

Part 1: Holocaust Revisionism In Perspective

How Can Anyone Deny The Holocaust?

This is a very good question, after all, six million Jews did disappear. Didn't they? We've all seen the *proof* of the Nazi genocide, haven't we? Who hasn't seen the mass graves of Belsen and other camps, the diseased, emaciated bodies of Jews and others being shovelled into their final resting places with bulldozers? Then there were the Nuremberg and other trials at which the guilt of the Nazis was proved overwhelmingly, and at which many of them admitted their guilt. Then there are the countless survivors, some of whom are still alive today, (the so-called living witnesses). Finally, there is the sheer magnitude of the Holocaust. Nobody could fabricate such a *hoax* on such a colossal scale. Could they?

There are many questions here, and we will attempt to answer them in this section and the next, though not necessarily in that order. Not too many years ago, any suggestion that the Holocaust might not have happened or that it had been grossly exaggerated would have been met not only with anger - by non-Jews as well as Jews - but by the suggestion that the doubter was not quite sane. As the article from the *Jewish Chronicle* reproduced on page 7 demonstrates clearly, sanity is not a prerequisite for denying the Holocaust; (1) but as we will see in Part Two when we examine the testimony of Auschwitz survivor Kitty Hart, neither is sanity a prerequisite for affirming it.

First though, let us examine the perceived wisdom of the Holocaust and compare it with the academic one, and to do that, let's take a look at a slightly different field, the occult.

Priest denies massacre of six million

From our Correspondent

Rome

No mention of the declaration on the Jews was made in a long speech by the Pope at the weekend, in the course of a special audience granted to a group of cardinals. Among the subjects the Pope dealt with was what the Ecumenical Council had accomplished and what it was expected to accomplish during its forthcoming final session in the autumn.

Meanwhile, little enough seems to have been done to stamp out antisemitism of the most virulent kind propagated by members of the Roman Catholic priesthood. The latest example is a pamphlet

distributed at a Franciscan sanctuary in the little town of Morlapo, near Rome.

Written by one Father Giorgio da Terni, an "open letter" in the pamphlet makes a great deal of Nazi propaganda before and during the war seem the work of amateurs. "All the most famous Popes, saints and fathers of the Church . . . have . . . warned against the epidemic Jewish disease, more contagious than the plague or venereal disease," declares Father da Terni.

He continues: "The worst destroyers of humanity have been Jews, from Nobel, the inventor of dynamite, to Einstein, the discoverer of atomic energy. . . . From the first masonic lodges to the Bolshevik revolution, we find Jews everywhere; from the first Mayors of Rome to the ferocious and blood-thirsty Marx, Engels, Lenin, Trotsky, Stalin, Khrushchev and Brezhnev.

"The six million Jews gassed in German concentration camps never existed, except in the distortions of the clever Children of Israel. . . . This truth is beginning to appear in the Egyptian, Syrian and Jordan press. Go and ask the Arabs who the Jews are, and you will really learn how they hate Jesus."

The Union of Italian Jewish Communities has addressed a letter [of protest] to the Bishop of Terni, in whose diocese Father da Terni preaches, and from where he also sends articles to the official newspaper of the Italian neo-fascist party, Movimento Sociale Italiano.

This article was published in the *Jewish Chronicle*, July 2, 1965. If Father Terni's words have been translated faithfully, then some Holocaust Revisionists - like some anti-Semites - are not simply liars, fools or bigots but madmen as well. The media has attempted - with great success - to portray all Revisionists in the same light, but such hate propaganda is no longer tenable, and the very substantial evidence the Revisionists adduce and well reasoned arguments they put forward have now to be confronted and debated.

Notes And References

(1) If this article is a faithful reflection of the contents of this priest's alleged hate pamphlet. Having been libelled (more than once) by this scurrilous hate sheet myself, I would not take any of its claims at face value.

An Occult Comparison

It is probably true that many or even most people in Britain and throughout the world believe in one or more of the following: astrology, flying saucers and alien abductions, telepathy, psychokinesis (eg psychic spoon-bending), the Loch Ness Monster, the Abominable Snowman, spirit mediumship, psychic detectives, and so on. If you read a tabloid newspaper the chances are that it will contain a horoscope, and many people, including wealthy businessmen, believe in such nonsense so strongly that they will spend quite large sums of money on personal astrologers and even use them as guides in business. It is even said that when Ronald Reagan was in the White House, Presidential decisions were made by his First Lady, Nancy, (1) consulting an astrologer. (2) I refer to horoscopes and astrology as nonsense, as are all these and other related phenomena, because, although the public may believe in them, the overwhelming majority of scientists do not. And the reason they do not, is not, generally, because scientists are narrow-minded, bigoted people, but because whatever the public believes, the scientific literature often says something different, and, in the final analysis, one should always listen to the experts. (3)

