

IF THEY'RE BLACK SEND THEM BACK:

How Nelson Mandela

Went From Working Class Hero

To Well-Oiled Nazi Machine



Stop Mandela!
Don't let him
turn me into
a lampshade!



It's de gas chamber
for you.

Repatriation Is Nazi! For Some

On the evening of October 11, 1994, BBC television's 9 o'clock news related a truly shocking story. Black people were being rounded up and sent back to "their own country". Yes, not simply being told to go back to their own country by the British National Party; not being ordered to report to police stations with their passports and documents; not even being arrested, restrained and gagged like Joy Gardner (1) with some, albeit brutal, semblance of legal process, but rounded up and sent back.

Throughout the nineties, and before the nineties, the eighties and the seventies, we in Britain have been subjected to absolute hysteria by so-called "anti-racist" organisations and their fellow travellers. Repatriation, even voluntary repatriation, is not just a violation of human rights, not just wicked, but Nazi. Yes, you know, NAZI, as in swastikas, shovelling Jews into the gas chambers (or was it gas ovens?) of Auschwitz, (or was it Dachau?). Yes, repatriation is Nazi.

So terrible is this Nazi menace, that any organisation which advocates it has to be stamped on. Members of the National Front, and more recently the British National Party (supposedly Britain's premier race-hate organisation), have been subjected to pickets by "anti-racist" groups at their places of work, resulting in some of them being hounded out of their jobs. They have been beaten up; and of course, their meetings, marches and rallies have been disrupted by all manner of dirty tricks from smear campaigns and financial pressure against venue owners by Organised Jewry to full scale riots such as Lewisham, August 1977, and much more recently, Welling, on October 16, 1993. (2)

In view of all the hysteria generated against the diminutive British National Party and similar, even smaller, organisations, one would have expected the "anti-racist" proletariat to be out in force protesting against such blatant Nazi-style atrocities being carried out by the forces of law and order, but there was not a whisper, at least, not in this country. That hysterical "anti-racist" vanguard of the "workers" revolution *Socialist Worker* carried not a single column inch on this outrage; there were no demonstrations, no pickets, no protests to the government. Not a dickie bird. Even more incredibly, this repatriation is still going on now, and the government has stated not only that it is going to continue with the repatriations but it is considering extending the fence around the country, and that it is seriously contemplating electrifying this fence.

Wait a minute, you say, there is no fence around this country, and anyway, Britain is an island. Who said anything about Britain? The government that is repatriating its black immigrants is South Africa. Not *racist*, Apartheid South Africa under the quasi-fascist régime of Botha, or of de Klerk, but the new, liberated, "anti-racist" (and non-sexist) South Africa under the government of President Nelson Mandela. Can this be true? Surely this is some sort of sick joke, black propaganda by white *racists*? 'Fraid not. The electrified fence was reported in the South Africa press in Britain a week after the shock report on the BBC's 9 o'clock news. (3)

Even more incredibly, the aforementioned 9 o'clock news programme related not only how illegal immigrants from Mozambique were being rounded up and sent back, but that South Africans were blaming them for lowering the tone of their beautiful (and now liberated) country. The immigrants were said to be responsible for high crime rates, depressing wages, even for dealing in drugs. What else would one expect of those filthy *racist* white South Africans, you say? Who said anything about white South Africans? Such *racist* abuse was said to be emanating from all South Africans. Whatever does all this mean? And how relevant is it to Britain? Let's take the second question first.

The British Experience

Although there have been blacks and other non-whites in this country for hundreds of years (4) the first real influx of any size did not happen until 1948 when the *Empire Windrush* sailed up the Thames. (5) The influx continued, and in the late fifties, serious resentment began building up in the indigenous community. The fascist movement, which had previously targeted Jews as "undesirable aliens", now turned its attentions to the blacks.

Later, a new influx of non-white immigrants began arriving at these shores, Asians. Many of these arrived in the early seventies when they were kicked out of Uganda by President Idi Amin. (A black *racist*? Heaven forbid!) Before that though, in 1967 to be precise, the anti-immigration movement entered a new phase (one which was spectacularly unsuccessful), with the formation of the National Front. The NF quickly made a reputation for

NELSON MANDELA: A WELL-OILED NAZI MACHINE

kicking its way into the headlines, and the fact that a number of its more prominent members had former fascist and Nazi connections, (6) some of them very recent, allowed its enemies to portray it not as a patriotic or anti-immigration party, but as a Nazi Party. (7)

The hysteria against the National Front and similar organisations took a while to reach fever pitch, but when it did, it never abated. 1974 saw the publication of a 16 page pamphlet called *A Well-Oiled Nazi Machine*. (8) Although the authors were not credited, there was no secret as to their identities: they were Maurice Ludmer and Gerry Gable. Both men were former communists (former?); and both were, by an extraordinary coincidence, racial Jews. Although Ludmer's mother was a teacher of Hebrew, (9) his co-racialist Gable has, by his own admission, never been a member of a synagogue. (10) In any case, neither the deceased Ludmer nor Gable can profess to speak for Jews, whatever their ethnic origins. (11)

As well as smearing the National Front, the purpose of *A Well-Oiled Nazi Machine* was to equate any movement which advocated repatriation, or an end to immigration, or even opposition to the inevitable resultant miscegenation, with Nazism. The pamphlet also libelled Britain's leading Nazi and Hitler worshipper Colin Jordan, by claiming that he had orchestrated an arson campaign against synagogues in the London area in the mid-sixties. Mr Jordan tried to have Ludmer prosecuted for criminal libel; although his summonses were dismissed, the magistrate ruled that both the pamphlet and *Searchlight* magazine, (see next paragraph) were scurrilous and disreputable publications. (12) After using all manner innuendo, *A Well-Oiled Nazi Machine* concluded no "freedom of speech" for *racialists* ad nauseum. Which of course gives the damned liars of the "anti-racist" left a monopoly.

