for stealing three pairs of red frilly knickers and a box of chocolates from Tesco in Leamington. He was said to have quite deliberately thrust the knickers into his pocket and the chocolates into his shopping bag. He was quoted thus: "I believe this is a malicious allegation, brought by a Jewish-owned store against someone who in the past has been known for his opposition to Jewish power in this country."

This is a quite extraordinary story, although anyone who knows something about the *Sun* - ie anyone who lives in Britain - will realise that this is a newspaper which has no more respect for the truth than Gerry sweepings-of-the-ghetto Gable himself. So what actually happened? According to Mr Jordan, it was all a terrible mistake. On the afternoon in question, he was he says doing some shopping in Leamington. He had gone there specifically to collect his *vehicle*, which had been serviced, (89) and, being in a rush, he stopped off at the local branch of Tesco to buy some foodstuffs and clothing "including a pair of plain knickers" for his aged mother.

He was in such a hurry that he didn't stop to pick up a wire basket but simply used his own shopping bag. (90) At the check-out the knickers, which had made their way to the bottom of the bag, were overlooked. Outside the store he looked in his bag for something else and saw the knickers there, turned around to return to the store and was accosted by a female employee who addressed him by name. "This I brought out in court when some of those same employees, appearing as witnesses and telling various tall stories, tried to make out that they had not known who I was". (91)

He makes no mention of the box of chocolates, and frankly I am not inclined to believe Mr Jordan's version of events. (92) I must stress that this is only a personal opinion, but my belief is that while he did indeed place these would-be purchases in his bag absent-mindedly, when he was accosted outside the shop he panicked and took to his heels. Be that as it may, this was something that could happen to anyone, and I stress again that I don't believe that he went to the store intending to steal, or that he stole wilfully. Having said that, he was convicted, so for all intents and purposes that is the end of the story. (93)

We will return to the Jewish supermarket affair later in this work, but let us move forward now to 1991 when CJ found himself the victim, yet again, of Jewish persecution, this time for protesting in his own undiplomatic way against the Gulf War.

On pages 16 & 17 are reproduced four cartoons: the top one on page 16 is from the March 1991 edition of Gerry Gable's grotesque race-hate magazine Searchlight. Note the caption. This is a supposedly well-worn anti-Semitic

stereotype. It will come as no surprise to the reader that Mr Jordan blamed the Gulf War on the Jews, as indeed he seems to blame everything else on them and them alone. The Gulf War was of course nothing to do with political Zionism, as anyone who has done his homework on this contrived conflict will surely realise. Indeed, the one piece of good which did come out of this act of supreme evil was that it woke up even Israel's most died-in-the-wool Gentile supporters and apologists to the reality of the Western establishments' double standards on the Middle East, and it was undoubtedly this realisation which was partially responsible for the Israel/PLO accord of 1993. (94)

On the same page of Searchlight as this cartoon appeared, a French National Front MP (95) is alleged to have protested against sending French troops to the Gulf to kill Arabs, and said that they should instead be used to clear the Arabs out of the ghettos of France. This MP does not strike one as a particularly pleasant sort of person, but when one considers the jingoistic nonsense that filled the British (and presumably the French) media at the time of the Gulf War, one is entitled to ask why it is so much more wicked to deport Arabs (or even than simply to prevent them from entering the country) than to kill them. (96)

Returning to page 16 of the current publication; the other *cartoon* is an expression of racial hatred of a different kind, in particular, the unconditional racial hatred of a certain type of Jew - Gerry Gable - for the society he holds responsible for the historic persecution of his race: Final Solution, gas chambers and all.

Surely everybody recognises the hatred (and nonsense) of Mr Jordan, everybody who is neither a fellow traveller nor suffering from the same delusions, but, strangely, and sadly, very few people recognise the hatred of Gerry Gable. Incredibly, this *Stürmer*-like crypto-Jew is highly regarded not only by the so-called anti-fascist movement but by the mainstream media as well. For one thing, he is said to be some sort of expert on the extreme right; for another, he is a (professed) anti-fascist. Presumably, in the struggle against fascism, anything goes. (97)

Now let us turn to the extraordinary hullabaloo which followed the publication of Mr Jordan's cartoon. (98)

Sometime in the Spring of 1991, the prominent Jewish Labour MP Gerald Kaufman received through the post one of Mr Jordan's anti-Gulf War stickers and was so outraged by this cartoon that he forwarded it to the authorities claiming that it was, in Mr Jordan's words, "racially insulting contrary to the 1986 Public Order Act". (99)

Following this complaint, Mr Jordan's home at Greenhow Hill near Harrogate was raided by the police who "ransacked it, conducting a search and seizure far beyond the terms of the warrant which itself was outdated and thus invalid." Altogether they took away sixty of his research files, the work of a lifetime, and, when immediately after the raid he confronted the police with the fact that the warrant was out of date, they claimed that this was a mere typing error.

Undaunted, Mr Jordan pursued the case to Harrogate Magistrates' Court where he met with the mandatory obstruction one encounters with all judicial and bureaucratic organisations, and which causes the more conspiratorially-minded amongst the population to see all manner of nefarious plots, directed, usually, against themselves. Mr Jordan is certainly one of the more conspiratorially-minded of the population, but for once he wasn't wrong.

CJ announced his intention of subpoenaing the magistrate who had signed the warrant, and the hearing for his summons against the police was moved from Harrogate to Skipton "on the grounds that it would be too embarrassing for the precious magistrate to appear before her own court", but once there the court refused to allow the magistrate to be subpoenaed or to allow Mr Jordan to present his case, and of course, it refused point blank to return his property. The case was in fact put on ice until the Attorney General could make a decision as to whether or not to proceed against Mr Jordan, a process which can take months, or even over a year. (100)

Next, Mr Jordan decided to pursue the case via a judicial review of the magistrates' decision, which meant that he had to apply to the High Court. Being both in his late sixties and no little distance from London, the case was heard in his absence; the judge rubber stamped the court's decision and the case was dismissed. Undaunted, and like the old campaigner he is, he renewed his application in person in open court before three judges, and in November 1991 he was granted leave to appeal.

Then, six months after the unlawful raid, a summons was served on him in connection with four items of literature found in his house. This is surely extraordinary, and indicates clearly that either a) the authorities had embarked on a fishing expedition; or b) that they were trying desperately to justify their actions after the event. (101)

At a hearing in January 1992, Mr Jordan argued successfully that this prosecution be made to await the outcome of the future Judicial Review. Next he sought an injunction from the High Court, which was granted in March, in spite of his being fought tooth and claw by the full might of the so-called criminal justice system. He made a total of three journeys to the High Court, beavered away in Leeds Law Library, and obtained a written opinion from a barrister. This latter cost him a total of £287.88 including solicitor's fees. Altogether he reckons to have

spent £1,215.73 in his attempts to have all his property returned, (although the actual cost will certainly have been higher).

When finally the Judicial Review of November 2, 1992 came round, he was informed that the hearing proper was now unnecessary because the North Yorkshire Police and the CPS had notified the court that the warrant was indeed invalid. The court accepted his submission that he was entitled to both costs and damages, and that these would be decided in the near future. (Eventually he settled with the police for a five figure sum).

It was also agreed by the authorities that not only would all his property be returned but that no further criminal proceedings would be instituted on the basis of any material seized by the police using the invalid (ie illegal) warrant.

The other material seized included a number of leaflets, one about *The Great Lie of the 6,000,000* (a perennial Jordan favourite), and another of his 1962 "Free Britain From Jewish Control" speech.

In 1993, he published a pamphlet called *Merrie England 2000*, which he dedicated to Gerald Kaufman; this is a story set in the near future in which the nightmare of enforced racial *tolerance* has finally come to pass. This publication was described as *racist*, and drew the attention of the local press, but not of the police, who had clearly eaten enough humble pie over their bungling of the warrant two years earlier. (102) As stated, *Merrie England 2000* is a story about one man's nightmare, but in view of all the hassle he had to endure FOR EIGHTEEN MONTHS, one is entitled to ask why Mr Jordan is so optimistic about the future.

The really frightening thing about this entire sordid affair is that Mr Jordan succeeded in his action only because the warrant was out of date and therefore invalid. If the warrant had been in order he would have faced the degradation of having his life's work confiscated at the behest of some snot-gobbling little Zionist creep, (103) held for as long as the authorities deemed fit, (104) and would then have faced prosecution at the end of it, and for what? Publishing the sort of cartoon one can see any day in any number of publications. (105) All manner of individuals are ridiculed and caricatured so, including prominent politicians. Doubtless cartoonists in Israel are no less ruthless in their guying of Israeli politicians than Mr Jordan, although obviously for different reasons. (106) The oft' stated premise of this piece of nonsense is though that the Jew is some sort of special, even divine, being, who should never be guyed, ridiculed, or attacked in any other manner, for surely any such attack always constitutes violent anti-Semitism. (107) Such nonsense has now of course been extended to all races - bar the Aryan race of course - for the spectre of racial hatred is haunting this land, so we are told. To think, speak or write ill of a man is acceptable, but to attack his race - provided he isn't both white and non-Jewish - that is the ultimate sin.

There is of course no greater fallacy than to judge a man by his race, (108) and Mr Jordan, a highly intelligent man (109) if nothing else, should really know better. But, accepting that all or most of us have all manner of petty bigotries, hates and dislikes, what is so terrible about hating Jews more than say blacks, whites, Catholics, or even policemen? Look at the cartoons on page 17; the top one was published by an avowed "anti-racist", Ken Livingstone; the bottom one appeared in the Jewish Chronicle for July 23, 1993. The former was actually referred to the Attorney General by the very same people (Organised Zionist Jewry) who reported the Jordan cartoon; in this case the Attorney General took no action, but how different is it qualitatively from Mr Jordan's effort? And doesn't the other cartoon -which portrays Hassidic Jews as dinosaurs - impart the same sort of negative message? Let us though not stop here, let us ask what possible use such harassment can be to the public, to race relations, indeed to anyone except the likes of Gerry Gable, who is secure in the knowledge that no one in authority or positions of power recognises the hatred he and his kind espouse for Western Man, and that those few who do

recognise it and have the effrontery to call a spade a spade, can be and are routinely smeared as anti-Semitic. Firstly, the claim that such a cartoon can cause serious public disorder, or any disorder, is a palpable nonsense. And in any case, the unfortunate saga of *The Satanic Verses* demonstrates clearly that there is no end to the restrictions on freedom that certain minorities - ethnic or otherwise - can demand of the state. Insofar as any danger to public order exists through the publication of nonsense, Salman Rushdie's poorly written anti-Islamic (and anti-British) "novel" was far more deserving of prosecution, yet many of the same sort of people who laughed up their sleeves with glee over the harassment of a geriatric Nazi expressed genuine concern over the mere suggestion that Rushdie's book should be suppressed, and of course at the outrageous events that followed in the wake of its publication.

Then there is the expense and the sheer waste of police and other man-hours that was incurred on account of this nonsense. While the police were wasting time hounding the malevolent - but harmless - Mr Jordan, they could have been devoting their attentions to solving genuine crimes, racial attacks, perhaps?

A report of the raid on Mr Jordan's home appeared in the Jewish Chronicle for June 28, 1991. It was claimed here that no less than seven police officers took part in the raid. Seven! (110) The offending cartoon also appeared

on the front page of the paper, which does rather beg the question: why wasn't the *Jewish Chronicle* wasn't raided as well? Because this newspaper has a far larger circulation than Mr Jordan's samizdat, and surely their seeing such a grotesque caricature on the front page of the self-styled organ of British Jewry must have thrown some of the poor darlings into paroxysms of rage.

Gerry Gable was quoted in this article, he was said to have claimed that the raid was excellent. Somebody else who obviously thought the raid was excellent was Israel Finestein, President of the Board of Deputies of "British" Jews. Incredibly he said that the law against incitement wasn't strong enough. Finestein referred to "sickening" hate literature. In the same article, Eldred Tabachnik (111) and company were said to have published a report which said that at present, to write that X, a Jew is untrustworthy, is defamatory; to write that all Jews are untrustworthy is not. (112) The current writer would most strongly disagree: to write that all Jews are untrustworthy is defamatory; on the other hand, to write that Gerry Gable is a piece of slime, and that his friends at Furnival Street at no better, is most definitely not defamatory.

Returning to the raid, the employment of seven police officers to raid the home of an arthritic, geriatric crank (113) is surely a matter that should give the public cause for concern. How many man-hours were wasted here? How much public money? How many genuine crimes could have been processed, investigated or even solved if the police, the CPS and all the other parties involved had been saved the agony of *investigating* this *outrage*? There might have been genuine victims of real crimes waiting to be processed on account of this nonsense. Some of these victims might even have been Jewish.

"Yes Mrs Cohen, I do appreciate that you've been raped, but we have far more urgent priorities than swabbing your clitoris for semen stains. Don't you realise there's an anti-Semitic lunatic at large? He's already mailed out fifty stickers this week attacking your beloved Israel. Now leave your number with the station sergeant and go home and have a nice hot bath. When the boys get back from Thorgarth and process their paperwork I'll see if I can spare one of them to send over to interview you."

Sarcasm aside, this is not much of an exaggeration. One should ask also how such brazen tyranny is expected to prevent or discourage either Mr Jordan or his followers and fellow travellers from hating Jews. Indeed, if he didn't have much of a case against the Chosen Race when he first began singing the praises of his glorious Führer all those years ago, he certainly has now. In one of his many letters to Mr Jordan, the current writer suggested that, among other things, it was not the Jews he hated, rather that it was their leaders and their self-appointed defenders, in particular the *Stürmer*-like Gerry Gable and other Jewish "anti-fascists".

He was outraged and replied thus, "I will resist the temptation to refute the comment in your letter that I am not anti-Jewish. This must be one of the very few views I share with the Jewish community at large, a body which I am sure would any time furnish me with a testimonial to this effect on request." (114) CJ continued further that Jews had persecuted him in all manner of ways "ranging from depriving me of employment to attempts on my life and pressure to secure my imprisonment". (115)

So what exactly is Mr Jordan's beef against the Jews? Come to think of it, why does anybody hate these wonderful people at all? They are wonderful, aren't they? At least, they seem to think they are, because anyone who ever says anything unpleasant about them at all is denounced immediately and to high heaven as an anti-Semite.

Jordan, The Jews, And Marks & Spencer

So what exactly does Mr Jordan believe about the Jews? In one of his letters he told me that "The gravamen of my charge is that they naturally incline to behave as Jews, as members of a distinct racial-religious community with interests inimical to those of this and other countries within which they are settled in large numbers with large power and influence. That is all, and that is enough. There my case rests!" (116) I beg to differ! In 1955, Mr Jordan published a book called *Fraudulent Conversion* in which he claimed that the Jews (still) control Russia. (117)

This piece of lunacy, which he dedicated to his father, is full of easily refuted nonsense of the first order. On the very first page he sets out the declared aim of the book, which is to show that "contrary to this fiction, the fact is that Communism is Jewish." This fiction referred specifically to the Doctors' Plot and the anti-Jewish purges in the Soviet Union that were going on at the time. On page 8 the American Zionist, Rabbi Stephen Wise, is quoted thus: "Some call it Communism; I call it Judaism." A certain Mr Engels, a "Manchester capitalist" is said to have been a Jew. On page 12 Mr Jordan cites Russia, No 1. (1919), a Collection of Reports on Bolshevism, as a British

Government White Paper which describes the Bolshevik Revolution, as the work of Jews. He also cites both Winston Churchill and an article from the *Times* as proof of the Jewishness of Bolshevism!

On page 15, he cites a document found, allegedly, on a Jewish Bolshevik commander in the Red Army, Zunder, (Jordan names him as Sunder), as further proof that communism is a Jewish conspiracy.

Finally, Jordan claims on page 25 that Stalin himself was of Jewish origin! A plain reading of this book indicates that Mr Jordan has swallowed whole large tranches of anti-Semitic propaganda. In one of my letters I asked him if he believed in the *Protocols Of Zion*, to which he replied that he had never relied on them! I don't intend to discuss the so-called Nazi Holocaust in the current work in any depth, this is after all a study of Nazi rather than anti-German propaganda. Although I have been unable to obtain a copy of Mr Jordan's infamous "Free Britain from Jewish Control" speech, (118) I have a very good idea of the contents of it.

Two years before this ill-fated speech, Mr Jordan published an exposé called Jewish Economic Conquest in Combat, the journal of the British National Party. (119) Part 1 of this article appeared in issue number 6, for May-June 1960; part 2 appeared in issue 7, July 1960. This article reported the existence of a number of large, well-known firms which were said to be under Jewish control. For example, in the second of these articles, the Chairman of Rothmans Ltd was said to be "the Jew Sydney Rothman"; while the first listed, among others, J. Lyons, Marks & Spencer and Montague Burton. We will return to the supposed Jewish economic conquest of Britain shortly, but first we will examine a related aspect of anti-Semitic propaganda as peddled by Mr Jordan and company, the all-pervasive international Jewish conspiracy and the Protocols Of Zion. We will also examine the response of a well-known (so-called) Jewish anti-fascist to Mr Jordan's ravings, misconceptions and twisted logic.

Difficult as it is to credit, Mr Jordan is in fact a moderate amongst National Socialists and fellow travellers. Apart from the fact that he has never either advocated or used violence in the furtherance of his ideology, (120) he doesn't believe a fraction of the rubbish about the Jews that some of his fellow travellers believe. Some of them believe not just the *Protocols*, not just that the Jews have enormous financial and economic influence, but that they control the banks, the media, the economy, the government, in short virtually everything lock, stock and barrel.

The Nonsense Of Colin Jordan, And Its Refutation (1) The Conspiracy Theory And Jewish Financial Domination

As we pointed out earlier, the *de rigueur* belief amongst those who (profess to) combat anti-Semitism is that Jews are all wonderful people who have been persecuted historically because they wear funny hats and practice a strange religion, at least, some of them do. Clearly though this is not the case, because as we have also pointed out, it is as absurd to generalise about Jews being wonderful people as it is to generalise about them being, well, not so wonderful. We have already taken a look at one of these not-so-wonderful Jews, Gerry Gable. This *Stürmer*-like crypto-Jew, who has never been a member of a synagogue, (121) claims to have been "opposing" anti-Semitism for thirty and more years. (122) We have seen already that he libelled Mr Jordan in his lie-ridden magazine as recently as February 1991; this was a minor libel; he has though, together with his co-racialists in the misnamed anti-fascist movement, told other bare-faced lies about Mr Jordan, and some of these lies are far more serious, as will shortly be revealed. What though could he and his co-racialists have done if he and they had genuinely sought to "oppose" Mr Jordan's anti-Semitism, and his "intransigence on the Jewish question" as George Thayer so diplomatically put it?