If, for example, you are diagnosed by a hospital consultant as having cancer of the breast (or testicle) and he says it must come off or you'll die, what do you do? You might turn to another consultant for a second opinion, you might even seek a third or fourth opinion, but if they all agreed that you had cancer while every member of your family, and friends, disagreed, who would you listen to? Even if the experts were outnumbered fifty to one, you'd surely still take their advice. Why? Because they are the experts, and, in the words of Hans Eysenck, they know the literature, while the man in the street doesn't. (4)

Just how irrelevant is the opinion of the man (or woman) in the street can be seen from the following short table. This shows the results of a 1982 Gallup poll:

Country	% of adults who believe in the Devil (5)
France	17
Great Britain	21
West Germany	25
United States	34
Greece	67

It is truly amazing that in the United States, the country which gave us the production line, Silicon Valley, Windows 3.1, the first man on the Moon...a sizable percentage of people still believe in, or profess to believe in, the Devil.

In considering the previous paragraphs, there are two important lessons to be learnt: the lessons of the ontological fallacy and the faggot fallacy. (6) The ontological fallacy implies that no one could be so bold or so brazen as to invent a hoax or lie on the scale which the Revisionists are said to postulate. This fallacy is tempting but is quite patently false because it implies that the mere utterance of brazen lies on an enormous scale means that they must be true! (7) If truth were decided by a vote, the Devil would reign in Greece!

The faggot fallacy implies that masses of weak evidence make a strong case. The reality is that weak evidence and lies constitute weak evidence and lies no matter how many times they may be repeated. Or by whom. You may sometimes hear skeptics claim that there is no evidence of life after death, of reincarnation, for the existence of flying saucers, etc. The truth is that there are masses of evidence for all these phenomena and more. There are some specialist bookshops which stock literally thousands of books crammed full of evidence for the existence of UFOs and the like. It is not the quantity of the evidence which is unconvincing but the quality of it.

This point was made strongly by Mark Weber in 1982: "...numerous affidavits and statements from *eyewitnesses*, as well as extensive published material from books could be presented in support of the proposition that *flying saucers* piloted by alien beings from other planets have landed on earth, and that humans have communicated and traveled with the aliens. But such evidence, while very extensive, would not be sufficient to have a court take judicial notice of the existence of *flying saucers*." (8)

HOLOCAUST DENIAL: NEW NAZI LIE or NEW INQUISITION?

What then would be sufficient proof for the existence of psychic phenomena? Because of the extraordinary nature of such claims, and what the existence of such phenomena would entail (rewriting the laws of physics), the only proof that would suffice would be for psychic phenomena to be manifested under the tightest of controls in laboratories and to be replicated throughout the world. Some researchers have claimed on occasion to be able to manifest psychic phenomena, but their results have *never* been replicated - whatever you may have read or heard - therefore they do not count. (9)

The proof required for the Holocaust - or the *hoaxing* of it - is less than the proof demanded for the validation of psychic phenomena because for the Holocaust to have been fabricated does not require a new interpretation of the laws of physics. We are not talking about "the supernatural or extra-terrestrial or, indeed, anything more unusual than people lying about their political enemies." (10) As we shall see shortly, the Revisionists claim, with some justification, that to accept the Holocaust in its entirety, we must rewrite the laws of physics. If that is truly the case, then we should reject the Holocaust, or at least that part of it which violates the laws of physics, however strong the documentation or however sincere the eyewitnesses appear to be. The laws of physics are universal, while in the final analysis history is simply bits of paper, celluloid, and engrams, all of which are subject to corruption, manipulation, and false interpretation, wilful or otherwise. (11)

This is an important point to bear in mind, as the proponents of the Holocaust are apt often to use special pleading and all manner of emotional non-arguments:

How could anyone ever deny the truth of the Holocaust? (12)

Mr X or Mrs X survived five death camps. (13)

I saw my friends murdered before my eyes. (14)

I lost 217 relatives in the Holocaust. (15)

How could anyone accuse any Jew or any survivor of lying about the Holocaust? (16) And so on.

As we shall see in Part Two, many people, including Jews, *have* lied about the Holocaust, and some have led the world up the garden path for decades. It is as well to remember in this context that, however much someone has suffered or claims to have suffered, does not make that person immune to personal criticism. Indeed, it might be argued that the more people have suffered the bigger the axe they have to grind. Even people of exemplary character will lie when it suits them, (17) and we can all be wrong.