In February the following year, Ludmer and Gable launched *Searchlight* magazine. Taking its name from a campaigning broadsheet which was first published in 1965, (13) it continued the theme of white Britain = Nazi Britain. Other organisations jumped on the bandwagon, 1977 saw the formation of the Anti Nazi League, and since then numerous organisations have sprung up, some of them extremely well-funded by "capitalist" foundations. (14)

As stated, the main theme of all these organisations was and is that anyone who advocates either repatriation or an end to immigration is a Nazi. In 1978, the Socialist Workers Party even went so far as to publish a pamphlet which claimed that immigration controls were the first step on the road to Dachau! (15) Yes, it actually said that. This gem appears on page 11. "The politics of repatriation are the politics of Auschwitz and Dachau, the politics of Hitler's extermination camps. The first step on the road to a British Dachau was taken when immigration controls were first imposed in 1962." [This is not a treatise on history, Revisionist or otherwise, but I would like to point out that it is not claimed - now at any rate - by "respectable" historians, that Dachau was an extermination camp.]

Let's get this straight lads, since 1962, Britain has been drifting towards Nazism? So where are the gas chambers? Ah, that's the point isn't it, if the government sends blacks or anyone else back, or even tells them that no further immigrants are welcome here, we'll end up constructing gas chambers for Maurice Ludmer and his co-racialists, or perhaps even turning them into lampshades. (16) In 1979, Ludmer himself went so far as to publish a pamphlet which suggested that it was unnatural for white women to have white babies, such was the obsession of this mischievous, hate-filled Jewish "anti-fascist" with promoting the extinction of the wicked *Aryan goyim* whom he held responsible for the historic persecution of his race. (17)

This is of course what lies at the bottom of the "anti-racist" movement, an almost pathological hatred of white skin, a hatred which emanates primarily from the alienated Jews amongst its membership. (18) But there is another form of hatred at work here, the hatred of the Socialist International for the "ruling class". These people see everything in terms of class struggle, so that the rich *oppress* the poor; men *oppress* women; straights (ie normal people) *oppress* "gays", (ie faggots); and whites *oppress* non-whites. The darker the skin of the *oppressed* group, the more they are oppressed, which explains, incidentally, why the extreme left, which is so passionately opposed to any manifestation of anti-Semitism is equally passionately anti-Zionist, for them the Israeli-Palestinian conflict is simply another manifestation of the class struggle, and as, generally, the Palestinians have the darker skins, they must be the victims. (19) The Anti Nazi League, which in its earlier incarnation, (20) embarrassed many of its Jewish sponsors by turning on Zionism, (21) is in fact little more than a front for the rabidly Trotskyite Socialist Workers Party. (22)

The campaign against *racism* in Britain - and elsewhere throughout the white world - has escalated enormously since the publication of *A Well-Oiled Nazi Machine*, the founding of *Searchlight* magazine, the Anti Nazi League, and the publication of the SWP's anti-immigration controls pamphlet. But what about in post-Apartheid South Africa?

Has Mandela Sold Out?

Not only do the complaints of South Africans of all races about illegal immigrants give the lie to the Alice-in-Wonderland theories of the evolution of *racism*, but the actions of Comrade Mandela in bolstering the supposedly, *racist* capitalist system shed some light on the future of Southern Africa, and for once the news is decidedly good.

Let's take the bit about *racism* first. The mythical disease of *racism* is supposed to have evolved out of capitalism. This cloud cuckooland theory can be found in any number of publications, but a good - or appallingly bad - example, is the series of pamphlets published by the so-called Institute of Race Relations in the early 1980s. The first two (out of four) were called *Roots of racism* and *Patterns of racism*. As well as being both biologically inaccurate, (23) and viciously anti-white, the pamphlets also interpret all history in a purely Marxian context - ie *oppressed v oppressors*.

The Socialist Workers Party and their fellow travellers find this dogma very reassuring. The argument - demonstrably false - is that *racism* arose out of the need (more conscious than subconscious) of the filthy Imperialists of an earlier age to justify the colonisation and enslavement of the non-white peoples of the world, in Africa especially, but also to a lesser extent in Asia and elsewhere.

Apparently there were fabulous civilisations in Black Africa before the White Man set foot there. As the Black African has no written language, (24) and as a written language is a prerequisite for any civilisation worthy of the name, (25) this must have been quite a feat. So, the filthy, *racist*, Imperialist honkies tore down these great indigenous civilisations, forced the natives to live in mud huts, and invented *racism* as a method of holding them down: making them inferior and at the same time teaching them to be inferior. Hmmm! Obviously this dogma is total and utter crap; unfortunately, like the unique wickedness of *Aryan goyim* as espoused by the National Curriculum's endorsement of the acceptable version of the Nazi *Holocaust*, it has become holy writ in our schools and universities.

But what has been happening in South Africa? And why are South Africans of all races complaining about the poor and oppressed "immigrants" from Mozambique lowering the tone of the place, dealing in drugs and the like? How does that fit into the theory of *racism*? It doesn't!

The simple fact is that this sort of *racism* has nothing to do with any Marxist claptrap about justifying the *oppression* of subject races, and everything to do with human nature. Unless they are invited by fellow emigrés or family, or unless they're stinking rich and spend their money like water, immigrants are *never* popular.

In 1622, new arrivals from the Old World were wiped out at Jamestown, Virginia in an Indian massacre. (26) This must have seemed hard on the colonisers, but in retrospect the Indians were far too friendly, because look what happened to them! The war cry of the extreme left (and the "anti-*racist*" lobby) is that any sort of *xenophobia* is totally unworthy of intelligent, educated, sophisticated men and women. Like themselves, of course, which is why they are always so appalled at *racist* banter and any manifestation of race consciousness. Unfortunately, the same intelligent, educated, sophisticated men and women have an overt propensity to support such other worthy causes as *gay* "lessons" - (ie teaching young boys that it is far more socially acceptable to importune for immoral purposes in public toilets than it is to chase a bit of skirt); (27) supporting politically correct political violence - bombing their way to the negotiating table IRA-style; and dragging an eighty year old woman into court not once but twice because she's so gullible that she believes the Jews are plotting to take over the world. (28)

The prosaic truth about *racism* is that people prefer to associate with their own kind, and indeed this is something which transcends race, religion or any other barriers, physical, mental, spiritual, real or false. We all have these preferences, and many of them. For example, we might have strong family ties, we might be working in the sort of profession (usually referred to as a career) where our social lives revolve around our work. We might like discoing and partying, and so on. This doesn't mean that we hate people who exist outside our microcosms any more than it means we hate people of other races, it simply means that we prefer to discriminate in favour of our own kind, or in favour of the people we like. That's what the word "discrimination" means: to choose; there's nothing pejorative about it.