The very first thing he or they (123) could have done was to realise that the highly intelligent Colin Jordan may be motivated by factors other than hate for the sake of it. So, when he published his absurd book *Fraudulent Conversion*, somebody could have explained to him that it contained page after page of nonsense. Again, here is what he says in *Fraudulent Conversion*.

Page one: Communism is Jewish. What are the facts about so-called Jewish communism? The facts are that communism is an atheistic philosophy, so the idea that it is controlled by the rabbis or is some devious scheme hatched by the Jewish religion is simply untenable. Much of the nonsense of the Communism-is-Jewish brigade stems from the alleged roles of Karl Marx and Leon Trotsky. As is well known, Marx was descended from the rabbinate, but he was a baptised Christian, moved largely in Gentile company, was a professed atheist, and said not a few unflattering things about the Chosen Race himself. He was also no egalitarian, and along with his mentor





Top: the cartoon published by Colin Jordan which led to his home being raided by seven police officers. Middle and bottom: anti-Aryan cartoons from Gerry Gable's grotesque race-hate magazine. Gable guys a certain kind of white Gentile in exactly the same way that Colin Jordan and other anti-Semites guy a certain kind of Jew.





Top: an anti-Zionist cartoon published by avowed "anti-racist" Ken Livingstone. Red Ken's impeccable anti-Nazi credentials didn't stop Organised Jewry smearing him as an anti-Semite and demanding that he be hauled into court for daring to speak ill of the Chosen Race. Below: a cartoon published by the Jewish Chronicle. The Neturei Karta anti-Zionist sect believe that Zionism has a hidden agenda, to destroy the Jewish religion and transform the Jews from a religious community into a nation; the ridiculing of the more devout Jews is undoubtedly part and parcel of this agenda, although no one branded this cartoon anti-Semitic.

and sponsor, Engels, he engaged in all manner of snide remarks about *inferior races*, in particular "niggers", which was used as a pejorative term even then. (124)

Any Jewish anti-fascist could have pointed this out to Mr Jordan; such a Jewish anti-fascist might also have pointed out that the biggest revolutionary Jew of them all, Leon Trotsky, rejected his Jewish heritage and was what later generations would refer to as - rightly in his case - a self-hating Jew. For example, on his assassination by a Stalinist agent in Mexico, Trotsky was reportedly denounced by his own father, no less. (125)

The "communism is Jewish" propaganda was peddled incessantly by White Russian anti-Communist emigrés in the West in the wake of the Bolshevik Revolution. Paris was a stronghold of this sort of nonsense, and indeed it is believed that the *Protocols Of Zion* may well have been fabricated in France by the foreign department of the Tsarist secret police. (126)

Two of the major players in this stupid game were Boris Brasol, and General Cherep-Spiridovich, the former who later became a Nazi agent, the latter who was on the Russian Imperial General Staff. Both these men spread their nonsense as far afield as the United States, and Brasol is thought to have introduced American intelligence officers to the *Protocols*. (127) Cherep-Spiridovich also wrote a number of articles for the short lived English *literary* publication *Plain English*. The writings of both men are infused with a deep mysticism which any thoughtful person applying a scientific methodology would recognise at once and consign to the dustbin of history where it rightly belongs. (128) It's a pity that nobody told CJ that; they could have done, and he might have listened.

There is by the way absolutely no doubt whatsoever that the *Protocols* is a fabricated document. Even as far back as 1955 it had been analysed to death, and although most of the attacks on it were written by Jews, they were in general far more scholarly than polemical. (129) There was for example the 1934 study by Benjamin Segel; the 1935 "complete exposure" by Herman Bernstein; and the excellent 1942 analysis by the Gentile historian John Shelton Curtiss. (130) Again, if someone had explained all this to CJ at the time, he might have listened.

CJ's claim in his book that Engels was a Jew is nonsense; the reality is that all manner of people have been branded Jews - ie of Jewish origin or descended from Jews - even Adolf Hitler has been accorded this (in his case, dubious) honour! (131) Presumably even the hint of Jewish blood ropes the victim into some all-encompassing, megalithic Jewish world conspiracy.

CJ also fell for the claim attributed to the American Zionist Rabbi Stephen Wise that "Some call it Communism; I call it Judaism." In reality he never said any such thing, it is doubtful if any rabbi ever has or would. (132)

On page 15 of his book, CJ refers to a document allegedly found on a Jewish Bolshevik commander in the Red Army, Zunder (or Sunder). The first report of this piece of nonsense appears to have been by in the February 5, 1920 edition of a Russian emigré newspaper, *Prizyv*, which was published at Berlin. It appears to have reached England in April of the same year; its text is too stupid to comment on, (133) although better men than Mr Jordan have been taken in by it. According to the historian Léon Poliakov, in 1922 the *Zunder Document* was read in full to the entire Czechoslovakian Parliament! (134)

On pages 17-8, CJ refers to Edgar Sisson, and the Sisson Report which he adduces as further evidence of the Jewishness of Bolshevism. This was published as an appendix to Sisson's book One Hundred Red Days, an account of his time in Russia. The full title of his book is actually ONE HUNDRED RED DAYS: A Personal Chronicle of The Bolshevik Revolution - 25 November 1917 - 4 March 1918. It was published by Yale University Press in 1931, and contains no mention of any Jewish conspiracy. The Sisson Report (better known as The German-Bolshevik Conspiracy) was published originally as War Information Series, No 20, in October 1918, by The Committee on Public Information, the official propaganda agency of the United States in World War One. It consists of a number of documents which purport to prove that Lenin and his bunch of murdering thugs were in the pay of the German High Command. In June 1956 - after the publication of CJ's book - an extensive analysis by the career diplomat George Kennan was published in THE JOURNAL OF MODERN HISTORY. Sisson's documents were clearly shown to be clumsy forgeries. That notwithstanding, there are many other pointers to the absurdity of Jewish Bolshevism, not the least of which is that Trotsky appointed a former Tsarist general to suppress the revolt of the Kronstadt sailors.

Finally, CJ claims that Stalin himself was of Jewish origin; this is not quite as bizarre as the belief that Hitler was a secret Jew, but it is just as false.

The Nonsense Of Colin Jordan, And Its Refutation (2) "Jewish Economic Conquest": Fantasy Versus Fact

Of all the beliefs Colin Jordan holds about the Jews - fallacious and otherwise - probably the most deeply ingrained in his mind, is the belief that they control the economy. This is not exactly an original belief, to put it mildly. For example, as long ago as 1878 the St. Louis Book Club & News Company published a tract called THE CONQUEST OF THE WORLD BY THE JEWS. AN HISTORICAL AND ETHNICAL ESSAY. This was written by Major Osman, Bey. The seventh edition of this book had actually been published at Wiesbaden three years earlier. (135) "Major" Osman, Bey also went under the names Frederick Millingen and Kibridli-Zade. He was described by one author as an international crook of Jewish origin. (136) And, as far back as 1846, a book called RÉPONSE DE ROTHSCHILD I ER ROI DES JUIFS. A SATAN DERNIER, ROIS DES IMPOSTEURS was published at Paris. (137)

The name Rothschild is virtually synonymous with banking, in particular "International Banking". The history of the Rothschild family has been well documented, as have the histories of many of the other great banking dynasties, a large number of which were Jewish. There are very prosaic socio-historical reasons for this, but if Jews are "disproportionately represented" (138) as bankers, other races are "disproportionately represented" in other fields of economic activity. The prominence of Jews in banking has given rise to all manner of conspiracy theories, some of them bordering on the absurd, but while there have most definitely been conspiracies in banking circles, and while there still are, (139) it is not the controllers of the system - Jew and Gentile - who are the problem, but the system itself, in particular the system by which credit is created ex nihilo by a privately-owned cartel and loaned into circulation against the real wealth of the people.

Mr Jordan though has been less concerned with the undoubtedly dishonourable Jewish bankers than with the -in his opinion - grasping, mendacious and manipulative Jewish merchants. The first of his JEWISH ECONOMIC CONQUEST articles begins "EVERY NOW AND AGAIN someone says to us: 'Why don't you keep the Jews out of it? You would get much more support if you did...In this article we give a factual report on the Jewish economic conquest of Britain. As some indication of the extent of this conquest we list below a few of the biggest Jewish firms." (140)

As stated, Mr Jordan is a moderate amongst National Socialists; his one-time hero the late Arnold Leese (whom he still greatly admires) went much further. A number of files in the Public Record Office contain sporadic references to the activities of Leese and a few examples of his anti-Jewish propaganda. File HO 45/24967 xc3763 includes a number of Imperial Fascist League stickers, which were undoubtedly designed by him. One of them reads:

Where's George? GOT lost looking for an ENGLISH TEA-ROOM

Another reads:

MONEY spent with JEWS never returns to GENTILE POCKETS

And a third bears the most curious legend:

EVER SEE a Jew use a PNEUMATIC DRILL?

The first of these is clearly a reference to Maison Lyons, which in the first of CJ's *JEWISH ECONOMIC CONQUEST* articles is said to run Britain's largest tea-shop chain: two hundred and sixty outlets plus factories. In 1920, Leese's mentor, Henry Hamilton Beamish, (141) published *The Jews' Who's Who*, which listed the supposedly sinister influence of Israelite finance on the British government and on the British nation. (142)

All this is very impressive, as is the reality of Jewish economic power, but what exactly does this *power* reflect? The simple answer is that it reflects consumer sovereignty! This is something which is surely not difficult to grasp,

especially for a highly intelligent man like Colin Jordan. (143)

To wit, the scenario painted by Beamish, Leese et al, is more than a little inaccurate. Let us take just one example, Marks & Spencer. According to the "Jew-wise", (144) the *power* of Marks & Spencer is directly related to some sort of mystical hold of the International Jews, or perhaps even the Elders of Zion, over the minds of the British public, the American public or even the publics of every country in the entire world. This power, which may well be related to that of the supposedly all-Jewish banking cartel to create credit *ex nihilo*, is apparent only to the Jew-wise, the rest of the public, the gullible *goyim*, are either totally ignorant of it or totally in awe of it. Politicians and economists fall exclusively into the latter category, and any who get out of line will be instantly denounced by an orchestrated chorus led by the mass media.

The simulated outrage of the media, the organised left and of course Organised Zionist Jewry over any mention of the Jewish Question is in point of fact all too real, although one can of course place different interpretations on it, but the truth about Jews in the economy is thoroughly documented, (145) and indeed an examination of the plain facts would at any time expose the theory of Jewish economic dominance for the nonsense it is. So what are the facts about Marks & Spencer? (146)

Writing in 1984, Asa Briggs said that Marks & Spencer serviced fourteen million customers. (147) Michael Marks the store's founder, was born in Grodno in Russian Poland in June 1859; his mother died giving birth. (148) The young Michael Marks turned up in England in 1882, penniless, illiterate, and speaking no English. He made his way to Leeds where he met a Mr Isaac Dewhirst who took pity on him and lent him £5, (149) an enormous sum in those days, certainly for an illiterate emigré peasant from Europe.

So what did Marks do with this fiver? The short answer is that he invested it in, not only his future, but in the future of Britain. Using the money to buy stock from Dewhirst's warehouse, he became a hawker, humping a backpack across the West Riding selling buttons, mending wools, needles, tapes, stockings and the like. (150) Doubtless this service was much appreciated in those days. Today, Mrs Smith living in an isolated cottage on the Yorkshire moors can jump into her car or catch a bus into town to do her shopping. Indeed, it is probably true to say that for the overwhelming majority of ordinary people in the Western world, Japan, and increasingly in other areas, such marvels of modern technology as the motor car, the telephone, the television, the fax, and refrigeration mean that they are hardly isolated however far they live from the metropolis. But as far as any of these services existed in Marks' day, (151) they were few and far between, and for the most part not accessible to all but the very rich.

Marks' days as a door-to-door salesman did not last long however, for in 1884 he opened a stall in Leeds market and placed over it a sign bearing the immortal legend "Don't Ask The Price, It's a Penny". (152) This became one of the most successful advertising slogans ever invented, and a mere six years later the industrious Marks was running five penny bazaars throughout Yorkshire and Lancashire. (153) A penny wasn't a fortune even in those days, but one author tells us that Marks "pared his profits to the minimum...in order to increase sales". (154) This is a principle a lot of traders - Jewish and non-Jewish - have since employed. It might best be described as "Stack it high, sell it cheap". Obviously this is good for the customer, and anyone who says otherwise is either an anti-Semite, ignorant of economics or simply doesn't do the household shopping. Nevertheless, later generations of Jewish traders were to be rewarded for this undoubted service to the public with charges of price-cutting. It is worth taking a short diversion here to explore this particularly bizarre facet of anti-Semitic ideology and twisted logic.

After it had turned anti-Semitic, the British Union of Fascists made regular if at times thinly veiled attacks on the menace of Israelite finance. (155) One of these was the pamphlet by Peter Heywood, MENACE of the CHAIN STORES, which bore on its front cover a picture of a giant octopus will its tentacles encircling a corner shop. The pamphlet begins with the words "The alarming growth of chain store, multiple shop and cut-price monopolies is rapidly provoking a crisis in the distributive trades. The small shopkeeper is being driven off the streets of this country before the inexorable advance of the great trusts and combines, so many of which are controlled by alien and Jewish Finance."

Undoubtedly Colin Jordan would agree with this sentiment one hundred percent, but leaving aside the nonsense about Jewish finance, this pamphlet has two hallmarks. 1) It raises what for many small shopkeepers was and

remains a real issue, the threat to their livelihoods by the application on a colossal scale of the stack it high, sell it cheap technique as pioneered by Michael Marks and others. 2) It betrays a fundamental ignorance of economics.

Re Jewish Finance, there is of course no such thing, indeed, one of the main criticisms of international finance is that it is subject to the dictates of raceless capital, which it is. Even at the height of the American Zionist orchestrated boycott of Nazi Germany, the Hitler government was able, albeit with some difficulty, to raise loans abroad, and to build a formidable fighting machine which held out in a world war for the best part of six years. The international boycott against the former Apartheid régime in South Africa was only partially successful, as indeed was the Arab boycott of Israel.

The issue of the small shopkeepers' livelihoods is a different kettle of fish, and there is an obvious conflict of interest here between these people, who want to make a living, and the great masses of the public, who are the real power in the market place, because without their patronage, the great combines and trusts would disappear up their own exhaust pipes! This is what Colin Jordan and his ilk do not or will not understand.

There is an interesting parallel in our own day. A few years ago the current writer was hitchhiking between London and the North of England, and the lorry driver remarked to me "Got any darkies down your end?" or words to that effect. I soon learnt that he was referring to Asians rather than blacks, in particular to Asian shopkeepers. "They're like a fucking cancer," he said, then he made some remark about their buying up all the shops.

At the time I put this down to ill-informed small talk. In any case, I had no intention of arguing with the fellow and risk being dumped on the hard shoulder, but he was both wrong and right. The new small shopkeeper class in Britain is indeed the Asian (generally Indian) entrepreneur. But why? How have these people indeed spread "like a cancer" and strangled the economy like an octopus? The answer to the last part of that question is again that they haven't, they have simply responded to consumer demand, and because they have done so better than anyone else they have prospered. An article in the London Evening Standard of May 18, 1981 emphasised this point strongly if inadvertently: the managing director of the Spa supermarket chain predicted that more than half of Britain's independent grocery stores may be owned by Asian shopkeepers in 5 years "because they give customers what they want". (156) I have no information on this, but it is probably the case that the lorry driver who denounced Asian shopkeepers as a cancer has made no little contribution to their effecting a (supposedly) octopus-like grip on the economy. Such has certainly been the case with many of the crazier anti-Semites who rail at the supposed Jewish control of the economy.

As (in my personal experience) Asian shops and mini-markets generally charge more than British shops, (157) there must be another reason they are patronised, there must be something that shoppers want which they give them, and for which they are willing to pay a premium. That something is convenience. In particular, Mr Patel is likely to be open all hours, and on Sundays, and to stock a wide variety of goods. He is also likely to be quite a bit closer than the local Tesco or Fine Fare, which for the elderly shopper, the lazy shopper or the shopper with little time on his (or more often her) hands, means that his premium is a premium worth paying. With the recent relaxation of the laws concerning Sunday trading it is quite likely that this will change, but again this will be concerned with the shifting of vested interests, and nothing whatsoever to do with race.

Before we return to Marks & Spencer though, I must relate what is surely the irony of ironies, to wit, the attack on "international finance" has now turned full circle, and the small Asian shopkeeper is in the vanguard of this latest crusade. I live in South East London, in an area called Sydenham, which is well stocked with shops, including supermarkets. At the time of writing, the Sainsbury supermarket chain is in the process of building - or attempting to build - a new superstore on a site at Bell Green, a mile further down the road. A consortium of local vested interests (including the Sydenham Society and the local rotary club) has formed a campaign called SUS (Save Us from Sainsburys), which is lobbying to try to stop the store being built. One of the grounds on which these people want it halted is that the soil at Bell Green is (supposedly) toxic. The SUS newsletter is being distributed by many local shopkeepers, and a lot of them are Asians! It goes without saying that Messrs Khan and Patel are less concerned about a surfeit of toxins in the soil at Bell Green than about a deficit of money in the tills of their own shops. Which is what will surely happen if the superstore gets the green light. (158) Let us now return to the genesis of Mark & Spencer.