Notes And References

(1) This was widely reported at the time. White House aide Donald T. Regan made this claim in his memoirs, but see for example *HOW NANCY SET UP THE SUMMIT. BY HOROSCOPE: Stargazer fixed day for Reagan*, published in the *Daily Mirror*, May 10, 1988, page 7.

(2) This is an especially frightening thought: "Shall we start World War Three today?" asks Ronnie, "Just a minute, dear," replies Nancy, "I'll ask Mrs Quigley what the position of the planet Venus indicates."

(3) For a scientific appraisal of astrology, the reader is referred to *ASTROLOGY: TRUE OR FALSE? A Scientific Evaluation*, by Roger B. Culver and Philip A. Ianna, published by Prometheus Books, Buffalo, New York, (1988).

(4) In 1993, the current writer interviewed the distinguished psychologist Hans Eysenck in connection with an entirely different matter, smoking and lung cancer. Eysenck told me that there was no proven physiological or biological mechanism by which smoking causes lung cancer, (although this does not mean that it doesn't). I replied that this was not what the man in the street believed. To which he replied that what the man in the street believes is irrelevant, because he doesn't know the literature.

(5) From page xiii of *SATAN WANTS YOU: THE CULT OF DEVIL WORSHIP IN AMERICA*, by Arthur Lyons, published by the Mysterious Press, New York, (1988).

(6) For the benefit of the totally uninitiated, a fallacy is an argument which appears to be sound but which is in fact false. For example, light is the opposite of heavy; light is also the opposite of dark; therefore heavy means dark. The

fallacy here is the fallacy of equivocation: we have used the word *light* to mean two entirely different things.

(7) A point made by Holocaust Revisionist Arthur R. Butz in his definitive refutation of the Holocaust, *The Hoax of the Twentieth Century*, page 245, (op cit).

(8) From the Declaration of Mark Edward Weber, published in *The Journal of Historical Review*, Spring 1982, Volume Three, Number One. This quote is taken from page 33.

(9) It is important to understand that we are not implying here that anyone has cheated, even though the history of the quest for psychic power is replete with fraud, error and sloppy research, poor controls etc. Any one-off experimental result, however extraordinary, does not count, period. If, say, a psychic and his (or more often her) investigators claimed to have predicted the correct fall of a die fifty times in a row, this still would not count if it could not be replicated. As it never can or has been, for the obvious reason.

(10) Butz, *Hoax of the Twentieth Century*, page 241, (op cit).

(11) Anyone who has any doubts about the extent to which memories can be corrupted and manipulated should read the excellent study by Philip J. Klass, *UFO Abductions: A Dangerous Game*. Klass is probably the world's leading authority on this fantasy.

The Fall 1994 issue of the *Skeptical Inquirer* - to which Klass contributes - published a terrifying article by fellow skeptic Martin Gardner, *The Tragedy of False Memories*, which contains shocking revelations of some of the impossible crimes child care assistants have been accused of, and in many cases convicted of, on the uncorroborated testimonies of young children. Gardner and other skeptics trace the wave of Satanic abuse hysteria that has swept over America in the past decade or so to Christian Fundamentalists who started the legend and quack "therapists" who induce such false memories of ritual abuse.

(12) How could anyone deny the truth of the Resurrection?

(13) Rather than being evidence for the existence of a Nazi extermination programme, such seemingly miraculous survivals would appear, on closer inspection, to be evidence in favour of the Revisionists' case. Auschwitz survivor Leon Greenman, who at the time of writing is still active in the anti-fascist movement well into his eighties, was interned in no less than five Nazi concentration camps.

HOLOCAUST DENIAL: NEW NAZI LIE or NEW INQUISITION?

(14) This may be true, though often it isn't, but in any case, one act or several acts of murder do not constitute a Holocaust.

(15) A claim made in *ECHOES OF THE HOLOCAUST*, by Clare Roskill, which was published in the social workers' magazine *Community Care*, April 14, 1994, pages 22-3. The author claimed in 1990 to have learned that she (he?) had lost 217 members of her family in the Holocaust on her maternal grandmother's side alone. To paraphrase Oscar Wilde, to lose one relative is unfortunate, but to lose 217 makes me extremely skeptical.

(16) Among the more than five billion people on the face of this planet, and out of countless races, nationalities and religions, Jews alone never lie, nor do they make honest mistakes. Surely only an anti-Semite would claim otherwise?