Many people are accused of being *homophobic*, ie hating homosexuals, simply because they find their sexual and social practices repulsive. The reality is that most people don't *hate* homosexuals, but simply prefer to avoid them. (29) You may not hate homosexuals, but if a homosexual were to turn up on your doorstep with a court order giving him access to your house as an unpaid lodger, and if he invited all his perverted friends round for orgies and cocaine sessions, and you had to foot the bill, it wouldn't be very long before you hated, loathed and

despised homosexuals. (30) In effect, this is what "anti-discrimination" and "equal opportunity" legislation does: it tells people whom they must employ, or even whom they must associate with. (31)

If individuals feel this way about other individuals, nations and races feel the same way too. People always resent foreigners being invited in without any sort of mandate, given (what they perceive as) special privileges, encouraged to integrate into a community where they may be quite unassimilable, and all manner of other things. South Africans of all races are no different from anyone else, hence the *xenophobia* exhibited towards immigrants from Mozambique. Now, returning to Comrade Mandela, has he sold out? Before we can answer that question we had best take a look at what Mandela really stood for and what he believed in.

Mandela's Vision

Nelson Mandela spent twenty-seven years in gaol, and rightly so. Or at the very least, he should have served a long stretch. He was, after all, a terrorist. Mandela was one of the defendants in the Rivonia sabotage case. (32) He was the big fish. The conspirators were arrested after information was received by the authorities concerning a terrorist hideout at a farm in Rivonia, South Africa. They were members of *Umkhonto We Sizwe*, the military arm of the then illegal African National Congress. This particular section of the ANC was more red than black, and indeed, four of its members were white, (Jewish, unfortunately).

Most of the defendants in the Rivonia trial were arrested at the *Liliesleaf* farm on July 11, 1963, (33) and eventually eleven were indicted. When the trial opened on October 29, 1963, there were ten defendants. (34) The Rivonia trial revealed a plot to overthrow the government of South Africa and to cause civil war, (35) indeed, Mandela admitted as much in his unsworn statement, in effect a sixty page speech, which he read out to the court.

Umkhonto We Sizwe was a front for the Communist Party. (36) Unquestionably, Mandela was, or believed himself to be, a communist, but did he really believe all the dogma about the workers seizing power, controlling the means of production, and, once socialism has been achieved, the all-powerful state withering away? It is difficult to credit that anyone could ever believe such dross, except that they do: millions of them. Whether or not Mandela believed it, he was certainly prepared to endorse violence, including murder, in order to achieve his goals. But what were his goals?

No man can read another man's mind, but it seems most likely that what Mandela wanted was not the dictatorship of the proletariat or any of the other communist guff, but a more just society, with greater wealth for all, in particular the people at the bottom, (37) and an end to such repression and petty bigotry as internal passports (the pass laws) and segregated bus services.

Unlike his totally worthless wife Winnie (that's an unusual necklace) Nomzamo, Nelson never appeared to hate the white man, (38) and, bearing in mind that it was the white man who educated him, (39) there is no reason he should. Certainly many whites have suffered injustice in South Africa, as have many whites under white governments, blacks under black governments and so on.

Having been released from gaol and elected President, Mandela decided to set about creating, in his eyes, a more just society. The Socialist Workers Party and their fellow travellers would have us believe that the way to do this is to confiscate the wealth of the rich, share it out and take the means of the production of all wealth into state ownership, (the euphemism they use is to place the means of production under "workers' control"). Leaving aside the fact that there are probably even fewer genuine workers in the SWP than there were in *Umkhonto We Sizwe*, (40) this was a game plan Mandela could have followed, but he was wise enough to realise that one can have one's cake and eat it, but once it has been eaten and there is no cake left, if the masses are not to starve, they must continue to produce wealth.

Having lived through an age of "liberation", Mandela, although in prison, was undoubtedly extremely well-briefed about the situations in Black African countries wherever *uhuru* has reared its ugly head. He might also have read a book by a little known American author named Kent Steffgen. Writing in 1966, Steffgen poured scorn on the so-called "civil rights" movement which, he pointed out correctly "publicized itself as a drive for economic advancement for the Negro but actually revolves about an attempt to invade the social privacy of whites." (41) Although he paints a far too rosy picture of the black man's lot in the United States, Steffgen makes the irrefutable point that, at the time of writing, U.S. Negroes owned 4.5 million automobiles - one to almost every four persons, while in the Soviet Union, there was only one car for every 350 people, and most of these were either state-owned or owned by high-ranking officials. Other statistics were equally impressive. (42)

The inescapable conclusion is that it was - and is - better by far to be a black man in *racist* America than it was to be a white man in the (now former) Soviet Union. (43)

Actually, it is not at all likely that Mandela has read Steffgen's book, but spending twenty-seven years in prison gave him more than enough time to reflect on the merits of *racist*, capitalist South African over the glorious Soviet Union. If only that, had he been convicted in the Soviet Union of attempting to overthrow the government, he would unquestionably have been shot. (44)

The solution to South Africa's problems then is not to confiscate a bigger slice of the white man's cake via taxation and hand it over to the black man, but to free up resources and utilise them to the fullest so that the country can produce more wealth for South Africans of all races. The point the far left either don't understand or don't want to understand is that the rich - who are comparatively few in numbers - don't squander their money (45) but invest it. The bulk of the wealth of the rich is not spending money but investment capital. If this is destroyed, confiscated or taxed away, investment falls, unemployment rises, and poverty ensues.