Michael Marks could have continued running his market stalls, making a comfortable living, and providing employment for his goy workers, but being an ambitious sort he decided to expand. In 1894, Tom Spencer, the cashier of Isaac Dewhirst, bought into the business for three hundred pounds. (159)

By 1903, when the partnership was registered as a private limited company, (160) Marks and Spencer had thirty-six market bazaars and shops, including three in London. Spencer was some eight years older than Marks, and was born in the Yorkshire town of Skipton. And he was a goy. (161) This has actually been a familiar pattern,

companies founded by Jews have become "de-Judaised" as it were. This point was actually made by Rabbi Goldstein of Neturei Karta when I took him to meet Lady Birdwood. She told him that the Rothschild family controlled all the world's gold, or some such nonsense. To which he replied that the Rothschilds were Gentiles, (162) having long since married out. Likewise, the world famous news agency, Reuters, was founded by the German-born Jew Julius Reuter; (163) in 1865, Reuter's Telegram Company Ltd was incorporated with £250,000 capital. (164) In 1984 it was floated as Reuters Holdings plc; (165) in 1991, it employed over 10,000 people worldwide. (166) Doubtless many other examples could be given.

What are we to make of this? Actually, Marks & Spencer was to remain in Jewish hands for many years to come, (167) but it was far from plain sailing. Tom Spencer died in July 25, 1905, (168) but the death of Michael Marks resulted in an internal dispute, and an attempt was made to take control of the company. This went on until 1917 when the Marks family won a court action which left them in sole control with Simon Marks as Chairman, the

firm having nearly became Steel & Chapman. (169)

It was Simon Marks and Israel Sieff who developed the company and did more than anything to establish the name. Simon was the (only) son of Michael Marks the company's founder. Simon became a director of the company in 1911 and chairman in 1916; Israel Sieff joined the board in 1917 and later became chairman. (170) In 1924 the company adopted a policy of buying direct from manufacturers, something which continues to the present day. (171) The attempted boardroom coup (mentioned already) was one problem the company faced, another was World War I. This ended the company's penny-pricing policy - which in any case could hardly last forever - it led also to a dearth of goods, and to price controls. "Goods, not customers, dictated policies, for like any retail concern M&S had to buy and sell whatever goods were obtainable at whatever prices were set for them. Moreover, M&S had to borrow money..." For the first time in its history, profits fell. (172)

It would be pointless to relate the entire history of the company, but however much Mr Jordan hates the Jews, he could certainly have found many less progressive Gentile employers. (173) For example, "In the 1930s...the company's concern for the employees' welfare did not make them popular in all quarters." (174) In 1934 the company set up a welfare committee, which still functions to this day. (175) I have no information on company political policy, but it is a safe bet that, along with many Gentile companies, M&S would not willingly employ far right extremists; (176) though one can hardly accuse them of operating a conspiracy on account of this, and it is doubtful if someone who hates Jews as much as Mr Jordan, would want to work for them anyway, however attractive the contract of employment he was offered.

M&S became a public limited company in 1926, by which time there were 125 stores. By 1993, the M&S group under the chairmanship of Sir Richard Greenbury, (177) had a turnover of £5.9 billion, an operating profit of £737 and total exports of £232 million. And over 300,000 shareholders. (178)

In 1977, a profit-sharing scheme was introduced to issue shares to all staff with five years continuous service, full time and part time: "Typically, a sales assistant earning £4,000 a year in 1981-82 may be allocated about 120 shares for the year." (179) In July 1994, the disreputable tabloid newspaper the *Sun*, reported that company chairman Sir Richard Greenbury had earned £779,188. After taking a pay cut! The previous year he had earned

£806,626. It was stated that he had joined the company as a £4 a week shop worker, and was now 58 years old. It was also reported that the 62,120 workforce earned an average of £9,460. (180) When one takes into account part time workers and the excellent staff conditions, etc, this is not a little impressive.

M&S does its bit for charity too, and in 1981 it donated £1,205,000 to various charities, including cancer relief. This was more than BP or ICI. (181) It also donated to the arts and education, and sponsored fashion shows; (182) the latter of which is clearly an example of enlightened self-interest.

This is all good and fine, but what about the current ownership of M&S? Writing in 1973, the goy author Stephen Aris pointed out that of the top 500 companies listed in the *Times Index*, "only twenty-three could fairly be described as Invisb." This is 4.6%, which is hardless research (182).

as Jewish". This is 4.6%, which is hardly a monopoly. (183)

In his 1985 company biography, Dr K.K. Tse wrote that Marks & Spencer had 235,000 shareholders, mostly private investors, 70% owning less than 2,000 shares. The biggest shareholder is the Prudential Corporation with just under 7%; directors of the company own less than half of 1% of its total equity. And no less than 22,000 members of staff have become shareholders through the company profit-sharing scheme. (184)

The Marks & Spencer annual report for 1994 contains a more precise breakdown of company share ownership. Of the directors, the largest single holding (of ordinary shares) at March 31, 1994, belonged to S.J. Sacher, who held 329,130 shares; he was followed closely by the Hon David Sieff who held 304,157 shares. D.G. Trangmar held 189,006 shares; all the other 17 directors held far less than 100,000 shares each. (185) The *Times* for March 31, 1994 reported the share price of M&S as 412p. (186) So, all these people were unquestionably wealthy, more so than the man in the street, but let's keep a sense of proportion. On page 52 of the annual report, a detailed

breakdown of ordinary shareholders is made, and this is even more revealing. A grand total of 1,343 shareholders owned more than 100,000 ordinary shares, and of these, 47 were insurance companies; 906 were banks and nominee companies; 36 were "identifiable pension funds", and a mere 152 were individuals.

On the same page it is stated that M&S then had a grand total of 308,634 shareholders. It goes without saying that only a tiny fraction of these people can be Jews. Marks & Spencer is not a typical Jewish firm or typical of any firm; for one thing it has been spectacularly successful. Today of course it can hardly be called a Jewish firm at all. Typical or not, the growth of M&S has been not untypical of many once Jewish firms; they were founded by Jews as family businesses, and grew into multi-million pound, or multi-national concerns, employing thousands or tens of thousands of people, and subject to the vagaries of raceless capital, the so-called international finance so beloved of right wing conspiracy theorists.

Again, typical or not, the story of Marks & Spencer had been repeated many times with non-Jewish businesses. M&S has been one of the great success stories, others have been more modestly successful, and many businesses, whether owned by Jews, Gentiles or both, have either been absorbed by more successful concerns, or simply gone to the wall.

Incidentally, in his memoirs published in 1988, the then current President of Marks & Spencer recalled that "Ours was a Jewish house, but in no way orthodox; I always knew I was a Jew, but I was never conscious of anti-Semitism because in my school and university days I never experienced it personally." (187) This is in stark contrast to so-called Jewish anti-fascist Gerry Gable, who claims to have been opposing this entity for thirty years. Why then did Marcus Sieff never experience anti-Semitism when Gable has sought it and found it in every cranny, cleft and nook? It could be that Gable comes from a working class background while Marcus Sieff was born into a middle class family. But it is far more likely that - to paraphrase Mrs Beaton - in order to oppose anti-Semitism, you must first manufacture it!

Returning now to our main theme, Marks & Spencer and Jews in the economy: the Colin Jordans of this world, and the more fanatical anti-Semites - in particular the long departed Arnold Leese and H.H. Beamish (188) - continue to rail at the mythical Jewish Economic Conquest of Britain, America, and even of the entire world, yet the most cursory examination of the true facts of Jewish economic history would have revealed at any time, and still would to this day, just what utter nonsense these men espouse.

Of course, this is by no means the end of the story, the fact that M&S is an enormous company with substantial buying power means that it can, for example, make or break suppliers who do not dance to its tune. The anti-Zionist Jew Alfred Lilienthal makes a point or two of this kind in his massive study of Zionist mischief-making *The Zionist Connection*. (189) It should never be forgotten though that Gentile companies can and do exercise the same sort of power, although there is undoubtedly more than a grain of truth in the claim that Jews - in particular certain Jewish organisations - are more adept at this than others.

One author has written that "While there is no real evidence of an international conspiracy of Jewish bankers, some Jews in banking have conspired." (190) This is indeed true, but the same author points out that: "...one of the oldest and most virulent anti-Semitic myths holds that Jews are driven by mercenary motives that the rest of mankind is innocent of." (191) In other words, before one makes sweeping allegations against Jewish businessmen, one should ask if Gentile businessmen are any different? In other words, do you count your change only when you have been served by a Jewish shopkeeper? Further comment would be superfluous.

The Jewish involvement in and domination of the film industry is another perennial area of concern for Mr Jordan's fellow travellers. (192) The claim that "the Jews control the media" has become a staple of anti-Semitic folklore, except when one probes behind the slogans and the rhetoric of anti-Semitic propaganda, one finds that, at least, as far as Hollywood is concerned, this claim is based more in fact than in the tiny minds of lunatic anti-Semites. For example, there is the classic own goal of the Jewish author Lester D. Friedman, who reported in 1987 that:

"Until television undercut the industry's power, Jews guided the destiny of America's largest propaganda machine [and] put their stamp on the American mind ..." (193)

As the film industry has most definitely been a major cause of subversion and undermining of public morals, those Jews who work in it certainly have a case to answer, though the oft' made claim that media Jews are involved in a conscious conspiracy to undermine Western values, and to destroy Western Man, requires the extraordinary proof that all extraordinary claims demand. And that proof, at least for the moment, is sorely wanting. (194)

Obviously it would be ludicrous to suggest - as Jewish spokesmen often do - that this heavy concentration of Jews in the media does not have some effect, that, at the very least, it does not lead to a conscious public expression of Jewish aspirations, and not a little black propaganda directed at the people Jews most love to hate, namely Nazis. But it really isn't that simple. It should also be pointed out that the country in which the media is controlled

almost lock, stock and barrel by Jews, Israel, faces the same sort of "problems" as the media of the Western democracies; it is at times almost as if the *raison d'être* of the media everywhere is to corrupt traditional values. (195)

However, the same author we referred to earlier, Gerald Krefetz, tells us that the industry on which the Jewish "stranglehold" is greatest - in the United States - is the toy industry. (196) Another major area of Jewish control is (or was) the fur industry. In the early 1940s, Jews controlled 94% of the American fur industry in New York; 90% of fur workers were also Jews. (197) This wonderful piece of information doesn't hold quite the same fascination for the lurid minds of Mr Jordan's followers, presumably because the idea that the Jews might be conspiring to sell everybody in the world a fur coat doesn't have the same appeal to anti-Semites as the only slightly less improbable notion that they are using the power of the press and the cinema to impose the fiendish plan of King Solomon and the Learned Elders of Zion. (198)

It should also be pointed out that while Jews are often castigated for their ownership and control of industry-largely through their own industry - the same people who attack them often attack the Negro for a distinct lack of industry, in particular for not owning his own businesses, not working, and signing on for the dole (or welfare). Finally, I would like to make two points: the first is that, as we have seen earlier, Mr Jordan, rabid anti-Semite that he is, had no qualms whatsoever about shopping (or shoplifting!) in a Jewish-owned supermarket. (199) That being the case he has no right to complain that "the Jews" control the economy, because if they do, it's pillocks like him that enable them to! Even the Nazis seemed blissfully unaware of this, and indeed their attitude towards Jewry was at time not only hypocritical but schizophrenic. Here are a few samples from the contemporary Jewish Chronicle.

The January 4, 1935 issue, page 6, reported that a leading Nazi had engaged a Jewish doctor because "I have no confidence in the others."

The March 26, 1937 issue, page 22, reported that Nazi officials were found to be patronising Jewish doctors. It had previously been announced that all *Aryans* so doing would be publicly named. This changed the Party's mind. The May 6, 1938 issue, page 21 reported that "The Nazis sometimes find it convenient to overlook the fact that some of the best brains in the Reich are contained in *non-Aryan* craniums. Several instances of this have lately come to light. Talented Jewish doctors and engineers, especially those under forty-five years of age, have been refused permission to leave Austria and have been given positions."

The paper cited the case of a Jewish doctor and a Jewish engineer. And two others: "Two skilled workmen - a locksmith and a weaver - are known to have been given positions in Germany. Both were offered jobs when they appeared at the Government Unemployment Insurance Office recently to get their unemployment cards stamped. One of them is reported to have exclaimed: 'But I am a Jew; how can I accept the job?' The official answered testily: 'Nobody asked you whether you were a Jew or not.'"

All throughout the era the Nazis pleaded with Germans not to patronise Jewish shops. The July 13, 1934 issue of the Jewish Chronicle reported that the Nazis were angry that Germans were still buying from Jews. It is generally reported that during the Kristallnacht of November 9, 1938, some 7,000 Jewish businesses were destroyed or damaged; how could these people - who by this time numbered considerably less than 600,000, have owned so many shops after five and a half years of Nazi rule if they weren't doing something right? But one of the most absurd reports from this era can be found in the Jewish Chronicle some two months earlier. By this time, all the big Jewish stores in Berlin had been Aryanised, yet had retained their old names to trade off the goodwill of their former owners! (200)

Finally, I would like to make the point that ignorance of economics is not confined to Nazis and raving anti-Semites like Mr Jordan, for while he accuses the Jews of dominating the economy, as did the Nazis, there was at least one occasion in Twentieth Century history when Organised Jewry accused the wicked Nazis of waging economic war on Jews. By subsiding them!

By October 1935, the true nature of Nazism had long been clear to the world, and the expulsion of Jews from the economy was well underway. In order to hasten their departure, the Nazis not only disenfranchised them, but made their departure to Palestine more attractive by subsidising the Yishuv. (201) An editorial in the October 25, 1935 edition of the Jewish Chronicle demanded:

Scrap the Transfer Agreement!,

And referred to "These tainted German goods [which] are often being sold...at...far below cost price, thanks to the German export bonus; and the infant industries of Palestine cannot complete with them. Worse still, the Transfer Agreement, by forcing Jewish merchants and commercial houses to buy or sell German products on

pain of financial ruin, and at the same time offering substantial advantages for such practices, is...debasing the life of Palestinian Jewry."

This practice was referred to as blackmail - to which Jewish institutions working for Palestine were consenting parties. And of course, the charge was utter nonsense, for, just as no one has to buy from Jews - including Mr Jordan - so no Jew ever had to accept a subsidy from the government of Nazi Germany. Okay, so much for the nonsense of Jewish financial hegemony ad nauseum, now let us take a brief look at the real Jewish menace.

The Real Jewish Menace

As long ago as 1926, a British fascist noted that "there is a *Jewish Question* of world-wide importance, and that there had been accumulated around it for several generations a barricade of journalistic, political, and commercial influence, which has succeeded in destroying our freedom of speech on this one subject, by branding as *anti-Semitic* anyone who dares look over the barricade." (202)

Certainly the influence is real (as is the perfidy), but it is not economic influence, (203) rather it is political influence based on deceit, lies, hatred, emotional blackmail and the "fear-of-the-Jews" syndrome. A full discussion of this pernicious influence is beyond the scope of the current work; let it be said though that in the United States it revolves largely around the so-called Anti-Defamation League, and a number of other powerful Jewish and Zionist organisations. In Britain it revolves around the Board of Deputies of "British" Jews, in particular its misnamed "defence committee" which is currently chaired by an arch-liar and slimeball named Mike Whine; (204) the Institute of Jewish Affairs; and the Searchlight Organisation. Which brings us back to Gerry Gable.

Gerry Gable - An Anti-Semite's Dream

We have seen how this scumbag Stürmer-like crypto-Jew libelled Mr Jordan in one issue of his magazine. This was neither the first nor the last time that Gable has libelled the fearless Führer. If Gable and his kind really wanted to oppose or also - in their terminology - to challenge the anti-Semitic nonsense of Colin Jordan, they could have explained to him what the current writer has just explained, about how the economy really works, and how, rather than bleeding Britain dry, Jewish entrepreneurs have contributed much to the wealth and well-being of Britain, and indeed to many other nations.

Gable though, like many of his kind, is far more interested in playing up to the Nazi stereotype of how Jews should behave, and does so in exemplary fashion, Master of the Big Lie that he is.

We have mentioned briefly the 1965 arsons against a number of synagogues in the Greater London area, and the fact that Mr Jordan's fanatically anti-Jewish wife was the mastermind behind the fires. (205) What we have not mentioned is the fact that the sordid Jewish-born street thug, pimp and faggot Harry Bidney, tried to frame Mr Jordan for planning these attacks.

We have already met Harry Bidney briefly in this work, now let's take a closer look at him. According to arch-liar Gable, Harry Bidney was "a man who had no time for party politics, who had friends amongst all races"; (206) in reality he was a gutter bred piece of trash, like Gable himself, though nowhere near as plausible. (207) And a communist to boot. (208)

Also, according to Gable in his obituary for this scumbag, Bidney broke up the arson gang by persuading one of its members to give himself up to the police. (209) There are conflicting versions of how and why Paul Dukes was brought to book for his role in the fires; the investigating officer Bert Wickstead claimed in his autobiography that Dukes (whom he didn't name) had boasted about torching the synagogues to a Jewish girlfriend, while according to a report in the Jewish Chronicle, February 18, 1966, Dukes confessed following "a talk with Mr. Harry Bidney, of the 62 Group." I have speculated elsewhere that this "talk" may well have taken place in a public toilet. (210) Whatever, Bidney certainly didn't bring the arsonists to book, but he and his gang did attempt to frame Colin Jordan rather than Françoise Dior/Jordan as the mastermind behind the arson campaign.

The documents reproduced on pages 27-8 of this publication were forwarded to the current writer by Mr Jordan after publication of my researches into the 1960s synagogue arsons. I have no doubt whatsoever that they are genuine, and that their content is an accurate reflection of events as they happened. It should be borne in mind

that Gable was himself a member of the 62 Group at this time. Neither myself nor Mr Jordan has any evidence that Gable was involved personally in any way to implicate Mr Jordan in the fires, but I would invite the reader to draw his own conclusions. (211)

In 1974, Gable and his co-racialist Maurice Ludmer, published a scurrilous pamphlet called A Well-Oiled Nazi Machine. This was the pamphlet that really launched Searchlight - the first issue of which was dated February 1975 and available only by subscription. A Well-Oiled Nazi Machine was an instant success - there is of course absolutely no correlation between intrinsic worth and commercial viability - and the gullible goyim and paranoid Jews of the working class were hungry for more. Including, apparently, the libel that Ludmer and Gable perpetrated on Mr Jordan, accusing him of being the mastermind behind the 1965 arson campaign. (212) This libel was repeated in the April 1975 issue of Searchlight, which appears to have come to Mr Jordan's attention only some time later, and it was not until June 1976 that he instituted proceedings against the publisher, Maurice Ludmer. (213)

If Mr Jordan had sued for libel, he would have taken Ludmer to the cleaners. Instead, he elected to institute criminal libel proceedings. Criminal libel prosecutions are few and far between, and it must be said that Mr Jordan's summons had little chance of success. The judgment of the Stipendiary Magistrate John Milward is reproduced in full as an appendix to the current work. Not content with having libelled Mr Jordan on this occasion though, Gable continued to spread his lies and disinformation, as we have seen already. He also expanded his lies about the synagogue arsons campaign.