(17) One should also bear in mind that Adolf Hitler was a German patriot, a war hero, and an abstemious vegetarian who banned vivisection and loved children. This is not a bad character reference.

Demographic Approaches To The Holocaust

Both Revisionists and Exterminationists have attempted to *prove* by demographic means both that six million Jews were not exterminated by the Nazis and that they were. The difference is that when the Court Historians (Exterminationists) use statistics in this manner they are endorsed, or at best are not criticised, whereas when Revisionists use them so, they are playing a "vile numbers game". (1) Professor Butz comments on the hopelessness of the demographic approach and some of the problems involved in using it, (2) while someone far less favourably inclined towards Holocaust Revisionism points out that the widely quoted figure for the world's Jewish population cited by the *New York Times* of February 22, 1948, (3) was given in error. (4)

Notes And References

(1) An allegation made against Richard Verrall by Jewish historian Gitta Sereny in her hysterical polemic against Holocaust Revisionism *The men who whitewash Hitler*, published in the *New Statesman*, November 2, 1979, pages 670-3. This quote appears on page 671.

(2) See for example pages 16-7, Butz, *Hoax of the Twentieth Century*, (op cit).

(3) This figure (15,000,000 to 18,000,000) is cited by Richard Harwood (more precisely 15,600,000-18,700,000), in his pamphlet *SIX MILLION LOST AND FOUND*, on page 7. [There are slightly different versions of this pamphlet; see *More ANAL Lies And Nonsense*, note 8. (I was informed personally by the publisher that there is at least one pirate version).]

(4) This claim is made by author Morris Kominsky in *THE HOAXERS: Plain Liars, Fancy Liars, and Damned Liars*, [published by Branden Press, Boston, (1970)], see page 120. On the following page, Kominsky, citing another published source, gives the world Jewish population as 13,016,000 for 1965.

Should We Suspend Our Disbelief?

Returning for a moment to mystical matters, it is often suggested (or more often implied than suggested) that because there are such "mountains of evidence" (1) that the Holocaust took place, it is pointless to examine it, that we should accept it much the same way we should accept that the Earth is round. By the same token, we must take the testimony of survivors at face value. Okay, so they may be wrong on insignificant details, they may get a date mixed up here and there, they may even have an axe to grind (haven't they all?), but questioning them, disputing the *facts* of the Holocaust as they present them is just not politic, rather it is offensive, obscene, or even, worst of all, anti-Semitic.

This is special pleading and is little more than a thinly disguised appeal for us to accept the Holocaust as an article of faith. In other words, like organised religion, we are told that we should suspend our disbelief. But of course, if we suspend our disbelief, we suspend with it our critical faculties and our reason. If we suspend our disbelief, we can believe literally anything. That a man can be born of a virgin after his mother had been impregnated by an angel, that he could walk on the water, raise the dead, and even return from the grave himself. Suspension of disbelief and revealed truths have no place in science, nor have they any place in the study of any academic subject. Besides, we are not being asked to suspend our disbelief simply to spare the feelings of survivors, but to indict and convict the German people of the greatest crime in history. The German people cry out for justice, even if their accusers do not.

Notes And References

(1) Sereny uses that very phrase, *New Statesman*, November 2, 1979, page 670.

The Jews Under The Nazis: Public Perception And Reality

Just as scientific opinion about flying saucers, astrology, ouija boards and the like, is markedly at odds with that of the layman, so too is the public perception of the Holocaust markedly at odds with the academic one. The public perception is largely that a gangster named Adolf Hitler terrorised his way into power by blaming all the ills of the world (or at least all the ills of Germany) on the Jews, and that once in power the Nazis set about systematically terrorising Jews, threw most of them into concentration camps, and later exterminated them because they believed them to be a bacillus on the German nation.

All this was done without the rule of law while the entire world looked on. In addition to this, Hitler and the Nazis wanted a race of blond-haired, blue-eyed supermen, and set about invading every other country in Europe as the first step on the road to world power, after which all the non-white peoples of the world would also have been exterminated and the Fourth Reich established, which Hitler intended to last a thousand years. Furthermore, the Jews in the concentration camps were exterminated largely in gas chambers but also by other means, and some of them were even turned into soap.

The passage of nearly five decades has blunted the black propaganda image and false public perception of Nazi Germany considerably, but many of the more outrageous misconceptions are still with us. The facts of Hitler's and Nazism's rise to power are, briefly, as follows. At a time of economic depression, there arose in Weimar Germany, two competing extremist movements, the Communists and the National Socialists, (Nazis). Hitler had joined a nationalist party, the German Labour or German Workers Party in 1919, and had forged the Nazi Party out of this.