It may be that former communist Mandela (46) has read Ayn Rand, although it's likely he hasn't. In her classic essay and devastating refutation of socialism *The Inverted Moral Priorities*, Rand points out the folly of "expropriating" (ie stealing) the wealth of the rich. "If an average housewife struggles with her incomprehensibly shrinking budget and sees a tycoon in a resplendent limousine, she might well think that just one of his diamond cuff links would solve all her problems. She has no way of knowing that if all the personal luxuries of all the tycoons were expropriated, it would not feed her family - and millions of other, similar families - for one week; and that the entire country would starve on the first morning of the week to follow. (This is what happened in Chile)...No one tells her that higher taxes imposed on the rich (and the semi-rich) will not come out of their consumption expenditures, but out of their investment capital, (i.e., their savings); that such taxes will mean less investment, i.e., less production, fewer jobs, higher prices for scarcer goods; and that by the time the rich have to lower their standard of living, hers will be gone, along with *her* savings and her husband's job..." (47)

Rand continues "No one tells [the housewife] that the businessman's *profits* are the only protection of her home, her family, her life - and that if the erosion of profits were to force businessmen out of production altogether, the only alternative would be a 'nonprofit' industry run by the government; what *this* would mean to the people has been demonstrated amply and conclusively in Soviet Russia."

Again, Mandela almost certainly hasn't read Rand's essay any more than he has read Steffgen's book, but just as certainly he *has* seen Soviet Russia and he has seen blacks in *racist* Imperialist America holding high office, reaping high rewards (and salaries) in the entertainment industry, in sport, increasingly in business, and generally experiencing a much higher standard of living than their white equivalents in the now former Soviet Union. And "socialist" Cuba.

Of course, poor people of all races can be found in all countries, and the policies of our so-called Conservative government have done much in recent years to amplify the inequalities in our society. This doesn't mean that Mandela, South Africans and people everywhere can't and shouldn't strive for a more just society and a system which creates more wealth for the poor, it means simply that impoverishing the rich may bring a short term alleviation of poverty for some, but after that the entire nation becomes impoverished.

Mandela hasn't then taken a backhander from the wicked white capitalists who really run the country, he hasn't sold out. He has simply realised, like all politicians, that it is extremely easy to promise the Earth in opposition, but nigh on impossible to deliver such promises when one achieves power. (48) Even if confiscating the wealth of the white ruling class (49) didn't have the disastrous effect on the South African economy that it would surely have, the resulting white flight would take with it an enormous percentage of the country's real wealth: the skills of many of its white citizens, for doctors, lawyers, engineers, scientists, teachers...are just as much wealth as Rands in the bank.

Strangely, this white flight hasn't materialised, nor to date has it shown any sign of materialising, in spite of the prophecies of far right extremists, white *racists* and the like. Nor has there been a bloodbath as the same people were predicting. Whites haven't been butchered in their hundreds and thousands; South Africa has not become another Congo, nor even another *Zimbabwe*. Why not?

To understand why not, one has to look beyond the propaganda of the now defunct Anti-Apartheid Movement and the plethora of anti-South African (and anti-white) hate organisations which have been allowed to spew out their lies virtually unchallenged over the past three decades; anyone who was presumptuous enough to challenge them would be denounced to high heaven as a *racist* or some other equally nebulous epithet. How does one pierce this veil of propaganda? By doing what the current writer did, reading the South African press.

The Myth Of "Racism" Under Apartheid

In 1993-4 I was researching a book on someone who had spent some time in South Africa, a notorious *racist*, anti-Semite and Nazi named Ray Hill. Hill had been active in the neo-Nazi British Movement but emigrated to South Africa at the end of 1969 and dropped out of politics. (50) In 1979, he turned up again in Britain and joined a number of far right organisations before revealing that since his return he had been working undercover as an "anti-racist" mole in order to save the world from the international Nazi conspiracy.

The current writer began researching the South African press from 1975 onwards, (51) and, surprise, surprise, what did I find? No *racist* bigots with bull whips flogging blacks, no derogatory references to kaffirs or anything of the sort. Instead, what I did find was newspapers reporting on the townships, sports pages with glowing references to black athletes, advertisements featuring blacks and aimed at black consumers. (52) Not the slightest manifestation of racial hatred. The supposedly so *racist* South Africans even banned a book on Yasir Arafat. (53) But the really interesting find was the reaction of white South Africans to the formation of a quasi-fascist, race-hate organisation.

This organisation, which called itself the South African National Front, was founded some time in 1978. It had only a transitory existence, and in spite of its boast of recruiting hundreds of members in a relatively short time, it revolved around the activities of two people: Jack Noble, a British ex-patriate, and the aforementioned Ray Hill. No sooner had it reared its ugly head than it began publishing an anti-black, and, curiously, anti-Jewish magazine called *Hilback*. What was the reaction of South Africans? They were appalled. When the SANF embarked on a campaign to oust non-whites from white areas by reporting them to the police, they were denounced as bigots and *racists*. (54) Questions were raised about the SANF in Parliament; there were even demands for it to be prosecuted for incitement to racial hatred. This was in *racist*, Apartheid South Africa, remember?

But most interesting of all was the claim by Hill in his lie-ridden autobiography (55) that he had in fact been working undercover in South Africa as well. In particular he had been feeding information to the South African Jewish Board of Deputies. The current writer's researches reveal, using Hill's own chronology, that all the time he was stirring up hatred against South Africa's non-white citizens, he was actually in the pay of Organised Jewry. So in fact most of the blame for racial hatred in this instance - and in many others - can be laid not at the doors of *racists* but of so-called "anti-racist" agents provocateurs, in particular, Zionist Jews, "anti-fascists", and in some cases, secret state agents. (56)

What are the wider ramifications of this? As stated, Mandela, and the overwhelming majority of non-white South Africans realise that the myth of universal white *racism* is exactly that, a myth. The average white South African has never wanted to be forced to mix with blacks or any other minority, and certainly not to live with them, but that is as far as it goes. Even all the dross that has been churned out about the *racist* South African police doesn't cut any ice with most black South Africans. Such claims as the police beat blacks up and murder them are ludicrous; as if white people never died in police custody; as if the police never beat up or fitted up whites. Ask the Guildford Four and the Birmingham Six about that. In any case, in South Africa the majority of police are black; a large percentage of the armed forces are black; and most "racial" violence is black on black, as indeed it is in America and elsewhere.