In October 1987, Gable told the Jewish Chronicle that he had been personally responsible for tracking down the arsonists, and added a new twist. The first synagogue to be targeted by the arson gangs was the Brondesbury Synagogue, which was completely destroyed by fire on March 13, 1965. However, four months prior to this, another fire had resulted in the death of a Jewish student. The fire at the Mesifta Talmudical College on November 10, 1964 left trainee rabbi Wolf Katz dead and his friend Robert (Judah) Gottesman seriously injured. Although there had been rumours at the time, a subsequent police investigation ruled out foul play. The current writer was told by a rabbi who grew up in the area that the college had been in a somewhat dilapidated state and that there had been a party the previous night. The older students had also been permitted to smoke. (214)

In his Jewish Chronicle interview, Gable simply tacked on the non-crime of the Mesifta College fire to his non-existent successful investigation of the arsons campaign. He even told the paper that "I stood in the burnt-out shell of that yeshiva at four in the morning and made a private vow to get the people who'd done that". In reality the alarm wasn't raised until after 4am! (215) In the April 1993 issue of Searchlight Gable repeated the nonsense about the Mesifta fire being part of the arsons campaign, although he didn't refer to it by name. Gable's partner-in-slime, the goy "Nazi hunter" Graeme Atkinson, made similar claims in a briefing paper he prepared for a so-called anti-racist conference. (216) And Gable reiterated the claim in the August 1994 issue of Searchlight. In the March 17, 1995 issue of the Jewish Chronicle, Gable was interviewed at length to celebrate [sic] the twentieth anniversary of Searchlight magazine. Here, Helen Jacobus repeated the nonsense about the arsons campaign adding: "A child died in one of the attacks." The reality is of course that no one died in any of the attacks, and the Jewish Chronicle knew this without having to dive into their archive because the current writer exposed Gable's lies to the paper almost a year to the day earlier. (217) The truth though is that they simply do not care.

It was the aforementioned August 1994 issue of Searchlight which left Gable with egg on his face though. On the front cover of this issue he published a photograph of CJ, who, together with a group of his acolytes, was giving the Nazi salute to the camera. On page 2, Gable said of this photograph that "Jordan failed in an attempt to bring a criminal libel action against the late Maurice Ludmer, Searchlight's former editor, for publishing this photo of the nazi gang, taken in 1966." While on page 3 he repeated his claim that "Searchlight investigators brought these criminals to justice after the police and Special Branch totally failed to get a result." A bare-faced lie, and "The arson campaign went on for well over a year. A young theological student was killed in one attack in north London and another crippled leaping from a blazing building...More than a year later, Searchlight also caught the person who inspired the attacks, Jordan's ex-wife Françoise Dior." Again, for the full, documented truth about the arson campaign and a total refutation of Gable's lies, the reader is referred to the current writer's A Revisionist History Of The 1960s Synagogue Arsons. (218) However, the photograph used on the front cover of the August 1994 issue of Searchlight has a rather interesting history. This was actually taken outside Marylebone Magistrates' Court in London where a Jewish taxi driver was appearing on a charge of insulting behaviour and damaging a swastika necklace. This necklace belonged to Mrs Jordan, and was snatched from her neck by the culprit Wolfe Busell, because he found it insulting. For his chutzpah he was fined £3, ordered to pay compensation of twenty shillings, and bound over in the sum of £10 for twelve months. The case was reported in, among other papers, the London Evening Standard. In the WEST END FINAL CLOSING PRICES for January 8, 1965. A photograph of Mrs Jordan

STATEMENT BY A.R. THOMPSON

On Friday, 5th February, 1965, the man known to me as "K. Spivey" gave me to understand that if I would supply a false statement of incrimination regarding a fire at the home of a Mr. Wolfe Busell there would be plenty of money for me. He pressed me to make such a statement.

On Monday, 8th February, 1965, he told me that he had himself made a false written statement to the police, alleging that he had heard a Mr. Jordan, a Mr. Hughes and a Mr. Trowbridge planning this fire, and he strongly urged me to make a similar false statement for money. Later that evening a Mr. H. Bidney, from whom I understood Mr. Spivey received money for his services, offered to pay me £200 for making such a statement. This I refused to do because it would have been false.

(Signed) A Themas = on

Arthur Robert Thompson 72 Coningham Road London W.12

13th February, 1965

Statement made in the presence of and witnessed by:-

Colin Jordan, 74 Princedale Road, London W.ll

Will Little Jordan Mrs. Colin Jordan, 74 Princedale Road, London W.ll

These documents, above and page 28, were forwarded to the current writer by Colin Jordan; if they are genuine, they are prima facie evidence that the 62 Group tried to frame the fearless Führer for masterminding the 1965 London synagogue arsons. I have no doubt whatsoever that they are genuine.

STATEMENT

Earlier this year I was contacted by and put under pressure by Mr. H. Bidney and his associates. Mr. H. Bidney forced me, by threats, to spy for him against the National Socialist Movement. Mr. Bidney offered me the sum of £250 if I would give false evidence incriminating Mrs. Colin Jordan in the matter of arson against synagogues.

Mr. G.R. Lawman
49 Abbey Street, St. James,
Northampton

Witnessed	lo gordan	Mr.	c.	Jorda
Witnessed	R Selly.	Mr.	R.	Selby

16th April 1966

appeared under the legend TAXI-DRIVER TORE SWASTIKA NECKLACE OFF MRS. JORDAN. Busell was said to have recognised Mrs Jordan when she flagged down his taxi and refused her fare saying: "I don't want you. I'm a Jew. You stinking Nazi." She is said to have replied "Well if you are a Jew what are you doing out of the ovens?" This, incidentally, was three months before the start of the synagogue arsons campaign, and may well have been the inspiration for it.

Returning from the patholigical Jew-hating Mrs Jordan to her one-time husband, CJ decided to take rather more positive action against Gable's latest calumny than churn out meticulously documented exposés which the venal and totally worthless Anglo-Jewish Establishment (219) would simply ignore. He complained to the Press Complaints Commission, and, not only did this august body uphold his complaint but, ho, ho, ho, Gable was forced to publish a retraction. This appeared on page 12 of the April 1995 issue of the magazine; as usual, Gable played down his outright lies and reported that "In respect of...the very minor point which relates to part of the text accompanying the photograph, the Commission upholds the complaint." Gable buried the result of this adjudication in a two page photo-feature on CJ; fortunately for him, Mr Jordan didn't appear to have mentioned the lie about the arsons campaign leading to the death of Wolf Katz.

In this feature, Gable publishes more distortions; one photo of CJ is captioned: "After their violent interruption at a Leyton election rally, Jordan and his bodyguards feel the full anger of the audience". We have already refuted this lie, while Gable's claim that CJ and company were gaoled for "organising and equipping a paramilitary force...for political ends", makes the Special Branch raid on the NSM's Princedale Road headquarters sound like a re-run of Hitler's abortive beer hall putsch! The claim that CJ is "Mad, bad and dangerous" is also not true; he is simply terribly wrong, but with a *Stümmer*-like slimeball like Gable continually playing up to the Nazi anti-Semitic stereotype of the Eternal Jew and thereby feeding his fantasies and paranoia, it requires little effort on Mr Jordan's part to continue to denude himself.

Anyone who hadn't met a Jew before coming across Gable, and studying his fraudulent methodology, would be more than a little inclined to think that maybe Hitler had a point or two after all. However, before anyone accuses me of wishing to send Gable to the crematorium, I would stress that the proper place for a little shit-stirrer like him is not up the chimney but down the toilet, which is where I intend to flush him.

It is a fact that, together with his hatemongering co-racialists in the former 62 Group, the people on the so-called defence committee of the Board of Deputies of "British" Jews, and a sizable percentage of the staff of the Jewish Chronicle, Gable deserves to be hated. I would argue that the staff of the Jewish Chronicle deserve to be hated most of all, because they know what Gable is doing, they know he lies to them left, right and centre exactly the same way he lies to the gullible goyim, and they could expose him, but they simply do not care, rather they continue to heap praise on him and to use his research.

The Other Side Of The Coin

It is also a fact that the Machiavellian schemers of a number of ostensibly Jewish organisations deserve to be hated, poisoners of the racial soul and disinformers of the public on both sides of the Atlantic that they are. (220) When such organisations yowl and scream about anti-Semitism it is mostly not anti-Semitism they are condemning but any opposition to their own aspirations, namely to ride roughshod over the rest of mankind. However, it is certainly not true that all politically active Jews share either their mentality or their politics. One such dissident is Aryeh Neier. That name may not be familiar to many people on this side of the Atlantic, but Aryeh Neier hit the headlines in the late seventies when he defended the American Nazi Party.

The idea that a Jew would defend Nazis is not entirely novel. Leaving aside Gerry Gable's well-documented defence of unreconstructed anti-Semite Ray Hill, there have been many Jews who have defended the rights of Nazis. (221) That notwithstanding, Aryeh Neier's defence of American Nazis went far beyond protesting against their denial of free speech; as the Executive Director of the American Civil Liberties Union, (222) Neier sought permission for the American Nazi Party to hold a rally in Skokie, a town with a heavily Jewish population. (223) As might be expected, the Berlin-born Neier is an uncritical believer in the Holocaust and says in his book that he lost many members of his family to the Nazi murder machine. (224) Unlike Gable. (225) Neier's views on free speech are diametrically opposed to Gable's; while the latter campaigns for fascism to be made illegal, (226) Neier asks should we let the government determine who should be able to speak? And answers clearly no! (227) And

while Gable is *outraged* at the very existence of so-called Nazis - and race-conscious *Aryan goyim* generally - Neier asks: "How can I, a Jew, refuse to defend freedom, even for Nazis?" (228)

Neier also hits the nail bang on the head with regard to anti-Semitism, something we mentioned at the beginning of this short study: "If a Jew took part in the Crucifixion, all Jews are Christ killers. If a Captain Dreyfus is a traitor, all Jews are traitors. If a Karl Marx - despite his childhood baptism - is a Jew, all Jews are revolutionaries. If a Jew lends money, all Jews are usurers. If one Jew is a participant in a financial scandal, the Jews are manipulating the economy...There are Jews everywhere. We can be blamed for everything." (229)

This is indeed true, but it is not only the Aryeh Neiers of this world who realise this; in the Middle Ages, monarchs recruited Jews as tax collectors; in Tsarist Russia, the secret police churned out all manner of documents blaming the Jews for all manner of revolutionary activity, including, of course, communism. (230) Even the Nazis used Jewish scapegoats, appointing them as food controllers in occupied Rumania during the Second World War, ostensibly because they were bilingual, but in reality so that the Jews rather than the Nazis would be blamed for the inevitable food shortages. (231)

In spite of the heavy presence of racial Jews in the misnamed anti-fascist movement, this popular front against Western civilisation and Western Man is by no means an entirely kosher conspiracy. It includes fellow travellers from all manner of leftist organisations, including fanatical anti-Zionists such as the Socialist Workers Party, (232) the pathological male-hating feminist movement, the organised homosexual movement, and many others who go into hysterics at the sight of a swastika, or even a Union Jack. While Gable, as ever the stereotype Stürmer-like Jew, stands calmly in the background whipping them up into a frenzy with the by now tiresome imagery of the mass graves of the typhus epidemic at Belsen concentration camp. "Look at what those wicked racists did, goys and girls; you don't want them to turn all us lovely Jews into lampshades, do you? Smash the Nazis! By whatever means necessary." You scum, Gerry. You worthless, Machiavellian scheming scum.

The far right though see only the Jew pulling the wires of the gullible *goyim*. Further confirmation of the uniqueness of the Jewish evil comes when they see (or perceive) wealthy Jews donating money to so-called anti-fascist causes. Some of them do this out of idealism of course, because they sincerely believe all the guff they've been fed by the likes of Gable. Some of them do it because they are genuinely afraid of the fascist menace. Needless to say, they too are victims of Gable's hate campaigns, for he - and his kind - are undoubtedly the main reason Jews are hated today. At least by white Gentiles.

Not that Gable is unique, his kind have been engaging in this sort of hatemongering since before he was born. The following is extracted from a Special Branch report of extremist meetings covered by the Metropolitan Police in November 1938, when Gable himself was still a babe in arms.

"Anti-Fascist movements have been more active than usual following the latest Nazi drive against Jewry. The COMMUNIST PARTY has made some attempt to organise demonstrations among the Jewish population in London but achieved little success in this direction.

"A number of pseudo Jewish anti-Fascist organisations have sprung up again, operating chiefly in the Dalston and Stoke Newington areas. (233)

"They style themselves variously as the DEMOCRATIC LEAGUE, DEMOCRATIC UNION, DEMOCRATIC LEGION, LEGION OF DEMOCRATS and JEWISH DEMOCRATIC MOVEMENT and are conducted by men who have been mentioned previously in these reports in connection with this kind of organisation. As has been pointed out before they cannot be regarded as genuine anti-Fascist or Jewish organisations but are merely operating to obtain contributions from the public and to exploit the present disturbed political situation in Europe. The meetings receive little support.

"One new movement has come under notice calling itself the JEWISH EX-SERVICEMENS' MOVEMENT or the EX-SERVICEMENS' NATIONAL MOVEMENT...

"The JEWISH BOARD OF DEPUTIES has itself warned the Jewish population against these movements and advises Jews to keep away from their meetings." (234)

This last paragraph is deceptive because it implies that the Board of Deputies of British Jews was then some sort of legitimate body concerned with preserving democratic values. In reality the Board is today run by Machiavellian schemers who are clearly cut from the same cloth as Gable; (235) there is no reason to believe that its ideological make up was any different in the 1930s, and indeed even before the 1930s, when the Board was staunchly anti-Zionist; (236) hints can be found here and there that it was just as adept at pulling wires behind the scenes then as it is today. (237) However, the point should be taken that there are many people - Gentiles as well as Jews - who profess to be combating anti-Semitism while working to another agenda, in the case of the aforementioned pseudo-Jewish organisations, to make money, and in the case of Gable and others, to further a political (or racial) agenda.

Incidentally, although we haven't yet mentioned it, it is no secret that Gable spent his early years in the Communist Party. He started work as a journalist on the communist newspaper the *Daily Worker* (now the *Morning Star*), and in May 1962 stood as the Communist candidate in a North London by-election where he polled 178 votes. (238)

Yes, not only a pro-race-mixing enemy of *Aryan* man, but a Jewish communist; Gable really is like something out of *Der Stürmer*. Which brings us back to Colin Jordan.

Colin Jordan: A Case To Answer

We have demonstrated I think that much of what Mr Jordan believes - or professes to believe (239) - about the Jews, is demonstrable nonsense. It is though pointless trying to convince him that his extrapolations from the likes of Gable to Jewry as a whole are invalid. For one thing, Mr Jordan is an old man and has long since become set in his ways. But not all Mr Jordan's ideas about Jews, economics or race are arrant nonsense, so let's take a very brief look at some of them.

In January 1960, that champion of the working class the New Statesman published an article on CJ and the White Defence League. Called *The Swastika Fringe*, it portrayed him as the successor to Arnold Leese, (240) and attempted to ridicule him. In this article though it was clearly the author who was the comedian.

"Here, verbatim, are some of the extraordinary things [Jordan] said:

"'Our greatest national asset and treasure is our Anglo-Saxon blood...'" (241)

Presumably the author is quite content to see "Anglo-Saxon blood" phased out. If we [Anglo-Saxons] (242) cease to exist, we will have no assets. Evidently he considers this a good idea.

"Mr Jordan believes that the influx of coloured men and women into this country will inevitably breed 'a mulatto population'."

And the New Statesman doesn't?

Again, this has nothing whatsoever to do with racial hatred but is simply stating a biological fact. (243) Unfortunate though it is, it is true nevertheless that the hate campaign against what might be called the "racial purity" aspect of Nazism has been largely the work of Jews, and for the past twenty and more years, in Britain at least, the work of Gerry Gable, and his kind. (244) What does incense the likes of Mr Jordan so much is that whilst attacking not just white "racial purity" but any form of white nationalism (245) as hate and bigotry, Gable and his kind are the most intensely nationalistic people on Earth. It is hardly any wonder that the more naive among Britain's "Nazis" see this as part of a great Jewish plot to pollute and destroy the white race. (246)

In Britain, and indeed in the white nations throughout the world, most of which now have considerable populations of unassimalable immigrants, (247) there are many Jewish and other ethnic organisations. With very few exceptions, none of these are branded race hate organisations. (248) A very recent development has been the formation of Jewish Continuity, whose raison d'être is self-explanatory. Yet any attempt to form a specifically "white" organisation - Aryan Continuity (249) for example, would be denounced as racist, and, for some strange reason, anti-Semitic. The only reasonable conclusion that one can draw from this - and that Mr Jordan draws from it - is that in their eyes, Jewish continuity is a good thing, while white continuity is not. It remains to be seen who is guilty of racial hatred here, but it is certainly not Mr Jordan.

And on top of all that, Organised Jewry and their fellow travellers are still not satisfied, nor will they be satisfied until the last white woman has passed child-bearing age. For, incredible though it may seem, these thoroughly despicable people who automatically associate any manifestation of racial consciousness by the rapidly dwindling white majority with Nazism, want to destroy what little freedom of speech and dissent Mr Jordan and his ilk enjoy. And the rest of us, of course. These slime, the likes of Gerry Gable, Mike Whine and Neville Nagler, who believe that a million of any *goyim* aren't worth a Jewish fingernail, are forever clamouring and using every dirty trick under the sun to foist even more Draconian restrictions on freedom of speech and publishing on the likes of the gallant CJ. Mr Jordan and company's often stated view that Britain fought on the wrong side in World War Two may not be far from the truth. If our freedoms are to be destroyed by Jews, or indeed by anyone, it makes one wonder why we fought at all. (250)

Returning to the New Statesman interview. The interviewer asked CJ about the so-called Holocaust. Of course! "Questioned about the Nazis, he counters with 'we had Canadian corpse-factories in the first world war...' (251)

Nice one, CJ. This, it should be remembered, was thirteen years before the publication of *Did Six Million Really Die?* Exactly what is the relevance of the atrocities - real and imagined - committed by the Nazis against the Jews during the course of the bloodiest fratricidal war in history, CJ and company have never quite been able to fathom. The standard tactic was, and still is, to wave around photographs of the dead and dying victims of the typhus epidemic and mass starvation at Belsen concentration camp as proof of the evils of something called racism. However, a close examination of the communist rhetoric of the time indicates that this propaganda was as much anti-capitalist as anti-Nazi. (252) To this day it is still largely anti-capitalist. The line peddled by the Socialist Workers Party and their fellow Trotskyites is that *racism* evolved out of capitalism, and that in order to abolish *racism* - ie the white race! - one must first abolish capitalism.