The Nazis adopted a policy of avowed and unashamed anti-Semitism; the 1932 Nazi manifesto read in part as follows: Planks 3 and 4 stated candidly: "Dismissal of all Jews and non-Germans from all responsible positions in public life" and "Prevention of immigration of Eastern Jews and other parasitic foreigners. Undesirable foreigners and Jews to be deported." (1)

There is though some evidence that this was, to a large extent, rhetoric. The anti-Nazi propagandist Sefton Delmer, whom we shall meet in Part Two, claimed in his 1961 autobiography that: "Those who questioned such radical demands of the Nazis as the *abolition of interest slavery* and the *degradation of the Jews to second class citizens* were only too ready to accept the excuses put forward in private by leading Nazis that this was mere propaganda and not to be taken seriously. That *the only Jews to suffer will be communist Jews.*" (2)

Hitler and the Nazis took power democratically, and the rule of law was upheld in Nazi Germany, (3) although the Jews were progressively excluded from both business and public life through a series of anti-Jewish decrees which culminated in the Nuremberg Laws of September 1935. (4) It is important to understand that, whatever the anti-Jewish decrees and measures of the Nazi government, Jews were not outlaws in Nazi Germany, and it was always illegal to kill them, or even to "persecute" them *without due process of law*. To prove this, we can do no better than to quote several reports from the contemporary *Jewish Chronicle*, an organ that can hardly be accused of bias towards Nazism:

March 17, 1933, pages 22-4, the paper reported: *ANTI-JEWISH TERROR IN GERMANY...* which said that a number of outrages had occurred, including the murder of a Jewish lawyer and a wholesale merchant. Nazis were said to be picketing Jewish shops. But page 22 reported the case of three Nazis in Cologne who robbed a Jew of 800 Marks at gunpoint, were apprehended and expelled from the party.

January 12, 1934, pages 14-15: *GERMANY DISMISSAL OF JEWS...* reports that the courts did not always rubber-stamp Party decisions.

February 9, 1934, pages 14-5: *Fined for Insulting a Jew* reports that a National Socialist in Bavaria was fined 25 Marks for sending a Jewish lawyer an official communication addressed "To the Jew lawyer..." The Jew sued him and won! This is the sort of thing you most definitely will not read about in the hate propaganda churned out by ANAL and their fellow travellers.

February 23, 1934, pages 12-3: *Imprisoned for Threatening a Jew* reports that two nineteen year old artisans were jailed, each for a month, for demanding money with menaces from a Jewish merchant.

August 10, 1934, page 12, a Hamburg court ruled that a Jewish pauper was entitled to free legal defence, which begs the question: why was he a pauper? On the same page, Nazis were said to have condemned the desecration of 54

tombstones which were smashed when a Jewish cemetery was vandalised. "Our movement wars only against living people, not against the dead, who are at peace", one Nazi publication is said to have reported. Three drunkards were later jailed, one for one year, one for six months, one for four months. The incident appears not to have been political; the culprits were jailed in accordance with the guidelines laid down by Hitler himself.

November 16, 1934, page 19, *Court Decisions in Favour of Jews* reports that a Nazi stormtrooper who attacked two Jews for no reason was jailed for six months. And that when a Jewish firm sued an *Aryan* firm for drawing attention to the ethnic ownership of the former, the court ruled that it was not permissible to do so. The Jewish firm was awarded damages!

November 15, 1935, page 16, a story *Sentences for Blackmailing Jews*, reported that a man named Wankerl had been sentenced to six weeks' imprisonment for blackmailing a Jew. The accused had been connected for a considerable period with a Jewish textile firm in Augsburg, but the connection had been severed following a sentence imposed on Wankerl for embezzlement. Subsequently, he wrote to the proprietor stating that the latter had been guilty of telling "atrocious" stories and of planning an evasion of currency laws; and asked for a sum of money. The court found that there was no proof that the Jew had been guilty of these offences, and considered that *the crime was aggravated by the fact that it was a German who was attempting to blackmail a Jew.* (Emphasis added).

Another newspaper reported a similar story. A certain Karl Heber, who claimed to be a member of the Nazi Party, asked a local Jew for a sum of money, promising to secure him various privileges. Heber was subsequently arrested.

A story *Compensation for Dismissed Jew* reported the passing of a Jewish firm in Frankfort-on-the-Main into *Aryan* hands and the immediate dismissal of a Jewish employee because the owner contended that he did not want to keep Jews in his employ. The Jewish employee sued, and the court ordered the owner to pay the discharged employee three months' salary as compensation, declaring that he should have considered the question of the Jew's continued employment while the transfer of the business was being negotiated.