Mandela Evicts Squatters But Takes Pay Cut

The *Daily Telegraph*, November 16, 1994, page 14 ran another story on Comrade Mandela which could hardly have brought much joy to his friends and admirers in the Socialist International. *Evicted squatters go on rampage* announced that not only was Mandela continuing to evict squatters - their race was not given, but presumably they were black - but he was said to be on R460,000 a year = £80,000. After a pay cut! Previously he'd been on R575,000, a cool hundred grand!

I'm not *au fait* with the squatter "problem" but if Nelson keeps defending the property rights of (obviously white *racist*) landlords, his stock with even the SWP will fall. Eventually. And the old sod's on a grand and a half a week after a pay cut!! Okay, he's spent a long time in gaol so he's entitled to a bit more than an old age pension and a free bus pass, but contrast this with the *socialism* of Paul Foot.

NELSON MANDELA: A WELL-OILED NAZI MACHINE

In July 1990, journalist Foot (and former editor of *Socialist Worker*), published *THE CASE FOR SOCIALISM: WHAT THE SOCIALIST WORKERS PARTY STANDS FOR*. Apparently what it stands for is "real socialism". The (former) Soviet Bloc and everybody else were really capitalists who were simply pretending. On page 69, Foot claims that "Socialism is founded on the idea of equality, which means that most people will get the same." Obviously Nelson is not most people, but does he really expect a qualified lawyer like Nelson - or anyone else for that matter - to work for the same salary as the man who empties the garbage? Wake up, you tosser! (57)

After Apartheid

Now that Apartheid has gone, the very same people who were calling for South Africa to be boycotted, often with a fanaticism bordering on frenzy, are calling for the West to invest in South Africa. The truth is that if these people really gave a damn about black South Africans they would never have called for it to be boycotted in the first place, because economic sanctions always hurt the people at the bottom. The claim that South Africa's "capitalist" system oppressed the blacks is also a fantasy, because Apartheid is actually a form of socialism. It is conveniently forgotten nowadays that at the turn of the century the South African Labour Party operated a policy of "white socialism only", (58) and that it was the South African employers who, after a series of strikes by white mine workers, were forced to reserve some semi-skilled work for whites. (59)

Except for a small number of dupes, all the most vocal enemies of white-ruled South Africa were motivated not by idealism, but by a desire to destroy what they perceived as the capitalist South African system and to replace it with their own brand of socialism, a brand which, fortunately, Comrade Mandela has had the good sense to reject. Other enemies of South Africa were motivated by their own particular brand of racial hatred, which, as in the case of Ray Hill's powerful manipulators, equates the very concept of white survival with a particularly perverted brand of sex shop Nazism, but what else should one expect from those wonderful people who gave you Sabra and Shatila?

Where does that leave the people of Mozambique, the "refugees" and immigrants who cross the border furtively in search of a better life? One might begin by asking if South Africa was always such a terrible place for blacks, why was it deemed necessary to keep them out in the first place? After all, if *racist*, Apartheid South Africa oppressed blacks so much, shouldn't the "refugees" have been headed in the opposite direction? Again, this is part of the black propaganda campaign waged against South Africa by our Marxist and "anti-racist" infested media. A while ago, before Mandela took power, a friend who had seen Winnie Mandela interviewed at home in Soweto by a British TV crew rang me up and said "She's got a better bloody house than I've got." As this friend is a professional person, and an MA, that says much for how oppressed some of the champions of the oppressed are. (60) In fact, rather than being one enormous, shanty town slum, Soweto is like any other great city; it has its hovels and its millionaires' row, although the poverty amongst South African blacks is, generally, far worse than in Europe, although, obviously, nowhere nearly as bad as in the rest of Black Africa.

So again, what of the refugees? That isn't Nelson Mandela's problem. He pledged to work for a non-racial South Africa, which he has now got, and to improve the lot of all South Africans, which he is now doing. The illegal immigrants from Mozambique are not "his people", so they are not his problem. That doesn't mean he doesn't care about them, I have no doubt that he does, but clearly the Socialist Workers Party's rhetoric of "immigration controls leads to *gas chambers*" cuts no ice with him. [A corollary of this is that it should cut no ice with us, either, so isn't it about time that the anti-immigration lobby stood up to the loony "anti-racist" left? How about issuing a libel writ next time you're branded a Nazi simply because you preach what Nelson practices?]

For all its *racist* oppression, South Africa was and remains the wealthiest country in Black Africa. But how much longer will it remain so if hundreds of thousands or even millions of "refugees" are permitted to cross the border into the country from Mozambique and elsewhere in an uncontrolled flood? Mozambique is not just the poorest country in Africa, it's the poorest country in the world. It has been wracked by a bloody civil war for over a decade; its citizens have nothing to offer South Africa, and any immigrants, illegal or otherwise, will be in almost every case a burden on the economy.

Incredibly, the socialists and the "anti-racist" left blame the poverty of Mozambique on its wealthy neighbour, South Africa! This though is par for the course, and is part and parcel of the quack pseudo-history of "anti-racism". Somehow the Imperialists are said to have "sucked the wealth out of" Mozambique and other "Third World" countries. The idea that a country could be rich because its people are productive and its system of government

and wealth production (capitalism) superior to its poor neighbours, never enters their heads or is to be rationalised or explained away.