Earlier we alluded to the petition which was circulated by the supporters of Arnold Leese and the letter written by Sir Oswald Mosley which challenged the then prevailing view that Jews alone above every minority in this country were above criticism, or - in Leese's case - above calumny.

Let us return to the April 18, 1961 incident at the Polish-Jewish cemetery. For simply exhibiting a banner, CJ was not only fined £15 but told by the magistrate that: "It's a pity you haven't got more sense." (253) More sense in order to do what? To realise that Jews may not be criticised, or attacked in any way? And however genuine the grievance, however sincere, such criticism is and must always amount to the unpardonable sin of anti-Semitism. (254)

Let me draw an analogy: prior to the abolition of Apartheid, various anti-Apartheid organisations mounted a perpetual picket outside the South African Embassy in London. Many times the current writer walked passed it at various times of the day and night, and there they were, the same, rag-bag "anti-racist" mob who can be seen on sundry other left wing demonstrations, handing out leaflets and protesting, not always in a perfectly restrained manner. Although I never saw any protesters arrested outside South Africa House, I have no doubt that there were occasional arrests. But that didn't stop the pickets. Nor, when one unpleasant female specimen chanted in my presence

"Thatcher, Botha, CIA: How many kids have you killed today?"

was she arrested for using insulting words and behaviour; for surely, whatever her faults, then Prime Minister Margaret Thatcher was not a mass murderer. Unlike the Jews CJ and company were protesting against at the cemetery in April 1961.

Let us recall too the three month sentence that was imposed on CJ for protesting peacefully with a placard at Downing Street. True, it was reduced on appeal, but let us repeat that CJ was arrested and gaoled, not for anything he did, but for something two women might have done to him! At the time of writing (May 1995) we have seen mass protests at a certain port over the shipments of live animals to the Continent. When I say mass, I mean mass. True, there have been arrests, but for bona fide public order offences. (255)

We have seen protests against alleged murders and real murders, alleged state "oppression" and real state oppression aimed at - mostly right wing régimes - all over the world. There have even been odd demonstrations against Zionism, which have not resulted in people being arrested simply for exercising their right to protest. The one group of people though whom it seems must never be allowed to protest are CJ and his fellow Nazis. (256) And the one group of people who may never be criticised under any circumstances whatsoever, are Jews.

This latter view, that Jews alone are above all criticism, has changed somewhat over the past few decades, now it is not only Jews (257) but other minorities who have been raised - to varying degrees - above criticism. The most imaginative of these *minorities* is the homosexual minority, and as well as incessant lectures on the evils of anti-Semitism we have been subjected to lectures, newspaper and magazine articles and all manner of TV programmes extolling the virtues of importuning for immoral purposes in public toilets and the wickedness of our being revolted by such practices as fisting, rimming and watersports. (258)

What confuses Colin Jordan and his acolytes is the apparent double standard. Did I say apparent? After all, it really is laughable when *Aryan racism* is condemned loud and long while Jewish *racism* - ie Zionism - is not only tolerated but praised by, among others, our most powerful politicians. (259) And it is even more laughable when the advocates of racial purity are denounced to high heaven by the advocates, indeed agents, of, racial impurity, to wit, the organised homosexual movement. (260)

Mr Jordan must indeed find this popular front against his Nazi ideals extremely confusing, when he, who has never either advocated or practised violence, is denounced as a would-be genocidal maniac by people who not only advocate violence, but practice it, and indeed promise that when the revolution comes, he will go up against

the nearest wall along with Margaret Thatcher, Richard Branson and the dear old Queen Mother. (261) CJ's "crime" is hating Jews; the legacy of Margaret Thatcher's crimes are all about us, but what is good old Dick Branson's crime? Becoming a spectacularly successful businessman by giving the public what they want and providing thousands of people with a livelihood? And what is the Queen Mother's crime? Being born into a noble family? Doesn't that make a mockery of the whole concept of "anti-racism", condemning a woman merely on account of her parentage?

It should be added that even the churches, which condemn Mr Jordan and company's racism loud and long, are far from free from sin. After all, it was the church, was it not, which invented the very concept of anti-Semitism? (262) Yet today the church is considered by many to be the spokesman for the nation, and indeed for much of the world, on moral matters. Only fascists like Mr Jordan and his ilk must, it seems, be condemned both unequivocally and forever.

Conclusion

In England's green and pleasant land, some two hundred miles apart, sit two men, one old, the other getting on in years. Both have been engaged in extremist politics for many years, both are eaten up with hatred, one for anyone whose "crime" is to have been born of Jewish stock; the other whose hatred is directed at the society he holds responsible for the historic persecution of his race. Both men are highly respected in their fields: Colin Jordan is respected worldwide in National Socialist circles for his undoubtedly courageous stand against the Jew-led hordes of International Finance, intent as they are on mongrelising the white race out of existence. (263) Gerry Gable is respected nationwide and even worldwide as an authority on the extreme right, although his image has become more than a little tarnished of late on account of his persistent and outrageous libels against a certain Larry O'Hara.

Exactly why Gerry Gable is respected as any sort of authority is difficult to say when his curriculum vitae includes the following:

Publishing an outrageous libel on Colin Jordan in 1974 (and possibly even trying to fit him up for arson in 1965). Along with Dave Roberts and Maurice Ludmer, creating the Column 88 Nazi Underground hoax which, among other things, fed the "anti-fascist" movement with a load of guff about an underground army of three hundred men and women" who could bomb, main and murder "anti-fascists" at random. (264)

Publishing outrageous libels against a left wing journalist - the so-called Gable memorandum, dating from 1977. (265)

"Exposing" a gun running plot in Leicester; the police took no action. (266)

"Exposing" a plot to bomb the Notting Hill carnival; the police took no action.

"Researching" a *Panorama* documentary which led to the BBC paying out half a million pounds in legal costs and libel damages.

Foisting a cock and bull story on the media about a hit man being hired to murder him by an unnamed MP, which led to the magazine *Private Eye* shelling out substantial libel damages. (267)

Publishing an error-prone essay in a book on so-called fascism, which proves clearly that he doesn't understand

Leading a bunch of thugs in a raid on a private meeting in a London library; charged with conspiracy but acquitted. Publishing a series of libels on independent researcher Larry O'Hara, libels which are so outrageous that even the so-called anti-fascist movement, which will tolerate just about anything in the cause of fighting the non-existent fascist menace, has largely lined up behind O'Hara.

There are not a few other things I could document about Gerry Gable and his lie-ridden magazine, Searchlight, but these have been documented elsewhere by myself and my colleague Mark Taha, and by other people. (268)

Did I say that it is hard to understand why Gable is highly respected as a "researcher" into the extreme right? There is a reason, it is, as stated, that the so-called anti-fascist movement will tolerate just about anything in the cause of fighting the non-existent fascist menace. Incredible though it may seem, all one has to do is turn up one morning and say: "Hi, my name is Gerry, I'm Jewish, a staunch anti-fascist, and I'm determined to expose the fascist menace, smash these scum off the streets, (269) and prevent the next Holocaust when not only the Jews but the blacks and Asians will be turned into bars of soap and lampshades. Would you like to subscribe to my magazine?"

These are the people who call themselves anti-fascists. Some of these so-called anti-fascists go so far as to advocate - or even to incite - the murders of police officers, judges, and anyone with an income of over fifty thousand a year. Two particularly nasty examples are the so-called anarchist groups Class War and Green Anarchist. These groups are too extreme even for Gable's tastes, (270) and he has been accused by both of subverting both of them in his mythical role as Kosher James Bond. But a more moderate - or rather less extreme extremist group, Anti-Fascist Action - is praised and regularly endorsed by Gable's lie-ridden magazine. Advertisements for this bunch of thugs regularly appear on the back page of Searchlight. Here is what AFA itself has to say about fighting the so-called fascist menace: "Militant anti-fascism has a single goal - to forcefully disrupt the fascists from going about their business. Our aim is to prevent them from selling their papers, distributing their leaflets, putting up their stickers and posters. Our intention is to make it impossible for them to stand candidates in elections, and where they do manage to stand, to disrupt their campaigns at every stage. Ultimately, our aim is to crush them completely, to wipe them off the face of the earth...We have never made any bones about it: to fight the fascists ideologically, you have to fight them physically....A purely legal anti-fascism is no anti-fascism at all." (271)

It remains only for them to brand you, or anyone they don't like, as a fascist, in order to justify snuffing you out. These are the people Gerry Gable makes common cause with, and who make common cause with him. Is it surprising then that many of the less articulate acolytes of Colin Jordan believe that if all Jews were like Gable that the Holocaust would have been justified?

Colin Jordan has been denounced to high heaven by Gable and his kind as a hatemonger, a terrorist and a common criminal. CJ certainly hates the Jews, and has never made any secret of it, but when "anti-fascists" and "anti-racists" can openly profess their hatred of capitalists, the Royal Family, judges, policemen, and sundry others, and can even incite their murder, it remains to be seen why hating Jews or any ethnic other group, should be held to be uniquely evil, however unenlightened it surely is. As for Mr Jordan being a terrorist, he certainly is not. And as for him being a criminal, if one excludes the Jewish supermarket nonsense, the truth is that the only real crime Mr Jordan has ever committed is holding the erroneous belief that Jews control the economy, and being foolish enough to say so.

The greatest tragedy of all though is that while Colin Jordan sits an angry and embittered man churning out his anti-Jewish polemics, ignored by all but his diminutive band of fellow travellers, Gerry Gable continues to be respected, even revered, because the same people who are revolted instantly by the hatred and foolishness of Mr Jordan don't even recognise the hatred of this obscene *Stürmer*-like crypto-Jew, and in the few cases where they do recognise it, they simply don't care, because, like their evil, *Aryan*-hating manipulator, for them, only Jewish suffering - real and imagined - matters. For them there are only two rules: 1) In the struggle against *fascism* anything goes. 2) If in doubt, refer to rule 1).

Appendix

The Queen, on the prosecution of John Colin Campbell Jordan - v - Maurice Ludmer Judgment delivered 30th December, 1976 (272)

I have to announce that the restrictions on reporting have been removed.

This case was heard before me on the 21st September, the 3rd of November and the 8th December.

In this case the prosecution asks for the committal for trial to the Crown Court of the defendant, Maurice Ludmer, on two charges of criminal libel, contrary to Section 5 of the Libel Act, 1843. The defence has submitted that no such committal should take place and I have to rule on that submission.

The prosecution has been conducted by the informant, John Colin Campbell Jordan, in person. He has laid the informations, he says he has been libelled, and he had conducted the prosecution in Court, as well, of course, as giving evidence himself on oath. Let me say at once that he has conducted the prosecution with courtesy, restraint and, for a layman, considerable skill. The defence has had the advantage of being represented by Mr. Geffen with his usual skill and enthusiasm. It is however, perhaps unwise, for an advocate personally to identify himself too closely with his client's case.

The alleged libels are in exactly the same words in each case and appeared in two pamphlets or booklets, one published sometime since June, 1974 and called "A Well-Oiled Nazi Machine" and the other published in April,

1975 and called "Searchlight". It appears to be proved and not now denied that the defendant was the publisher of the pamphlets.

The important words in these two pamphlets are:
"It was this building that housed the National Socialist
Movement and where plans to bomb and attack the Jewish
Community were hatched by Jordan, his wife and their S.S.
Groups".

These words appear to charge a conspiracy to commit malicious damage, arson and murder and are prima facie libellous. Mr. Jordan, on oath, has denied that he has ever been a party to any such plans or conspiracy. He says the words are completely untrue. He says the allegation is likely to provoke a breach of the peace. He says he has never advocated the attainment of political power by violence.

Mr. Jordan was extensively cross-examined by Mr. Geffen as to his work and there is no doubt that for many years he has been involved in politics of an extremist kind. So, it would appear, but at the other end of the scale, have been the writers of the two pamphlets, whether actually the defendant publisher, or other persons. Persons who engage in this kind of activity must not be too thin skinned and must expect to receive hard blows in a metaphorical sense. The words complained of are serious libels but are they more likely to lead to public disorder and breach of the peace than the rest of these pamphlets? It is also said by the defence that in fact Mr. Jordan is a man of violence, contrary to his evidence. It is true that he has been to prison twice, once, after a series of appeals, for one month, and once for nine months. Both these charges were under the Public Order Act, but he says no actual violence was involved.

I am asked by Mr. Geffen (Whose submission is not really a submission in law) to say that this is a case where the time of the Judge and jury at the Crown Court should not be occupied, that no injury to the public has been shown, and that there is no reasonable apprehension of public disorder or breach of the peace resulting from the alleged libels.

There are no merits on the part of the defendant in this submission. The pamphlets seem to me to be scurrilous and disreputable. But would any useful purpose be served by committing Ludmer to the Crown Court and taking up the time of that Court by seeking to get him convicted and punished? One has to take into account also that the circulation of these publications is probably very restricted. I think no useful purpose would be served. I think that, however libellous, these are some of the harsh words to be expected by those who engage in this kind of extremist politics. That Mr. Jordan himself is capable of extremely offensive publications is shown by the dreadful letter produced by the defence and written to a Mr. Bidwell, a Member of Parliament, and exhibited as Exhibit 16.

In the result I do not intend to commit the defendant for trial. I do not think any useful purpose would be served by taking up the time of a Judge and jury in listening to the kind of arguments that went on before me in the course of cross-examination of Mr. Jordan by Mr. Geffen. Mr. Jordan has had the satisfaction of denying before me on oath that he is a man of violence and that he ever took part in any such conspiracy as was referred to in the passages in the pamphlets and I am sure that publicity will be given to that denial and that that will serve to provide him with the remedy he seeks.

There is one feature of the pamphlet "Searchlight" which was not referred to before me, but which I regard as grave and sinister. The pamphlet is headed on the front "Defend Democracy - expose the Racists and Extremists", and on the back it purports to be "A monthly Anti-Fascist bulletin". Yet on the back it prints, publishes, advertises in large letters "Forthcoming Racist Marches, Meetings etc" and gives a list of the dates and places of meetings of the National Front and other similar organisations.

What purpose can there be in advertising your opponents' meetings except for the purpose of attending them and creating disorder and perhaps violence? This seems to me to be an attempt to stir up trouble.

As I said, I see no merits in the defendant's case, but I do not propose to commit for trial. The defendant will be discharged.

An application by Mr. Geffen for additional costs, over and above those allowed upon Legal Aid taxation (the defendant being Legally Aided) was refused.

Notes And References

- (1) I was told this by the librarian of the Guardian newspaper in London.
- (2) As anyone who has read The Testament Of Adolf Hitler * will soon realise.
- * THE TESTAMENT OF ADOLF HITLER The Hitler-Bormann Documents February-April 1945, Edited by François Genoud, Translated from the German by Colonel R.H. Stevens, Introduction by H.R. Trevor-Roper, published by Icon Books, London, (1962). See in particular pages 52-4, 62 & 88.
- (3) The Jewish Chronicle for April 19, 1935, page 10, reported this under the title Hitler and a Jewish Actress. He was said to be a fan of Jewish actress Franziska Gall and to have many of her films in his private collection!
- (4) The road to Hell...
- (5) Trashing The Planet, by Dixy Lee Ray with Lou Guzzo, published by Regnery Gateway, Washington, (1990), page 169.
- (6) AT WAR WITH THE TRUTH: THE TRUE STORY OF SEARCHLIGHT AGENT TIM HEPPLE, by Larry O'Hara, published by Mina Enterprises, (1993), page 28.
- (7) This was before the "peace process", accord, or call it whatever you want, ie before the brave soldiers of the IRA had bombed their way to the negotiating table.
- (8) Letter to the editor of the Jewish Chronicle, dated 21 August 1992. This letter was not published so Gable published it himself in the October 1992 issue of his lie-ridden magazine Searchlight. He claimed actually to have "been involved in combating antisemitism in Britain and abroad for the last 30 years..."
- (9) "Bogus GPO men tried to grab Nazi papers" HISTORIAN TELLS OF TRIO WHO CAME TO TEST PHONE, published in the Evening Standard, WEST END FINAL CLOSING PRICES, December 18, 1963, page 13.
- (10) The current writer has no information on Leslie Jacobs, but whether or not he actually joined in the 62 Group's thuggery is beside the point, clearly he condoned it. Writing in THE BRITISH POLITICAL FRINGE: A Profile in 1965, George Thayer said of this bunch of kosher thugs that: "The primary aim of the 62 Group, indeed its only aim of any importance, is physically to crush the Fascists in Britain...Every member of the Group, whether he be one of its leaders or one of the rank-and-file Jewish toughs, lives for the day when he can personally crack the skull of a neo-Nazi or Fascist. They do not believe simply in striking back in self-defence; in most cases, when their blood is up they go looking for a fight"; they were also said to have beaten up reporters, (page 89).
- (11) This is an important distinction; the *Jews* who cause all the trouble between Gentile and Jew are invariably Jews in an halachic sense only, that is they are born of Jewish mothers. They do not follow the Jewish religion, or if they claim to be Jewish, then they are nominally Jewish in the same way that most of the indigenous population of Britain is nominally Christian.
- (12) Mr Jordan is now long since retired from active politics, although he is still churning out his hate literature, as will soon become apparent.
- (13) Lobster, issue 12, undated but cDecember 1986, page 35.
- (14) Probably not because Gable's modus operandi has always been to incite the gullible goyim to do his evil work for him, as in the fracas at Kensington Library a quarter of a century later. See for example Anti-fascist editor cleared of violence, by Sarah Boseley, published in the Guardian, July 24, 1992, page 2.
- (15) According to Mr Jordan in a personal communication dated 17th August 1994, this crowd consisted "specifically and only...of 62 Group and other Jewish stewards who had offered their services to candidate Gordon Walker and been accepted by him".
- (16) Or, as Mr Jordan says, "In fact I was escorted out by the police who came into the hell * and unearthed me from under the pile of Jews." * I'm sure he wrote "hell" rather than "hall".
- (17) Probably the former but not the latter. This report appeared in the *Daily Mirror*, January 21, 1965, pages 1 & 5. The current writer has been unable to find the actual photograph reproduced in *Searchlight*, but there can be no doubt that it was taken after Mr Jordan's ejection from the Patrick Gordon Walker meeting. Similar photographs were published in the national press at the time.
- (18) I am not referring here to racially motivated violence, which generally takes place in the street, attacks on Asian premises, etc., but to clearly, politically motivated violence such as occurs principally at meetings and rallies.
- (19) It should be noted that the hysteria against fascism extends even to their holding meetings on private property. Both Mr Gable, his co-racialists and the gullible *govim* they manipulate, go out of their way to prevent

fascists and their fellow travellers from holding any meetings at all by intimidation and threats of violence. This even extends to socials and music events! And, it should be added, they decide who is a fascist and who is not.