A long article in the September 6, 1935 issue: *GERMANY "Fight Jewry Legally" NAZI LEADERS URGE DISCIPLINE Individual Terrorism Denounced* reported that rogue elements were being brought to heel. The *Jewish Chronicle* dismissed this as window-dressing. Hitler was said to have strongly disapproved of violence against the Jews. A leading Nazi, District leader

HOLOCAUST DENIAL: NEW NAZI LIE or NEW INQUISITION?

Telschow, said that "All individual action against Jews was forbidden once and for all. If a Jew violated the law, he must be handed over to the State powers."

October 25, 1935, page 18, *Five Years for Blackmailing a Jew* reported that an ex-Storm Trooper in Cassel was sentenced to such a term for demanding money from a Jew who had relations with his fiancée seven years previously. The judge said that "the solution of the Jewish question was the task of the State and not of private individuals."

November 22, 1935, page 17 carried the story *PRISON FOR SLANDERING JEWS A Rare Case At Karlsruhe INVITATION TO THE FOREIGN PRESS* in which an *Aryan* was said to have been sentenced to 8 months imprisonment for making false charges against the Jewish employer of his unmarried niece, and the man's two sons.

December 13, 1935, page 14, *DISMISSAL OF JEWS Another Court Decision*, reported that the Supreme Court had ruled in favour of a man of *non-Aryan* descent dismissed by a private firm.

January 3, 1936, page 17, "*INDIVIDUAL ACTION*" *PUNISHED A Welcome Exception* reported that two Nazis were sentenced to 3 months in jail for blackmailing and attacking a Jew. As the above articles have demonstrated clearly, this was not such an exception. The same page announced a three month sentence on an 18 year old Jew for kissing an *Aryan* girl.

January 17, 1936, page 17, *Jewish Flag Officially Recognised* reported that the Zionist banner was recognised and "entitled to police protection under the Nuremberg laws and the national flag regulations."

On page 18 of the same issue, it was reported that an official had called on a Jewish widow of 77 to tell her that the names of her two sons who were killed in the (First World) War were to be erased from the local war memorial. As no names were given, this may have been a black propaganda story, the sort Organised Jewry has become notorious for. But, if it is true, then this is as sordid an act as any of the "individual acts" of terror carried out against Jews by hotheads and zealots.

The issue for March 13, 1936, page 17 reports "For *sullyng the purity of the fight against the Jewish people*" a Nazi blackmailer was sentenced to 18 months imprisonment and three years loss of civil rights. He had threatened to denounce a Jewish tradesman for an alleged offence against German school-girls in 1926. The *Jewish Chronicle's* tone was mocking. On page 18, it was

reported that two youths at Cologne were sentenced to 18 months and one year respectively for blackmailing Jews.

March 20, 1936, page 20 reports *A WELCOME RARITY Court Supports Jewish Claim*. A Jewish commercial traveller brought an action against a firm which had engaged him for three years in January 1935. He was dismissed for being a Jew. (This was after the passage of the Nuremberg Laws!) The firm paid him 5,000 marks in an out of court settlement. Was this really such a rarity?

March 27, 1936, page 22 reported that two *Aryans* were jailed for 17 months and 12 months respectively for *Rassenschande* (race pollution). Both men were deprived of their civil rights for three years. A 28 year old Jew was acquitted of the same offence for insufficient proof. (5)

I have itemised the foregoing in considerable detail so that the reader may attempt to form a more objective picture of the status of Jews in the Third Reich. I have no doubt that he will be as astonished as I was, but let me repeat, as these reports have been extracted from the *Jewish Chronicle*, they can hardly be accused of bias towards Nazism. The report of March 27, 1936, is, I feel, especially important, because it demonstrates the fact that the law for the protection of German blood as articulated in the "crime" of *Rassenschande*, (6) was applied equally to non-Jews and Jews. It is just as well to point out here that the Jewish religion forbids marrying out, and indeed, miscegenation is taboo in many if not all societies, for the obvious reason that with miscegenation, the character of that society deteriorates, or at the very least, is transformed. (7) This has nothing to do with racial prejudice or that most nebulous yet ill-defined of evils, *racism*, rather it is simply the understandable desire of all peoples to preserve their heritage through their children and grandchildren. Whatever that heritage may be.