The 5 November, 1994 issue of *Socialist Worker* reported that Mozambique's fifteen million people survive on an average of less than a pound a week. The civil war followed four hundred years of Portuguese colonialism which "reduced most Mozambiquans to virtual slaves." The reality is of course that the Mozambiquans never had it so good as when they were ruled by the Portuguese, and many would gladly return to the bad old days of colonialism. *Socialist Worker* spouted similar nonsense about the genocide in Rwanda. In its July 23, 1994 issue, the paper of the workers' vanguard gave the socialist explanation for that country's ill-fortune:

"The roots of Rwanda's civil war lie in the divisions caused by decades of colonial rule by Western powers and the deep poverty that the capitalist world system has brought to Africa.

"There will be no solution from French troops or the United Nations. They represent precisely the forces which have pillaged Africa.

"The only lasting way out is for Rwandans of all ethnic backgrounds to unite against the foreign troops and the rich."

Didn't anyone ever tell these bods that it was the foreign troops who were not only keeping order and preventing "Rwandans of all ethnic backgrounds" from killing each other, but who were feeding them?

As ever, the Socialist Workers Party proved they don't really give a shit about blacks or anyone else, including workers of any race. In the midst of one of the most terrible genocides in history, all they can offer is the same old boring slogan: "Fight *racism* fight Imperialism!" If they really cared about these pathetic people, or anyone else, they'd support the system which gives workers of all races a higher standard of living, capitalism. They would also fight the real injustices of the capitalist system, not the imaginary injustice which allows some men to become extremely wealthy by using their creative genius, marketing ability, or some other personal skill, but usury, the real injustice, by which a privately owned banking cartel, merely by creating fictional credit as book entries, is able, in effect, to confiscate an increasing percentage of the real, productive wealth of the entire community. In short, the Socialist Workers Party and their fellow travellers would advocate financial reform. But financial reform in any meaningful sense has never been part of any socialist agenda anywhere. The best they have ever been able to offer is that the banks should be nationalised and placed under workers' (ie their) control.

Obviously Mandela is ignorant of the con trick of fractional reserve banking, for if he did realise how South Africa's blacks - and all citizens everywhere - are truly oppressed, he would never have been allowed to become President, but would have rotted in gaol like any other convicted terrorist, regardless of the then continual worldwide outcry against his imprisonment. It is perhaps too much to hope that he or any future African leader, black or otherwise, will ever see through this particular con trick. But Mandela has already seen through the share the wealth sham of the Socialist International, and, to his credit, has embarked upon a programme of positive reform which will raise the standard of living of the poorest South Africans without destroying the productive class - of mainly white businessmen and entrepreneurs - the productivity of whom is their only hope of salvation.

Now that Apartheid has gone for good, the same organisations which previously denounced any sort of investment in South Africa are clammering for not only investment but aid to be poured into that country. It is ironic that when, save for a few brave (or greedy) sanctions busters, South Africa was isolated from the world, it managed not only without aid but also to feed its neighbours. (61) But it is the greatest irony of all that it is Nelson Mandela rather than Eugene TerreBlanche or even the Apartheid system itself, who may yet turn out to be the saviour of white civilisation in South Africa, but much to the disgust of the Socialist Workers Party, the Searchlight Organisation and a plethora of other anti-white and anti-capitalist hate groups worldwide, this indeed appears to be the case.

Appendix

The National Union of Journalists has been in the forefront of the "anti-racist" (read socialist-inspired censorship) campaign in Britain. Many journalists supported the recent SWP-inspired Media Workers Against the Nazis campaign and have supported attempts to shut down the Welling headquarters of the diminutive if undemocratic British National Party purely on the grounds that it advocates repatriation and "Britain for the British" policies. What then is the reaction of journalists to BNP style policies when they are put into practice by a so-called "anti-racist" (or non-racist") government with a large mandate. I thought I'd find out. The following resolution was put before the London Freelance Branch of the National Union of Journalists at the monthly meeting, December 12, 1994, at Acorn House, the Union's London Headquarters.

On October 11, the 9 o'clock news on BBC1 revealed that so-called illegal immigrants from Mozambique were being rounded up at the border with South Africa and sent back. On October 18, a South African newspaper published in Britain, the SA Times, claimed that the border fence between South Africa and Mozambique was to be extended, and that the government was even considering having it electrified. A couple of years ago I wouldn't have batted an eyelid at this, knowing as we all do the appalling human rights record of the previous South African government, with regard to - among other things - the tendency of suspects to have mysterious fatal accidents in police custody. Especially if they were black. I therefore find it inconceivable that the government of Nelson Mandela should endorse this sort of thing, and equally inconceivable that such august "anti-racist" organisations as the Socialist Workers Party appear not to have noticed it. I would like to move that this branch condemns, totally and unequivocally, the outrageous discrimination of the South African ANC government against refugees from Mozambique, and that it calls for the border fence not to be electrified, and indeed to be removed to allow the free migration of peoples in both directions.

I would appeal especially to any members of this branch who are active in the anti-racist movement, particularly members of the Anti Nazi League and the Anti Racist Alliance to support this motion.

In the event, the motion was seconded by an African member. Unfortunately, it was pointed out that as he was a temporary member, he was not eligible to second, or to vote on, a motion. The motion was then seconded by a senior female member, but when it came to a vote, I was the only person who voted in favour. Two members actually suggested that I was not entirely serious about this motion, and it was even suggested that the NUJ had absolutely no right to interfere in the affairs of another country, especially South Africa! They never adopted such an attitude when Apartheid was in force. How can such people condemn the BNP on the one hand and call for its HQ to be shut down, while on the other hand they are not prepared even to speak up for basic human rights when they are violated by a professed "anti-racist"?