- (20) Whatever crackpot theories Hitler, Goebbels and other leading Nazis may have subscribed to, not all Nazis were inherently anti-Semitic, including leading Nazis, Herman Goering, for example. The Jewish Chronicle, February 5, 1937, page 15, reported under the title "Non-Aryan" Nazis A Victory for the "Moderates"? that General Milch, one of the latest recruits to the Nazi Party and Goering's right-hand man in the Air Ministry, was said to be of Jewish descent. A number of other reputed non-Aryans were also said to have been finally accepted as party members. This was long after the passage of the Nuremberg Laws, it should be noted. (Mr Jordan pointed out to the current writer that this allegation concerning General Milch his being of Jewish origin has been disputed).
- (21) In a telephone conversation July 13, 1994, Mr Jordan informed the current writer that he was accepted for the pilot training course in the Fleet Air Arm, but didn't make the grade, so asked for his discharge. Then he applied for the RAF, and on deferred service was sent into the army.
- (22) Thayer, The British Political Fringe, page 15, (op cit). According to Mr Jordan, [telephone conversation, (ibid)], he first contacted Leese in 1946.
- (23) Some people also refer so to BNP Führer John Tyndall.
- (24) Leese was actually acquitted of the major charges but convicted on lesser charges of public mischief. Rather than pay a fine, he elected to serve six months.
- (25) Thayer, The British Political Fringe, page 16, (op cit).
- (26) Thayer, *The British Political Fringe*, page 16, (ibid). Mr Jordan refutes this and claims that he left the League because its founder and leader, A.K. Chesterton, was prepared to allow Jews to join. (Something most people would agree is anti-Semitism!) Earlier, in 1948, former Mosleyite A.K. Chesterton had collaborated on a book with the Orthodox Jewish writer Joseph Leftwich, *The Tragedy Of Anti-Semitism*.
- (27) His first ever brush with the law, was, he says, in Scotland, either 1954 or 55, when he was admonished for putting with crayon on a badly parked vehicle Please park so that others can park. Thank you.
- (28) The Times, April 20, 1956, page 6.
- (29) The Times, April 20, 1956, page 6, (ibid).
- (30) Telephone conversation, (op cit).
- (31) Thayer, The British Political Fringe, page 16, (op cit).
- (32) According to Mr Jordan, he was expelled in 1963 "while in prison on the motion of a Jewish member of the NUT who claimed my views menaced him in his profession."
- (33) Playing Fair by a Fascist, an unsigned article published in the New Statesman, July 13, 1962, pages 40-1. Mr Jordan stresses that he is not and never has been a fascist but is, probably always has been, and will remain, a National Socialist.
- (34) Freedom To Teach, by Mary Neill, published in the Sunday Telegraph, July 15, 1962, page 12.
- (35) There have even been gay teachers' groups [sic] in some schools, and gay studies for some children.
- (36) Two Coventry Men Fined for Anti-Jewish Incident, published in the Coventry Evening Telegraph, April 18, 1961, LAST EDITION, page 5.
- (37) According to Mr Jordan, this was "[a] bit more than a handful probably a couple of hundred in a crowd of 5,000...most of them bystanders apart from a couple of hundred militant Jews and Reds."
- (38) Personal correspondence, 17th August 1994.
- (39) Daily Sketch, August 21, 1962, [Wiener Library press cutting]. For the record, the quote given in the edition of this paper held in the Newspaper Library is "In our democratic society the Jew is like a poisonous maggot feeding on a body in an advanced state of decay." This is the version that is generally quoted nowadays by Tyndall's detractors.
- (40) Daily Sketch, August 21, 1962, (ibid).
- (41) Mr Jordan comments: "At appeal, London Quarter Sessions, my Bow St. sentence [was] quashed, Tyndall's reduced to [a] fine. Police then appealed against the quashing of my sentence. [The c] ase went to [the] Divisional Court months later and the appeal [was] upheld. I then got Div. Ct. consent for [the] case to go to [the] H[ouse] of Lords but [the] latter refused to hear it. [The r]esult was [the] return of [the] case to Qtr. Sessions where I got 1 month gaol for it."
- (42) COLIN JORDAN: GOVERNORS URGE DISMISSAL, published in the Coventry Evening Telegraph, LAST EDITION, July 19, 1962, page 1. The article continues on page 12.
- (43) More relevantly because while CJ has long since dropped out of active politics to concentrate on publishing anti-Jewish polemics and similar literature, the younger Tyndall is of course Führer of his own political party,

the BNP. Also because while CJ has always shouted his Nazism from the rooftops, JT has tried, unsuccessfully, to put his Nazi antecedents behind him.

(44) From page 81 of THE OTHER FACE OF TERROR: Inside Europe's Neo-Nazi Network, by Ray Hill with Andrew Bell, published by Grafton Books, London, (1988). To this, Mr Jordan comments that "This aim was nothing to do with [the] Spearhead formation. It was in the Cotswold Agreement of WUNS." He adds that "No camp was raided by police. Immigration officials came to [the] Cotswold Camp looking for [the] absent Lincoln Rockwell." WUNS stands for World Union of National Socialists, which sounds grand, but is in reality an organisation that exists only on paper.

(45) As Rockwell was himself a graduate (of Brown University), this hardly seems likely, but Mr Jordan advises me that this article is far from accurate. Like most of the articles, pamphlets, books and documentaries about

far right "hate groups".

(46) Thayer, The British Political Fringe, page 19, (op cit).

(47) Thayer, The British Political Fringe, page 26, (ibid).

(48) Thayer, The British Political Fringe, page 26, (ibid), says that it was a can of rat poison that was relabelled "Jew-killer". He also mentions paramilitary equipment. Mr Jordan comments that this was one of "several such mysterious cans...which I had never seen or heard of before."

(49) Mr Jordan comments that he defended all of the accused.

- (50) The Denning quote is take here from *The Birmingham Six*, by Derek Dunne, published by the Birmingham Six Committee, Dublin, (September 1988), page 19. The trial report and cross-examination by Colin Jordan is taken from *SPECIAL BRANCH MAN ASKED ABOUT "JEW-KILLER" CAN*, published in the *Daily Telegraph & Morning Post*, October 6, 1962, page 17.
- (51) SPECIAL BRANCH MAN ASKED ABOUT "JEW-KILLER" CAN, (ibid). Mr Jordan says he has documentation on this somewhere but cannot find the time to search for it. However, I will take him at his word; the incessant whining, wailing and wire-pulling of Jewish leaders has been too well documented elsewhere to be disputed here.
- (52) "Within ten years, with the decline of democracy and general spread of dissatisfaction I will be in power." Quoted by Gilbert Lewthwaite in the *Daily Mail*, October 16, 1962, page 11. This article dates the formation of the Spearhead group to March 1961 in contrast to Thayer (footnote 46), who dates it to the summer of 1960.

(53) JORDAN GIVES BOOING CROWD NAZI SALUTE Sent for trial at Old Bailey, published in the Guardian, August 29, 1962, [Wiener Library press cutting].

(54) Personal correspondence, 17th August 1994.

- (55) This is not quite true; JT has a conviction for assaulting a police officer, for which he was fined a paltry forty shillings. The diminutive size of the fine indicates that the magistrate recognised that the offence was of a purely technical nature. He explains this in his book *The Eleventh Hour*, and laments that he didn't appeal against conviction.
- (56) Colin Jordan expelled from the NUT, published in the Guardian, September 9, 1963. [Wiener Library press cutting].

(57) Daily Telegraph, January 13, 1968, page 19.

- (58) Mr Jordan comments that "C.J. has never worn jackboots in his life."
- (59) Thayer, The British Political Fringe, page 14, (op cit).

(60) Thayer, The British Political Fringe, page 13, (ibid).

- (61) NAZI COLIN TOLD: 'MARRIAGE IS OVER', by Aubrey Thomas, published in the Daily Mirror, January 7, 1964, page 1.
- (62) According to Mr Jordan, after leaving the country [with her lover] and in anticipation of the synagogues case.
- (63) I have documented all this in considerable depth in my pamphlet A Revisionist History Of The 1960s Synagogue Arsons, 2nd Edition, Revised, Expanded And Updated, published by Anglo-Hebrew Publishing, London, (October 1994).

It would be tiresome to repeat it all here, but for the benefit of the reader I offer the following summary. A number of people (mostly nutters) were torching synagogues in the 1960s (abroad as well as in England), but a total of eleven people were tried in connection with these arsons, including Françoise Dior/Jordan herself. One person was acquitted. The detective in charge of the investigation Detective Inspector (later Commander) Bert Wickstead, said in his 1985 autobiography that "The Queen of the Nazis" was a gutter press sobriquet in use at the time. Although she was cleared of incitement, a reading of the press reports of these cases gives one the impression that Mrs Jordan was in fact less of a conspirator than an agent provocateur.

- (64) According to Mr Jordan, Terence Cooper was expelled from the NSM for disruptive activity. Yes, most dedicated Nazis would agree that screwing the Führer's wife constituted disruptive activity!
- (65) 3 MONTHS' SENTENCE ON COLIN JORDAN, published in the Times, November 2, 1965, page 5.
- (66) And, of course, by those wonderful people who gave you Sabra and Shatila.
- (67) JORDAN SENTENCE QUASHED, published in the Times, November 26, 1965, page 7.
- (68) See for instance JORDAN ATTEMPTS TO ARREST WILSON, published in the Morning Star, January 7, 1967, [Jewish Chronicle Library press cutting].
- (69) Heath arrest bid fails, published in the Evening Standard, December 22, 1971, [Jewish Chronicle Library press cutting].
- (70) Colin Jordan gaoled for 18 months, published in the Times, January 26, 1967, page 9.
- (71) Colin Jordan gaoled for 18 months, (ibid).
- (72) As Hitler was, his apologists to the contrary. Nazi Germany though was not a totalitarian state but an authoritarian one.
- (73) Cited by Michael McLaughlin in FOR THOSE WHO CANNOT SPEAK, published by Historical Review Press/Noontide Press, UK & Torrance, California, (1979), page 35.
- (74) I Never Saw a Happier People..., published in the Jewish Chronicle, September 25, 1936, page 19.
- (75) Jewish Chronicle, October 16, page 16. Lloyd George was certainly no anti-Semite; he was an ardent "Gentile Zionist", but his philo-Semitism notwithstanding, he painted a far different picture of Adolf Hitler from that which is generally recognised as acceptable today. According to David Irving in his massive study Churchill's War, as late as 1941, Lloyd George was quoted on Hitler thus: "one of those men who appear once in a century out of the forest and can see beyond the well-rubbled field where we and they stand, into the green grass beyond." He was far less flattering about Churchill however, and lamented that he had picked him up out of the political gutter and wished he had left him there. *
- * Churchill's War: The Struggle For Power, by David Irving, published by Veritas, Bullsbook, Western Australia, (1987). See pages 552 & 551 respectively.
- (76) I am not suggesting for one moment that it should be illegal for Red Action to do so, indeed, I would gladly see them shout this from the rooftops. These people are scum, and the more publicity they get, the more the entire world will realise what scum they are. The current situation with regard to denying those who advocate terrorism or support terrorists "the oxygen of publicity" is in any case farcical. British TV viewers were, until recently, subjected to the ludicrous spectacle of seeing Sinn Fein leader Gerry Adams' lips move while his words were spoken by an actor.
- (77) Colin Jordan attacked in street, published in the Times, November 11, 1968, page 1.
- (78) For example, the names and addresses of Mr Jordan's supporters or correspondents so that these people might also be harassed and attacked.
- (79) Colin Jordan fined over airport protest, published in the Times, September 14, 1972, page 4.
- (80) In this connection it is as well to note what is happening at the present time in South Africa. Apparently the racist Apartheid state was such a terrible place to live for blacks that it had to erect a fence between itself and its neighbour Mozambique, not to keep them its black citizens in, but to keep the Mozambiquans out! It was announced in October 1994 that the government of the professed "anti-racist" Nelson Mandela was considering not only extending this fence but electrifying it, a policy which appears to be supported by the overwhelming majority of South Africans of all races. The result of this was that there was not a whisper from the so-called "anti-racist" movement in Britain, which didn't even report this outrage. Yet these same people continue to denounce the British government as racist for imposing any immigration controls at all.
- (81) RELEASE LEESE, a petition published by the Imperial Fascist League in 1936. A copy of this is held at the Public Record Office at Kew.
- (82) Mosley was neither an ideological anti-Semite nor a hatemonger, but when he spoke out against what he believed, rightly or wrongly, to be powerful Jewish influences that were attempting to drag Britain into a war with Germany, he reaped the whirlwind. Also, attacks on his Fascists by communist thugs and fellow travellers very many of them Jewish could have done little to earn his sympathy. Yet in the early days of the BUF there had been no antagonism towards Mosley at all by the Jewish Establishment, and indeed a number of Jews joined his organisation.
- (83) There is a copy of this letter at the Public Record Office, in file HO 144/21377. Mosley was angry that of 293 people convicted of offences against fascists in 1934/5, over 20% were of Jewish origin!
- (84) See for example Redgrave accused of antisemitism, published in the Jewish Chronicle, August 24, 1979, page 3. See also Searchlight, April 1992, issue 202, pages 14-5.

- (85) Contempt man back to jail for unspecified term, by Arthur Osman, published in the Times, June 8, 1976, page 3. McLaughlin succeeded CJ as Führer, (in reality National Chairman). Chambers was a member of the Leader Guard.
- (86) Colin Jordan is fined over protest, published in the Times, June 16, 1976, page 3.
- (87) Relf had already been gaoled once for this so-called contempt of court; he had served a month in prison.
- (88) At least that was what they were told at the time.
- (89) Presumably this was a car. Mr Jordan's version of events is extracted from a personal communication to the current writer dated 2nd November 1993.
- (90) A practice the current writer has seen other people do, and one that is certainly not to be advised!
- (91) Personal communication, 2nd November 1993, (op cit).
- (92) Knowing full well what sort of newspaper the *Sun* is, I also checked the local press. The *Coventry Evening Telegraph*, (City Final) for May 16, 1975, tells exactly the same story. CJ, who made the front page, was said to have put the knickers in his pocket because he was embarrassed at walking around the store with them. The chocolates went into a canvas bag, which he was said to have zipped it up. As well as the fine and costs he was said to have been ordered to pay a £5 advocate's fee.
- (93) It's a bit late now of course, but CJ would almost certainly have been advised to take the case to the Crown Court where he may well have been acquitted. Aside from the well-attested fact that magistrates tend to rubber stamp the prosecution's case (though not invariably), a jury, which would surely have included shoppers, would almost certainly have had a degree of empathy with him, especially as he was at the time in his fifties and had no previous convictions for dishonesty.
- (94) Something I never thought I'd see even in my lifetime! And something which "Moslem" fanatics are currently doing their best to wreck.
- (95) The only one, according to Searchlight.
- (96) At the time of the Gulf War, it was seriously advocated by some pundits that a special squad be sent to Iraq to murder its leader, Saddam Hussein.
- (97) Including murder, according to the more militant anti-fascists.
- (98) The following account is based largely on Mr Jordan's correspondence, in particular a four page A4 information sheet headed THE KAUFMAN CASE: VICTORY IS OURS. This includes the following three press cuttings in order: Permission given to former chief of British Movement from the PATELEY BRIDGE & NIDDER-DALE Herald, Friday, November 6, 1992; Neo-fascist case dropped, from The Northern Echo, Wednesday, November 4, 1992; and 'Racist nationalist' claims court victory over out-of-date warrant, from the Yorkshire Post, November 3, 1992. The facts of this case are non-contentious; it is only the reaction of the authorities which was so extraordinary.
- (99) It should be noted that although Gerald Kaufman is pro-Zionist (as are almost all MPs of Jewish origin), he is in many ways a moderate, and has, for example, long been involved with the Middle East peace process, including addressing pro-Palestinian meetings at the House of Commons. If he is a moderate, one can only imagine what some of the more foaming-at-the-mouth "anti-racist Zionists [sic!] are like.
- (100) A salient point here is that if it takes months or even a year or more to decide whether or not a person has broken the law then it can't be much of a law that he has broken. Rarely does one have to wait so long for a decision to be made in even the most complex of fraud cases where real evidence has to be considered rather than the submissions of forever wailing-and-gnashing-of-teeth propagandists and the incessant whining of Organised Jewry.
- (101) Probably both.
- (102) No plans to ban 'racist' book, published in the Leamington Observer, September 2, 1993, page 5, (press cutting forwarded to the current writer by Mr Jordan).
- (103) Not necessarily Gerald Kaufman; the leaders of Organised Jewry are forever referring the publishers and distributors of anti-Semitica (real and imagined) to the Attorney General on the spurious grounds that anyone who refuses to kiss their arses is a danger to the public.
- (104) The law is at best a tardigrade beast, and in view of the quantity of material seized, it could well have been retained for over a year, as it was in any case.
- (105) There are a number of satirical and quasi-pornographic magazines on sale nationally, Viz is the one that springs most readily to mind.
- (106) Although I don't read Hebrew I am reliably informed that the Israeli press, and media generally, is at times even more outrageous than the British media.
- (107) There is absolutely no question whatsoever about Mr Jordan's anti-Semitism, but that is hardly the point.