All the above may appear to have taken us a long way from Holocaust Revisionism, but it was necessary in order to put the Nazi persecution of the Jews in context. As far as Jews were persecuted in Nazi Germany - and they most certainly were - this persecution was, again, done within the framework of anti-Jewish legislation. By contrast, the persecution of the Palestinian people by the Zionists has been effected totally outside the framework of law, and many, many atrocities have been committed against the Palestinian people, and their children, such as were never contemplated by the Nazis before the outbreak of World War Two.

Notes And References

(1) *The Programme of the NSDAP...*, by Gottfried Feder, translated by E.T.S. Dugdale, first published 1932. This is taken from page 29 of the modern English edition published by the overtly Nazi British Movement, B.P. Publications, Shotton, Clwyd, (1980).

(2) *Trail Sinister: AN AUTOBIOGRAPHY*, by Sefton Delmer, Volume 1, published by Secker & Warburg, London, (1961), page 157.

(3) In *The Road To Serfdom*, [Routledge & Kegan Paul, London, (1944)], the distinguished Libertarian economist F.A. Hayek explains how the rule of law can be subverted - and was subverted to a large extent in Nazi Germany - through progressively repressive legislation aimed at one particular group (in this case the Jews), but this is a deep philosophical discussion which would take us far afield, and which, I confess without shame, that I find difficult to understand. In any case, however horrendous the Nazi régime, we have experienced the subversion of the rule of law in Britain, and in the United States and other countries where "anti-discrimination legislation" has been foisted onto the majority by pressure groups, including Jewish groups. Anti-discrimination legislation (quotas etc), benign as it sounds, amounts in reality to the mass subversion of individual rights, property rights in particular.

(4) These were by no means the last or the most Draconian of the Nazi government's anti-Jewish decrees.

(5) It was also reported here that a Jew died in police custody, supposedly under suspicious circumstances. Of course, it is not only Jews and other minorities who die in police custody in mysterious circumstances. Even to this day!

(6) Literally "race pollution".

(7) Anti-miscegenation laws were in force in the United States until quite recently, and, of course, in South Africa.

From Nazi Germany To Occupied Palestine

In the winter of 1987, the Palestinians of the Gaza Strip rose up against their Zionist oppressors. And threw stones. The Israeli Army responded by shooting them. In the first year of the *intifada*, 400 Palestinians were killed and some 20,000 injured. (1) An Amnesty International press release embargoed for 27 May 1993 revealed that between December 1992 (2) and the release date, more than a hundred Palestinians had been shot and killed (read murdered in cold blood) by the Israeli security forces, thirty of them under the age of seventeen. This was under the *intifada*; many, many other Zionist crimes and atrocities have been documented - including by Jewish human rights campaigners - both before and since. One of the nastiest tricks the Israeli authorities carried out was the demolishing of the homes of "suspected terrorists". The Israeli security services have a record of torture and murder which makes the Gestapo look positively humane by comparison. It can be stated truthfully that until very recently, the Palestinian people in Israel/Occupied Palestine were not living under the rule of law. This was *not* the situation in Nazi Germany. The only incident of alleged state sponsored terrorism by the Nazis against the Jews before the outbreak of World War Two, was Kristallnacht - the anti-Jewish outrages following the November 1938 assassination of the German diplomat Ernst vom Rath in Paris by the seventeen year old Jew Herschel Feibel Grynszpan. (3) And even that has been hotly disputed.

Again, this fact may come as a shock to the reader, but it is true nevertheless: it was far safer to be a Jew in Nazi Germany than to be a Palestinian in modern day Israel, whatever special pleading Jewish leaders and Zionist apologists espouse. This is a fact the reader would do well to bear in mind whenever he hears "anti-fascists" whining and wailing about the evils of anti-Semitism, fascism, and, particularly, Nazism, because Jewish leaders and Zionist apologists are among the greatest detractors of Nazi Germany. (4)

At the outbreak of World War Two, Chaim Weizmann, the recognised leader of world Zionism, declared that the Jews would stand by Great Britain and fight on the side of the democracies. Weizmann's declaration was reported in

the *Jewish Chronicle*. In the same issue, the Chief Rabbi, J.H. Hertz, called the coming fratricidal bloodbath a war to save civilisation. (5) It could thus, and indeed has, been argued, that Jews in Germany had been declared enemy aliens and should therefore be interned. (6) It should also be noted that later in the war, after the entry of the United States, American citizens of Japanese ancestry were rounded up and interned in concentration camps in similar fashion and with some brutality, even though there had been no similar declaration of war by or on behalf of Japanese-Americans. (7)