Notes And References

- (1) A black woman who died at the hands of immigration officials in July 1993 when she was forcibly restrained. The peculiar circumstances of her death caused an outcry, and not only from the so-called "anti-racist" lobby.
- (2) This was not even a BNP march but an event organised by the far left to protest against the existence of the British National Party's headquarters. Yes, its very existence! When the police restrained the marchers and "protesters" from storming the well fortified building and razing it to the ground, a full scale riot ensued.
- (3) There was said to have been a fuller report on the BBC2 *Newsnight* programme on October 11, but I found the initial report so distressing that I couldn't bear to watch it. The *SA Times* carried a report about the possible electrification of the fence in its October 19 edition, *Border fence may be electrified*.
- (4) See for example *STAYING POWER: The History of Black People in Britain*, by Peter Foyer, published by Pluto Press, London, (1984). According to this author (page 2), the remains of a young African girl were found at a burial site in Norfolk dating c1000. [Which begs the question, if, as the "anti-racist" lobby tells us, race doesn't exist in a biological sense, how did they know she was an African? (See footnote 23)].
- (5) This is well documented, but see for example *BEYOND THE MOTHER COUNTRY: WEST INDIANS AND THE NOTTING HILL WHITE RIOTS*, by Edward Pilkington, published by I.B. Tauris, London, (1988).
The *Empire Windrush* sailed up the Thames on June 22, 1948. There were five hundred Jamaicans aboard, mostly men, (page 10). And all of them unwanted! Local Labour MPs were staunchly opposed to immigration, (page 84). As of course were 99% plus of the indigenous population.
- (6) The founder and first Chairman of the National Front, A.K. Chesterton, was a former Blackshirt. The fact that Mr Chesterton fought in both World Wars and collaborated with an Orthodox Jew to write a book on anti-Semitism did not prevent liars and hatemongers smearing him as both a Nazi and an anti-Semite.
- (7) Which it never was. The NF was sometimes referred to as the Nazional Front, and such sayings as "The National Front is a Nazi front" became commonplace.
- (8) *A WELL-OILED NAZI MACHINE: An Analysis of the Growth of the Extreme Right in Britain*, published by A.F. & R. Publications, Birmingham, (1974).
- (9) *Searchlight*, July 1981, issue 73, page 3.
- (10) As reported in the "Jewish events magazine" *New Moon*, May 1992, page 19.
- (11) Author's interview with a leading anti-Zionist rabbi.
- (12) For the full, documented story of the actual arson campaign, the libels on Colin Jordan and the lies told by Gerry Gable in this connection (as late as August 1994), the reader is referred to *A REVISIONIST HISTORY OF THE 1960s SYNAGOGUE ARSONS: - The Truth About Harry Bidney And The 62 Group Laid Bare Further Documentation On The Lies And Libels Of "Searchlight" Head Honcho Gerry Gable*, 2nd Edition, Revised, Expanded And Updated, Published by Anglo-Hebrew Publishing, London, (October, 1994).
- (13) The current writer has documented the history of the Searchlight Organisation as far as possible. It began life as the Searchlight Association Limited, published four issues of a broadsheet between 1965 and 1967, ceased publication and - it is claimed - operated as a news agency until the founding of the magazine in 1975. The reader is referred specifically to *JEW-HATERS, BLACK-HATERS, VIOLENT CRIMINALS, HYPOCRITES, AND LIARS CONDEMNED OUT OF THEIR OWN MOUTHS: A Guide For Teachers And Educators To The Criminal Conspiracy Known As The Searchlight Organisation*, by Alexander Baron, published by Anglo-Hebrew Publishing, London, (October, 1994).
- (14) Whatever happened to the SWP cliche *racism and sectarianism divides workers?*
- (15) *THE CASE AGAINST IMMIGRATION CONTROLS - SOCIALIST WORKER POCKET PAMPHLET NO. 6*. This publication is undated but a number of references in the pamphlet (to media reports) date it to 1978.
- (16) Ludmer has already gone to the crematorium; he died in 1981, apparently after reading an article in the American *Spotlight* newspaper about the Institute for Historical Review.
- (17) This pamphlet was called *Women and the National Front*, and although it was credited to Veronica Ware (who took over as editor of *Searchlight* magazine on his death), there can be no doubt that large tranches of it were in fact written by Ludmer himself.
- (18) One must make an important distinction here between persons of the Jewish religion, and those persons who are Jewish in an Halakhic sense only, (this group may also include persons who are nominally of the Jewish religion).
- (19) Even when the Israelis are in the right, as occasionally they are.