- (108) It is probably more accurate to say that there is no greater fallacy than to judge the worth of a man by his race, for one can make certain apparently sweeping generalisations about races just as one can about other segments of the population.
- (109) As well as a highly principled one in his own bizarre way.
- (110) Police raid the home of leading neo-Nazi veteran: Crown Prosecution Service weighs action against Colin Jordan, published in the Jewish Chronicle, by Julian Kossoff, June 28, 1991, page 1. CJ appears to have spoken to these creeps; personally I wouldn't give them the time of day.
- (111) Another leading Zionist and legal expert.
- (112) Police raid the home of leading neo-Nazi veteran, (op cit).
- (113) Sorry CJ, but if the hat fits...
- (114) Personal communication, 4th February 1994.
- (115) Ibid.
- (116) Personal communication, 2nd November 1993.
- (117) FRAUDULENT CONVERSION: The Myth of Moscow's Change of Heart, by Colin Jordan, published by the Britons, London, (1955). The Britons Publishing Society was a notorious anti-Semitic publishing house founded by H.H. Beamish, the mentor of Mr Jordan's former Führer, Arnold Leese.
- (118) I did ask him to sell me one, but he told me he had sold out and could not in any case waste precious time foraging in his archive to satisfy my whims.
- (119) As far as I am aware there have been four British National Parties; the first BNP was active during the Second World War. The *Jewish Chronicle* for February 26, 1943 carried a story *BAN THE B.N.P.*, which condemned the organisation for advocating an agreed peace with the Nazis, (and thereby saving countless lives, Gentile and Jew). The current BNP was founded after John Tyndall broke away from the National Front, (it was originally called the New National Front).
- (120) Incidentally, most Libertarians, including Jewish Libertarians, would see absolutely nothing unacceptable in any individual or political party advocating the expulsion of the Jews from Britain as does Mr Jordan provided they sought to achieve it by peaceful and "democratic" means. To them, any form of persuasion in politics is acceptable, compulsion is not.
- (121) See the "Jewish events magazine" New Moon, May 1992, page 19.
- (122) In August 1992, Gable wrote an indignant letter to the Jewish Chronicle protesting against the activities of a goy anti-fascist named Steve Masterson who was using the name Myers (implying a Jewish connection) in order to solicit funds from Jews for his hate campaign against David Irving. Gable claimed here to have been "involved in combating antisemitism in Britain and abroad for the last 30 years". Pull the other one, Gerry; you're the best advertisement Hitler ever had. The Jewish Chronicle declined to publish the letter so Gable published it himself on the back page of the October 1992 issue of his lie-ridden magazine. [See also footnote 8].
- (123) I say he or they because Jordan is somewhat older than Gable and has been engaged in extremist politics somewhat longer, but there were plenty of other Jewish "anti-fascists" around at the time who could have adopted a similar response to anti-Semitism as I am about to suggest now.
- (124) In his 1979 study Karl Marx: Racist, the American Jew Nathaniel Weyl points out that "Publicly and for political reasons, both Marx and Engels posed as friends of the Negro. In private, they were antiblack racists of the most odious sort", (page 71). Marx's comments about Jews were no less unflattering. All this was known well before Weyl published his book, but has been conveniently written out of history by pro-Marxist historians.
- (125) According to an article in the widely read *Empire News* for Sunday, August 25, 1940, page 5. *LEON TROTSKY CURSED BY FATHER Trail of Terrorist*, by Bernard O'Donnell, reported that Moses Bronstein denounced his son to the synagogue as an enemy of Judaism and "the curse of humanity".
- (126) Writing in *History Today* in February 1967, Christopher Sykes named the probable forger of the *Protocols*, a Tsarist agent named Golovinskii.
- (127) For further information on the spread of the *Protocols* the reader is referred to the current writer's study *THE PROTOCOLS OF THE LEARNED ELDERS OF ZION: Organised Jewry's Deadliest Weapon*, published by Anglo-Hebrew Publishing, (1995). This substantial pamphlet also contains an extensive bibliography of the *Protocols* and related literature.
- (128) Cherep-Spiridovich (or Cherep-Spiridovitch) in particular appears to have been three sandwiches short of a picnic. In 1926 he published a book called *THE SECRET WORLD GOVERNMENT OR "THE HIDDEN HAND" The Unrevealed in History 100 Historical "Mysteries" Explained*, in which he claimed, among other things, that there were nearly twenty-five million "revolutionists" in the United States.

- (129) The same cannot be said for the attacks on Holocaust Revisionism, which are almost exclusively polemical. For the obvious reason.
- (130) Respectively these are 1) THE PROTOCOLS of the ELDERS OF ZION: The Greatest Lie in History, by Benjamin W. Segel, Translated from the German by Sascha Czazckes-Charles, WITH TEN LETTERS OF ENDORSEMENT FROM EMINENT GERMAN NON-JEWISH SCHOLARS, published by Block Publishing Co, New York, (1934). [This is an expanded English translation of a work first published at Berlin in 1924.] 2) The Truth About "The Protocols of Zion": A Complete Exposure, by Herman Bernstein, published by Covici Friede, New York, (1935). [This is a very much expanded and updated version of a book first published in 1921; Bernstein's study was republished at New York in 1972.] 3) An Appraisal of the PROTOCOLS OF ZION, by John S. Curtiss, published by Columbia University Press, New York, (1942). This is probably the strongest of the three textually; all three of these books may be consulted in the British Library.
- (131) This claim was made by Kenneth Goff in *Hitler and the 20th Century Hoax* (published c1954), in which he claimed further that the Führer was a puppet of the Kremlin, though this sort of nonsense actually first appeared in print as early as 1933, (see for example the report in the London *Times* for July 13, 1933, page 13). It was obviously concocted by anti-Nazi propagandists, and quite likely emanated from Jewish mischief-makers.
- (132) American Nazi leader Lincoln Rockwell swallowed the same lie and cited it as proof of the Jewishness of communism in his April 1966 interview with the "men's magazine" *Playboy*. According to interviewer, the black journalist Alex Haley, Rockwell later retracted. This quote is not necessarily anti-Semitic; all sorts of false quotes have been attributed to all manner of people: politicians, ministers of religion and other.
- (133) It was published in the Sunday Times, April 4, 1920. The full text of the document (in English) is published by Norman Cohn in his definitive study of the Protocols, the 1967 book Warrant For Genocide. The reader is also referred to the current writer's pamphlet, NOT THE PROTOCOLS OF ZION!..., published by Anglo-Hebrew Publishing, London, (July 1994).
- (134) The History of Anti-Semitism, by Léon Poliakov, Volume IV, Suicidal Europe, 1870-1933, published by the Littman Library/Oxford University Press, (1985), page 185.
- (135) Die Eroberung der Welt durch die Juden, (1875).
- (136) Cohn, Warrant For Genocide, page 57, (op cit). Cohn refers to this character erroneously as Millinger throughout his book.
- (137) There is a copy of this in the Jewish Studies Library, University College London, Mocatta Boxed Pamphlets. I don't read French but the title loses nothing in translation.
- (138) To steal a term from the "anti-racist" vocabulary.
- (139) Professor Quigley tells us that bankers sought to control the money supply and that "To do this it was necessary to conceal, or even to mislead, both governments and people about the nature of money and its methods of operation." [TRAGEDY AND HOPE: A History of THE WORLD in Our Time, by Carroll Quigley, Second Printing, Angriff Press, Los Angeles, (1974), page 53.] For an admittedly speculative overview of how the banking conspiracy works, the reader is referred first and foremost to Gary Allen's runaway bestseller None Dare Call It Conspiracy and to W. Cleon Skousen's The Naked Capitalist.
- (140) This article is said to have been "Reprinted From The Nationalist (Now The Northern European)".
- (141) The great anti-Jewish pioneer, as Leese called him!
- (142) THE JEWS' WHO'S WHO: ISRAELITE FINANCE. ITS SINISTER INFLUENCE, published by Judaic Publishing Company, London, (1920). This book, which ran to 225 pages, bore in the frontispiece the legend: "WARS ARE THE JEWS' HARVESTS" which it attributed to the German-Jewish scholar Werner Sombart. In 1921, Beamish compiled THE JEWS' WHO'S WHO (POPULAR EDITION), which ran to 255 pages.
- (143) It shouldn't have been difficult for Hamilton Beamish or Arnold Leese to grasp either because they were both highly intelligent men; Beamish was the third son of a Vice-Admiral and a military man in his own right; while Leese was at one time the world's leading authority on the one-humped camel; his text book on camel diseases was a standard work in India for half a century!
- (144) A phrase which appears to have been coined by Beamish, and one which was utilised by Leese a great deal. (145) When I say thoroughly documented I mean exactly that; there are many books which document the economic history especially of British, American, German and Mediaeval European Jewry, and, unlike the history of the so-called Holocaust, the basic facts are nowhere in dispute by serious scholars.
- (146) There are minor inconsistencies in the various histories of the genesis of Marks & Spencer but the basic facts are not in dispute. For example, Asa Briggs (see next footnote) says that Michael Marks died aged 48 on December 31, 1907; another M&S historian, Dr K.K. Tse, says he was born at Bialystok in 1863 and died aged 44; while in his memoirs Marcus Sieff says he died aged 47. The current writer consulted the register of deaths at St.

Catherine's House for the last quarters of the years 1901 through 1908 but found no mention of any Michael Marks.

- (147) From the ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS of MARKS & SPENCER 1884-1984: A Centenary History of Marks & Spencer, by Asa Briggs, published by Octopus Books, London, (1984). I must stress that although the references I am using were published many years after CJ's Fraudulent Conversion and his abortive "Free Britain From Jewish Control" rally, the historical facts documented therein have long been a matter of public record, as surely anyone who had taken the trouble to research them methodically would have ascertained. Including CJ!
- (148) Briggs, Marks & Spencer, page 17, (ibid). He wasn't called Michael Marks but had some hideously unpronounceable name. The Universal Jewish Encyclopedia lists a London-born merchant named Michael Marks who lived from 1761-1829 but not, curiously, the Michael Marks.
- (149) MARKS & SPENCER: Anatomy of Britain's Most Efficiently Managed Company, by Dr K.K. Tse, published by Pergamon, Oxford, (1985), page 14. According to Briggs, [page 101, (ibid)], this story is probably apochryphal. (150) Tse, Marks & Spencer, page 14, (ibid).
- (151) The first commercial fax service actually began operating in Paris as far back as 1865, although it would hardly be recognisable as such today.
- (152) Tse, Marks & Spencer, page 15, (op cit).
- (153) Tse, Marks & Spencer, page 16, (ibid).
- (154) Briggs, Marks & Spencer, page 18, (op cit).
- (155) For the real reason the BUF turned anti-Semitic (as opposed to the eyewash you will get from Jewish academics and their goy fellow travellers), the reader is referred to Searchlight On Gerry Gable: Secret State Asset Or Liability? A Critique Of Larry O'Hara's Flawed Conspiracy Theory, by Alexander Baron, published by Anglo-Hebrew Publishing, London, (August 1994). Robert Skidelsky's excellent biography Oswald Mosley, is also well worth reading in this connection. Very few other works on this subject are worth the paper they're printed on. (156) Asians show the way, published in The New Standard (CLOSING PRICES), May 18, 1981, page 5. (The paper
- (156) Asians show the way, published in The New Standard (CLOSING PRICES), May 18, 1981, page 5. (The paper changed its name briefly but it has always been known as the Evening Standard).
- (157) Which is the reason I avoid them! I have no qualms though about using Asian-owned newsagents.
- (158) I'm not saying this isn't a real problem for the shopkeepers, indeed it is a *problem* which may well affect me too, as a shopper and a non-driver. What I am saying is that there is a vested interest here, and that attacks on "international finance" etc are more often motivated by this than by anti-Semitism.
- (159) See Briggs, Marks & Spencer, page 17, (op cit); and DON'T ASK THE PRICE: "The Memoirs of the President of Marks & Spencer, by Marcus Sieff, published by Fontana/Collins, (1988), page 19.
- (160) MARKS & SPENCER COMPANY INFORMATION SOME IMPORTANT DATES; this is a fact sheet I was sent by the company's PR department.
- (161) Tom Spencer was born November 7, 1851, [Briggs, Marks & Spencer, page 17, (op cit)].
- (162) They certainly are as far as he is concerned!
- (163) THE ENCYCLOPEDIA OF THE BRITISH PRESS 1422-1992, Edited by Dennis Griffiths, published by Macmillan, London, (1992), page 677.
- (164) Griffiths, Encyclopedia Of The British Press, page 678, (ibid).
- (165) Griffiths, Encyclopedia Of The British Press, page 680, (ibid).
- (166) Griffiths, Encyclopedia Of The British Press, page 680, (ibid).
- (167) Michael's brother Ephraim also came into the business and built up a chain of stores in Scotland, [Briggs, Marks & Spencer, page 27, (op cit)].
- (168) Briggs, Marks & Spencer, page 27, (ibid).
- (169) Sieff, Don't Ask The Price, pages 23-4, (op cit).
- (170) MARKS & SPENCER COMPANY INFORMATION FACT SHEET SOME IMPORTANT DATES, (op cit).
- (171) MARKS & SPENCER COMPANY INFORMATION FACT SHEET SOME IMPORTANT DATES, (ibid).
- (172) Briggs, Marks & Spencer, page 33, (op cit).
- (173) As somebody who has worked for Gentiles I will testify to that!
- (174) Tse, Marks & Spencer, page 175, (op cit).
- (175) Tse, Marks & Spencer, pages 176-7, (ibid). The company information leaflet already cited says that the Welfare Department was set up in 1933.
- (176) Militant extreme leftists who were forever inciting the workers to strike would hardly be popular either.
- (177) No, not Greenberg!

(178) MARKS & SPENCER Company facts, a 12 page booklet/card dated 1993. ("All figures relate to year ending 31 March 1993"). The company also boasted of being the only retailer in the world with an AAA credit rating. I must confess that I haven't the faintest idea what this means, but it certainly sounds impressive.

(179) Tse, Marks & Spencer, page 181, (op cit).

- (180) TOP MARKS: M&S chief bucks the trend to take a £27,000 pay cut, by Isabelle Murray, published in the Sun, July 2, 1994, page 18.
- (181) Tse, Marks & Spencer, pages 190-1, (op cit).

(182) Tse, Marks & Spencer, page 191, (ibid).

(183) The Jews in Business, by Stephen Aris, published by Penguin, Harmondsworth, Middlesex, (1973), page 5.

(184) Tse, Marks & Spencer, page 183, (op cit).

- (185) MARKS & SPENCER 1994 Annual Report and Financial Statements, page 40.
- (186) The *Times*, March 31, 1994, page 30. This was the share price at close of business the previous day, down 5.5p on the day before that. The 1993/4 high was reported as 461.5p and the low, 311p.

(187) Sieff, Don't Ask The Price, page 29, (op cit).

(188) These two men may be long departed, but there are others just as fanatical, just as crazy, and just as wrong, who today peddle the Jewish control of the economy and sundry other aspects of the Jewish menace, not because they are evil, bigoted bastards, but because they have simply not done their homework.

(189) THE ZIONIST CONNECTION: What Price Peace?, by Alfred M. Lilienthal, published by Dodd, Mead, New

York, (1978). See in particular pages 216-7.

In his memoirs, Marcus Sieff writes that "...on 9 April 1948 the Irgun, then a terrorist group, attacked the Arab village of Deir Yassin, killing a number of women and children as well as men." [Sieff, Don't Ask The Price, page 149, (op cit)]. Anyone who has studied the bloody history of Zionism will realise just what an enormous understatement this claim is; the author is clearly a Zionist apologist, though probably of the more starry-eyed type of misplaced idealist rather than the classic Zionist Machiavellian schemer a là Gable.

(190) Jews and Money: The Myths and the Reality, by Gerald Krefetz, published by Ticknor & Fields, New Haven and New York, (1982), page 101.

(191) Krefetz, Jews and Money, page ix, (ibid).

- (192) I don't think CJ has himself written on this particular subject, but plenty of other Nazis and anti-Semites have.
- (193) The Jewish Image in American Film, by Lester D. Friedman, published by Citadel Press, Secaucus, New Jersey, (1987), page 35. The 1992 edition of this large format, lavishly illustrated book is distributed in the UK by Virgin Books.
- (194) Jewish involvement in the British film industry has been much less significant. Jewish influence in and control over the US print media has been and continues to be substantial, but in Britain it has been virtually non-existent, unless one includes Reuters which was founded by a Jew but is now a public company, and the Daily Telegraph on the same dubious basis. For a comprehensive picture of who's who in the British print media the reader is referred to Griffiths, THE ENCYCLOPEDIA OF THE BRITISH PRESS 1422-1992, (op cit). The main (and a genuine) concern voiced by many with regard to the British media is that it is rapidly being concentrated into too few hands, in particular those of the Australian Gentile Rupert Murdoch. Some of the crazies even accuse Murdoch of being a secret Jew, probably because the International Australian Conspiracy doesn't have quite the same ring to it.
- (195) Israeli journalists are among the staunchest critics of the Israeli government and Zionist Imperialism; only in the *Diaspora* is all criticism of Imperial Zion taboo.

(196) Krefetz, Jews and Money, page 78, (op cit).

(197) CAPITALISM, ROLE OF JEWS IN, published The Universal Jewish Encyclopedia, Volume 3, see in particular page 32. I have no idea what the figures are now, but I suspect that like the film industry the fur industry has, by and large, long passed out of privately owned Jewish hands and fallen into the grasp of Corporate America. (198) The reader will recall that CJ did himself refer to the prominent role of Jews in the clothing trade in Britain in his 1960s tirades, but by and large this is an area of Jewish financial conquest [sic] that goes unnoticed.

(199) It is as ridiculous today to call Tesco a Jewish supermarket as it is to call Marks & Spencer a Jewish retailer, but we'll let that one pass.

(200) See the report BUSINESS IS BUSINESS which was published in the Jewish Chronicle of September 23, 1938, page 65.

(201) This is how devout Jews referred to Palestine; to them, the State of Israel - which was not of course established until 1948 - is an abomination. Jews who lived in the Holy Land did so only as guests.

(202) From The British Lion, Early JULY 1926, page 7.

(203) Ironically, the most powerful destructive economic influence wielded by a Jew in Britain in recent years resulted not from malice but from a well-meaning desire to alert the public to a perceived potential danger. In December 1988, the Junior Health Minister Mrs Edwina Currie (the daughter of Orthodox Jewish parents), concerned at an outbreak of salmonella, warned the British public not to buy eggs, and single-handedly all but destroyed the British egg industry. To this day it has not recovered from her warning, which was broadcast in a TV interview: "We do warn people now that most of the egg production in this country, sadly, is now infected with salmonella." * For a time, "Egg-wina" became the most hated woman in British politics, especially with chicken farmers, yet although she did undoubtedly open her big mouth both too soon and too wide, it is hard to find fault with her; at the very least, no one could accuse her of having put private profit before the public good, a claim frequently directed at many Tories, and not only by the loony left.

* Quoted by Richard North and Teresa Gorman MP in their 1990 study Chickengate, page 1.

(204) Yes, that really is his name!

(205) Mrs Jordan told the investigating officer that she would like to see synagogues blown up by Act of Parliament! At her trial she rationalised this by claiming that when the Jews had been expelled, all synagogues would be burnt because there would be no further use for them. Such buildings were "impregnated with evil". She really should have looked in the mirror; see the *Guardian*, January 17, 1968, page 6.

(206) HARRY BIDNEY 1922-84, unsigned but written by Gable, published in Searchlight, September 1984, page

5.

(207) For the lowdown on Bidney the reader is referred to the current writer's A Revisionist History Of The 1960s Synagogue Arsons, 2nd Edition, (October 1994); to "DISGUSTING" VICE CHIEF IS JAILED, by Neil Blincow, published in the London Evening Standard, (Closing Prices), August 5, 1977, pages 1 & 40; and to SOHO VICE BOSS JAILED, published in the Daily Telegraph, August 6, 1977, page 3.

(208) When the current writer visited the Mosley Archive in 1994, he was shown a photograph of a youthful Harry Bidney attending a pre-war meeting of the Communist Party. It was also made clear to me that it was known from the late forties - when he was active in extremist politics - that Bidney was a homosexual, but in those days different social codes operated, so nothing was made of it by the Union Movement.

(209) HARRY BIDNEY 1922-84, (op cit).

(210) For the full story the reader is referred to the current writer's pamphlet A Revisionist History Of The 1960s Synagogue Arsons, (op cit).

(211) On April 12, 1995 the current writer received a handwritten letter from the investigating officer in the synagogue arsons case. Commander Bert Wickstead (retired) wrote thus:

Dear Mr. Baron,

I have received your letter asking for information concerning one Harry Bidney.

As far as I can remember I did not meet Bidney, if I did it must have been very brief, because I have no recollection of the man.

Gerry Gable I knew well, and he was extremely helpful throughout the whole Jordan & Synagogue enquiry. As for my officers and I being incompetent, what utter nonsense. We had to give evidence and present the case in Court. The fact that we were commended by both the Director of Public Prosecutions and the Trial Judge gives the lie to any scurrilous assertions.

I have nothing further to say on this matter and do not want further communication on the subject.

Yours Faithfully, signed (apparently) B Wickstead.

(212) The libel, taken from the 4 page judgment of the Birmingham Stipendiary Magistrate Mr John Milward, reads as follows: "It was this building that housed the National Socialist Movement and where plans to bomb and attack the Jewish Community were hatched by Jordan, his wife and their S.S. Groups." (See the appendix for the full judgment).

(213) This libel on Mr Jordan appears first to have been published in a 1973 pamphlet which was published by the (non-existent) Circle for Democratic Studies, although Mr Jordan appears not to have noticed it at the time. Written by Julian Radcliffe and Leslie Wooler, *The Guide To Extremism In Britain* was said to have been the first

in a series of booklets aimed at preserving Parliamentary democracy from those who would destroy it. To the best of my knowledge, this was the only publication this "organisation" ever published.

Leslie Wooler was a member of the Conservative Party, but he also appears to have been the Searchlight Organisation's first *mole*, before even Dave Roberts, (he of Column 88 "Nazi Underground" fame). In 1973, Wooler (aka Cooper) bluffed his way into the Monday Club, and about this time an anonymous, scurrilous pamphlet was published called *THE MONDAY CLUB A danger to British Democracy*. The style of this latter pamphlet is clearly recognisable as the effusions of the Searchlight team.

- (214) The reader is again referred to the current writer's A Revisionist History Of The 1960s Synagogue Arsons, and to SEARCHLIGHT ON THE "JEWISH CHRONICLE" How The Diseased Organ Of "British" Jewry Spreads The Fantasies, Paranoia And Poison Of Arch-Liar Gerry Gable, the latter was published March, 1995.
- (215) Jewish Chronicle, November 13, 1964, page 1.
- (216) This is the grandly titled Racism and fascism in the European Union: Report prepared for the conference EUROPEAN YOUTH: UNITED ACTIONS AGAINST RACISM AND NATIONALISM! February 13-20, 1994, Strasbourg, France Organised by UNITED FOR INTERCULTURAL ACTION, published by Searchlight Information Services, (1994).
- (217) I mailed copies of my synagogue arsons pamphlet to the paper.
- (218) And for the truth about the cover photo, the reader is referred to SEARCHLIGHT ON THE "JEWISH CHRONICLE", (op cit).
- (219) In particular the Jewish Chronicle.
- (220) In this connection we can safely include the so-called Anti-Defamation League of B'nai B'rith, AIPAC and the Simon Wiesenthal Center. The former is easily America's premier race-hate organisation and routinely smears as anti-Semitic not only all opponents of Israel (including anti-Zionist Jews) but Holocaust Revisionists, white populists, (and black populists!), financial reformers and a great many more. AIPAC is the main lobbying organisation in the United States on behalf of the State of Israel, its motto is or should be Israel über alles. The Simon Wiesenthal Center doesn't simply track down "war criminals" even in 1995! but has consciously disseminated Soviet-manufactured "war crimes" propaganda; the Wiesenthal Center's endorsement of such lies has been so overt that even the Anglo-Jewish Establishment has distanced itself from its "investigations" and urged that its reports be ignored.
- (221) The Jewish Chronicle of October 28, 1938 reported in an article: NAZIS' USE OF PADDINGTON HALLS Jewess Opposes Prohibition Resolution that Mrs Lewis-Barned, a Conservative Councillor, had opposed such a ban in the following words: "In this land of liberty, everyone has the right to hold meetings, and I would not wish to take that right away from any organisation." The November 18 issue published a letter in support of her; this was in the immediate aftermath of Kristallnacht.
- (222) I don't know much about this organisation but I do know that it is heavily kosher and has not a few black members, who have also defended the rights of Nazis and Klansmen with the same gusto they have defended the rights of homosexuals and other degenerates, porn merchants and tobacco companies.
- (223) Neier wrote a book about this affair: DEFENDING MY ENEMY: American Nazis, the Skokie Case, and the Risks of Freedom, published by E. P. Dutton, New York, (1979).
- (224) Neier, Defending My Enemy, pages 2-3, (ibid).
- (225) Gable claims that his *anti-fascist* father volunteered for the armed forces and spent six years in Bomber Command; the reality is that Walter William Gable served in the RAF as a Leading Aircraftman (No 1467918) from September 16, 1941 until March 6, 1946, hardly the hallmark of a fanatical anti-Nazi.
- (226) Which is bad enough, but Gable doesn't even realise what fascism is! Gable routinely denounces the National Front as fascist, yet in 1991 he contributed an error-prone essay to the book *Neo-Fascism In Europe* in which he referred to the Front's ideology as that of "a decentralised economy and state..." A decentralised economy and state is the very antithesis of fascism!
- (227) Neier, Defending My Enemy, page 145, (op cit).
- (228) Neier, Defending My Enemy, page 4, (ibid).
- (229) Neier, Defending My Enemy, page 5, (ibid).
- (230) And including, of course, the *Protocols Of Zion*. The current writer has written a pamphlet on the use of Jews as scapegoats: How The Searchlight Organisation Incites Hatred Against Jews: A Fresh Look At The Scapegoat Theory Of Anti-Semitism And The World Zionist Conspiracy with the full text of the "Gable Memorandum", published by Anglo-Hebrew Publishing, London, (July 1994).
- (231) JEWS MUST SERVE NAZI POLICY Food Controllers in Rumania, published in the Jewish Chronicle, June 13, 1941, page 10. See also the previous footnote.

- (232) Who, in spite of being smeared themselves as anti-Semitic on occasion, are more than happy to bury their differences with Gable when it comes fighting the non-existent fascist menace.
- (233) These are areas which to this day have large Jewish populations, and where Gable himself grew up.
- (234) SPECIAL BRANCH REPORT OF FASCIST AND ANTI-FASCIST MEETINGS HELD DURING NOVEMBER 1938. This is signed by the Chief Constable himself and is dated 6.12.38. This three page report can be found in Public Record Office file MEPOL 2/3043.
- (235) This is not intended as a sweeping attack on all the Deputies; I am referring specifically to the so-called defence committee, and in particular Gable's hatemongering co-racialists those damned liars Mike Whine and Neville Nagler.
- (236) Incredible but true!
- (237) As always, there is room for the honourable exception; one such exception was Lucien Wolf (1857-1930), the distinguished Jewish scholar and journalist. Wolf was both an outspoken opponent of Zionism and one of the first authors to make a critical analysis of the *Protocols Of Zion*.
- (238) Full details can be found in the *Hackney Gazette AND NORTH LONDON ADVERTISER* for May 15, 1962. (239) I say this without for one moment doubting Mr Jordan's sincerity; I will say though that like all of us he
- can be dogmatic at times about his pet hates, and that he has unquestionably refused to face certain irrefutable facts.
- (240) As he is, of course. This was six years after Leese's death. At this time, and for a couple of years afterwards, Mrs May Leese the great man's widow, continued to fund Jordan's movement. Mrs Leese appears to have shared her husband's ideology, although she was unquestionably nowhere near as anti-Semitic. When Leese was arrested under 18b in November 1940, she and a female companion were each fined twenty pounds for assaulting and obstructing the arresting officers.
- (241) The Swastika Fringe, published in the New Statesman, January 9, 1960, pages 31-2.
- (242) For the record, the designation Anglo-Saxon does not include the current writer.
- (243) It is a biological fact, in spite of the absurd claims and assertions of the misnamed "anti-racist" lobby not simply that race isn't important, but that it doesn't exist!
- (244) Jew and Gentile.
- (245) Not absolutely any form of white nationalism. The *nationalism* of the IRA has always, strangely, received more than a fair hearing in the communist/socialist circles where Gable largely operates.
- (246) Instead of the simple, prosaic racial hatred that it is.
- (247) Ethnic minorities as they are now called; including many second generation and other.
- (248) Except in the rare exceptions when they are; the Jewish Defence League is a particularly nasty example. Also, curiously, Black Separatist organisations are invariably branded *racist* and/or anti-Semitic, usually for no good reason.
- (249) I really don't like using that word, Aryan, because it is technically not correct. I use it because in the course of my researches in which I studied every issue of the Jewish Chronicle for the entire Nazi era I came across it frequently, and it was always used by the paper in a disparaging, mocking tone.
- (250) This relates not simply to World War Two but to many wars, perhaps even all wars, in modern times at least.
- (251) The Swastika Fringe, (op cit). The lie that the Nazis those wicked Aryan German goyim turned Jews into soap is still being peddled intermittently fifty years after the war, (see for example the Times, January 28, 1995, page 13). The original version of this obscene anti-German libel actually first appeared in the same newspaper in April 1917!
- (252) See for example 4,000,000 Dead in One Camp, published in the Yorkshire Post, May 8, 1945, page 1. The great industrial concerns were said to have "made fortunes from their bodies", the grandly styled Russian State Atrocity Commission is said to have reported. Further comment would be superfluous.
- (253) Coventry Evening Telegraph, April 18, 1961, LAST EDITION, page 5, (op cit).
- (254) Again, I am not for one moment attempting either to dismiss or play down Mr Jordan's anti-Semitism, but his self-professed Jew-hating does not detract from the fact that on occasion he has been dealt with unfairly, and the fact also that the criticisms he has made of Jewry, if made by someone else a Jew for example would be considered by many people to have some or even a great deal of legitimacy.
- (255) I have no doubt that many people besides CJ and his fellow travellers are arrested and charged and convicted unjustly for certain public order "offences". Some of the "crimes" people can be arrested for and convicted of in this field are highly imaginative to say the least. Not being a protesting type I can't say that this is something

which concerns me deeply; the point I wish to make however is that CJ has always been given a raw deal by the law, not because of what he does, but because of what he believes.

(256) And racists, and fellow travellers, many of whom have no sympathy whatsoever with Nazism.

(257) Though it is still not possible to criticise Jews in any meaningful sense without being denounced to high heaven, it is possible to criticise Zionists. But only if you are an "anti-racist" or an establishment politician or an oppressed person - ie a Palestinian Arab. Curiously, this taboo does not relate to the Israeli press, which attacks Jews of all shades frequently and in the clearest possible terms.

(258) If, dear reader, you are not genned up on the terminology, best not inquire. At least, not before breakfast! (259) But not by the Socialist Workers Party, who, as long ago as 1973 said of this dreadful inhuman philosophy that: "Its essence is that a 'chosen people', the Jews, are superior to everyone else and can and should trample on the rights of other peoples". Zionist fanatics - in particular the aforementioned slimeballs Nagler and Whine - even go so far as to assert that the claim that Zionism is a *racist* ideology is "usually antisemitic".

(260) This is something which doesn't go down at all well with ethnic minorities, most of whom hate, loathe and despise these vectors of human filth every bit as the rest of us. Blacks should hate them most of all because homosexuals have in the past scapegoated them for the spread of AIDS. Orthodox Jews have no time for homosexuals either, and believe that if the Holocaust had been restricted to them, it would have been justified. (261) The organised left, but specifically the Socialist Workers Party and its front organisation, the Anti Nazi League.

(262) [Organised Christianity, to be precise]. It was the likewise the church that would have burnt Galileo at the stake, and indeed did burn countless heretics and alleged witches. The church also endorsed slavery and many other abominable practices.

(263) It is more than a little ironic that Mr Jordan is childless; the most effective stand he could have made against the evil machinations of Gable and his kind would have been to ensure that there was a future generation of Jordans to continue his work.

(264) I have to date published only a short pamphlet on this scam; from the available evidence, it appears that Gable was only a minor player in this affair, but he has gone on record as endorsing it, although he has since claimed that Column 88 was "a honey-trap organisation controlled by British Intelligence". Whatever that may mean.

(265) For the full text of this notorious document, the reader is referred to the current writer's study: How The Searchlight Organisation Incites Hatred Against Jews..., (op cit).

(266) For details of this and the (following) mythical 1981 Notting Hill bomb plot, the reader is referred to Baron, Liars Ought To Have Good Memories, (op cit).

(267) Gable's hidden hand was obviously behind this scam; the story was leaked to the *Daily Mirror* (a regular conduit for *Searchlight's* sewage), which in its March 28, 1986 edition published '*DEATH PLOT' PROBE AND A TORY MP: Thatcher told of conspiracy*; it could then be safely recycled by *Searchlight*, which ran the story on the front cover of its April 1986 issue. *Private Eye* was foolish enough to name the man who was said to have hired the non-existent assassin, who, surprise, surprise, turned out to be none other than Gerald Howarth, one of the plaintiffs in the *Panorama*, *Maggie's Militant Tendency* libel case.

(268) We have already alluded to several of the current writer's exposés of Gable and his hate machine, but briefly the following will be of interest: Destabilising the "decent people", by Duncan Campbell, Bruce Page and Nick Anning, published in the New Statesman, February 15, 1980, pages 234-6; Sniper shines the searchlight on Gerry Gable and illuminates some disturbing facts..., published in the Sniper column in the magazine Anarchy, 2nd series, Summer 1983, pages 23-5; Lies, damn lies...and Panorama, by Robin Oakley, published in the Daily Mail, March 16, 1984, pages 22-3; SPY TRIAL BY TELEVISION, by Duncan Campbell, Patrick Forbes and Jolyon Jenkins, published in the New Statesman, July 25, 1986, pages 10-11; Public Reply by CAFE to slanders by Gerry Gable (editor/boss of Searchlight) and the leaders of Red Action, published in Nazis Out!, Nov/Dec 1992, issue 7, pages 4-5; AT WAR WITH THE TRUTH: THE TRUE STORY OF SEARCHLIGHT AGENT TIM HEPPLE, by Larry O'Hara, Produced by Mina Enterprises, Camberley, Surrey, (1993); EYSENCK AND THE NAZIS: Another "Searchlight" Smear Exposed And Refuted, by Alexander Baron, published by Anglo-Hebrew Publishing, London, (April 1994). Second printing; THESE PEOPLE DON'T DESERVE YOUR CHARITY And They Aren't Educating Anyone - What You Should Know About The Searchlight Educational Trust And Why You Shouldn't Give Them A Penny: A Guide For Charities And Trusts, by Alexander Baron, published by Anglo-Hebrew Publishing, London, (April 1994); LIARS OUGHT TO HAVE GOOD MEMORIES: The True, Unsanitised Story Of "Searchlight" Mole Ray Hill with a critique of The Other Face of Terror, by Alexander Baron, published by InfoText Manuscripts, London, (August 1994).

(269) Or in his case, incite the gullible govim to smash them off the streets.

(270) Both publish eponymous newspapers; the front cover of the February/March 1995 issue of *Class War* incites the murder of Britain's judges under the legend: "execute these scumbags". The back cover of the Spring '95 issue of *Green Anarchist* praises the murder of three French policemen and calls for similar action here.

(271) This is taken from an article in issue 7 of Fighting Talk, (AFA's theoretical journal); although undated it

was published cMarch 1994.

(272) By Birmingham Stipendiary Magistrate Mr John Milward. This appendix is the typed transcript forwarded to the current writer by Mr Jordan. It is reproduced here verbatim.

Published by InfoText Manuscripts, 93c Venner Road, Sydenham, London SE26 5HU. England.

ISBN 1871473535.