NELSON MANDELA: A WELL-OILED NAZI MACHINE

- (20) The Anti Nazi League (more properly ANAL) was launched in 1977, was dormant or inactive for most of the 1980s, and was relaunched in January 1992.
- (21) The *Jewish Chronicle* for March 2, 1979, reported that Imperial Zion had complained about the dissemination of anti-Zionist propaganda at ANAL meetings. Not for the first time, either.
- (22) This must surely be the world's worst kept secret.
- (23) Repeating for example the Marxist-inspired nonsense that race does not exist in a biological sense.
- (24) Such languages as Swahili etc can be transliterated, but there was no such thing as a written African language before the coming of the White Man.
- (25) Try designing a computer without a blueprint.
- (26) Details of this will be found in many history books of course, but the point was made to some effect in *Nazis, Communists, Klansmen and Others on the Fringe: POLITICAL EXTREMISM IN AMERICA*, by John George and Laird Wilcox, published by Prometheus, Buffalo, New York, (1992), page 16.
- (27) Frowned upon because of its *sexist* connotations.
- (28) And much other nonsense besides. This is what happened to the principled but sorely misguided Lady Birdwood. "Throw this evil old bitch into gaol; she's inciting hatred against us lovely Jews!" they screamed. Keep shooting those Palestinian schoolchildren, Private Cohen; we'll make sure nobody libels your religion.
- (29) Like the plague in my case, ditto most people.
- (30) This sort of freebooting has actually been going on right under our noses for the past couple of decades. Even under a Conservative government these vectors of filth have screwed (no pun intended) countless millions of taxpayers' and ratepayers' money out of us to set up *gay* centres, to "counsel" suspected AIDS victims, to publish their journals and to subsidise their filth and squalor in numerous other ways.
- (31) There is certainly a case for this in the public sector, but most definitely not in the private sector. Anywhere. Absence of such legislation would not of course prevent any organisation, commercial or otherwise, from operating its own code of conduct, fair practice and discipline, as the vast majority do.
- (32) Information concerning Comrade Mandela's terrorist activities is taken from the book *RIVONIA UNMASKED!*, by Lauritz Strydom, published by Voortrekkerpers, Johannesburg, (January, 1965).
- (33) At the time of the police raid, Mandela was already in gaol serving a five year sentence for "subversive activities", Strydom, *Rivonia Unmasked!*, page 38, (ibid).
- (34) Bob Hepple turned State witness and, after being released on bail, fled the country.
- (35) Known as *Operation Mayibuye*. ANC/communist violence in South Africa is well-documented, from this era to the present day; its victims have been overwhelmingly black.
- (36) The South African Communist Party had been dissolved in 1950 after being banned. In 1953 it was revived and went underground.
- (37) In South Africa the people right at the bottom were and are the blacks, notwithstanding that some blacks are better off than many whites.
- (38) I remember seeing this arrogant bitch on TV being stopped by a couple of white traffic cops. She told one of them: "Don't touch me; you might have AIDS." One can hardly imagine the dignified Nelson responding thus.
- (39) According to his entry in *WHO'S WHO of SOUTHERN AFRICA 1993-94*, page 316, Nelson Rolihlahla Mandela worked as a mine policeman in 1941. Later he took articles.
- (40) Not only was Mandela a lawyer but his co-defendants, black and white, were no more representative of the proletariat than the man himself. They included architect Lionel Bernstein; Govan Mbeki, a journalist; and James Kantor, another lawyer.
- (41) *The Bondage of the Free: A Critical Examination of the misnamed "civil rights" cause from the Civil War Through the Cold War*, by Kent H. Steffgen, published by Vanguard Books, Berkeley, California, (1966), pages 170-1.
- (42) Steffgen, *Bondage of the Free*, pages 177-8, (ibid).
- (43) The inevitable reply by socialist apologists is that the Soviet Union wasn't really socialist and that neither were the Eastern Bloc countries. The reality of course is that, like Heaven, "genuine" socialism exists no place on Earth.
- (44) The death penalty, is, unfortunately, still in force in South Africa for a number of offences.
- (45) Those who do are soon no longer rich.
- (46) If Mandela was ever truly a communist!
- (47) *The Inverted Moral Priorities*, by Ayn Rand, published in *The Voice of Reason: Essays in Objectivist Thought*, Edited by Leonard Peikoff, published by New American Library, (1989). [This essay was published originally in the *Ayn Rand Letter*, July 15, 1974.]

NELSON MANDELA: A WELL-OILED NAZI MACHINE

- (48) It may be that politicians are not the inveterate liars that most people think they are, rather that they are eternal optimists.
- (49) Redistributing is the euphemism used by the left.
- (50) The reason Hill emigrated (ie absconded) to South Africa while on bail for assaulting a Jewish café owner and the full, sick story of his career as both a racist and a supposed "anti-racist" mole is related in his unauthorised biography, *LIARS OUGHT TO HAVE GOOD MEMORIES: The True, Unsanitised Story Of "Searchlight" Mole Ray Hill with a critique of The Other Face of Terror*, by Alexander Baron, published by InfoText Manuscripts, London, (August, 1994).
- (51) Hill claimed to have been the victim of a hit and run accident while on his way to a boxing match in 1975.
- (52) On another occasion when I had cause to research the British music paper *Melody Maker* from the 1930s I found many references to black musicians, including in advertisements. This at a time when there were very few blacks in Britain and when, supposedly, the British and other Imperialists were oppressing all non-whites and treating them as though they were sub-human.
- (53) (Johannesburg) *Sunday Times*, September 10, 1978, page 5. (Not in all editions). The book in question was called *YASIR ARAFAT: The Man and the Myth*, and was written by Thomas Kiernan. Said to be offensive to Moslems, it appears to have been some sort of Zionist smear job. I've looked over this and it seems fairly innocuous, but I haven't read it cover to cover.
- (54) For details of this campaign, see Baron, *Liars Ought To Have Good Memories*, (op cit). Apparently, many Coloureds and Indians had been living in white areas where the residents and authorities turned a blind eye to such "illegal occupation". Hill and his buddies began reporting such instances to the police, who were obliged to enforce the law and evict people from their homes.
- (55) *The Other Face Of Terror*, by Ray Hill and Andrew Bell was published in 1988. *Liars Ought To Have Good Memories* contains an extensive critique of it.
- (56) The FBI COINTELPRO (Counter Intelligence Program) targeted far left groups in the 1960s and 70s; more recently there has been evidence that it has been targeting, and in some cases running, race hate groups such as the more violent elements of the Ku Klux Klan and the American Nazi movement.
- (57) The greatest irony of all is that Foot himself is rolling in money, like so many self-professed socialists. When they start practising what they preach - and give away their "surplus" dosh and inherited wealth, they'll be entitled to ask us to take them seriously, but until then, on yer bike!
- (58) See for example *A HISTORY OF COMMUNISM IN SOUTH AFRICA*, by Henry R. Pike, published by Christian Mission International of South Africa, Primrose Hill, Germiston, South Africa, 2nd Edition, (1988), page 73. This is an excellent book which contains much useful background information on South Africa.
- (59) *A History of South Africa*, by Leonard Thompson, published by Yale University Press, London, (1990), page 159. As a result of this, white miners formed armed commandos under the slogan "Workers of the World Unite, and Fight for a White South Africa", (page 160). What was that about capitalism = racism, comrade?
- (60) This is nothing unusual, of course, many charity workers earn fat salaries.
- (61) Sanctions for some only; South Africa's trading partners in Black Africa have been very coy about their role in doing business with the pariah of Southern Africa.

Published by Anglo-Hebrew Publishing

Distributed by InfoText Manuscripts,
93c Venner Road,
Sydenham,
London SE26 5HU.

ISBN 1 898318 32 8