With regard to Jews in Germany, it should be noted that a) not all Jews were interned; and b) that Jews (and Gentile Germans) were involved in subverting the German war effort. An article in the *Jewish Chronicle* for June 19, 1942, (page 1), reported that 258 Jews from Berlin had been massacred in a barrack yard by a machine gun on May 28. This was said to have been a reprisal for time bombs which had been found. *If, and I stress, if*, this report is genuine - regardless of how many Jews were shot in this incident, this vindicates both of the above claims. In this context it should be noted that, a) while British reprisals against "traitors" were not nearly so severe, Britain is an island and was therefore not as vulnerable as Germany; b) that potential British "fifth columnists" were interned at the start of the war; (8) c) that treason was punishable by death in Britain anyway. (9)

Notes And References

(1) From page 3 of *Punishing A Nation: Human Rights Violations During The Palestinian Uprising December 1987-December 1988, a report prepared by Al-Haq, Law in the Service of Man*, published by South End Press, Boston, MA, (1990). The reader is referred specifically to this book, and to Amnesty International, who have catalogued extensively the appalling brutality meted out to the Palestinians by the Zionists.

(2) While the world looked on horrified, over four hundred Palestinian men were expelled from Israel into the desert on the mere suspicion of being sympathetic to the terrorist group Hamas.

(3) There are several ways of spelling this person's name.

HOLOCAUST DENIAL: NEW NAZI LIE or NEW INQUISITION?

(4) As indeed they might be, it is only the hypocrisy, the double standards and myopia of Jewish leaders and "anti-fascists" concerning Zionist human rights abuses and violations the current writer objects to. The unjaundiced truth about Nazi Germany or any authoritarian (or totalitarian) régime is bad enough.

(5) Weizmann's declaration is reported in the September 8, 1939 issue, page 7. The Chief Rabbi's letter, dated 4 September, 1939, appears on page 9.

(6) A point made by Harwood in *Did Six Million Really Die?*, page 4, (edition undated but published June 1987 or later).

(7) The American concentration camps have not been subjected to the same intense scrutiny as the German camps, for obvious reasons, but there is some literature, in particular the study by Nisei Michi Weglyn [*YEARS OF INFAMY: The Untold Story of America's Concentration Camps*, published by Morrow Quill, New York, (1976)].

According to this author, 110,000 Japanese-Americans were rounded up and interned; mostly on the West Coast. A black propaganda campaign tried to present them as a potential fifth column, even though there was no evidence of disloyalty by Japanese-Americans. The 150,000 Japanese in Hawaii were not interned, for economic reasons.

(8) Including Sir Oswald Mosley and many other fascists, who were in no way disloyal to Britain.

(9) The *Jewish Chronicle* for May 17, 1940, page 8, reported that the Home Secretary was introducing a new bill providing for the death penalty in grave cases of espionage and sabotage.

Are Holocaust Revisionists Flat Earthers?

We have mentioned already that Holocaust Revisionists are often likened to Flat Earthers. Or even Creationists. What is usually forgotten here is it is not so very long ago that the Flat Earthers and the Creationists were in the majority. Although the circumference of the Earth was first measured by Eratosthenes as far back as the third century B.C., (1) for the greater part of history, the vast majority of people - including the educated - believed the Earth to be flat, and with good reason. The Earth appears to be flat: topography accepted, as far as the eye can see, the Earth is flat. And if it isn't flat, but a sphere, say, why don't the people on the bottom fall off? Such questions may seem laughable to us nowadays, but only because from a very early age we have been told that the Earth is round, and because even a small child, with proper instruction, can grasp the fact that in space there is no up, down, top or bottom.

But, nearer to our own time, the germ theory of disease was treated with derision. The spherical Earth theory was not though, and it is well known today even to most lay people that Galileo and others who challenged the theory that the Earth was the centre of the universe, were treated not with ridicule or scorn but with official persecution. (2) We don't burn heretics at the stake today, but people who challenge the establishment version of the Holocaust can expect the academic equivalent, as we shall see in due course.

Are Holocaust Revisionists the latter day equivalent of Flat Earthers? Should they be treated with derision instead of persecution? Professor Butz, author of the standard Revisionist work on the Holocaust comments that: "An objection that one highly intelligent critic actually expressed was that he thought that my story was similar to ones he had read about 'flying saucers' and 'divining rods'." But, as the learned professor points out: "...our account does not involve the supernatural or extra-terrestrial or, indeed, anything more unusual than people lying about their political enemies." (3)

Holocaust Revisionists are not then any species of Flat Earther; they do not demand that we rewrite the laws of physics, simply that we consider the possibility that we have been lied to, and are being lied to, on a gigantic scale. Is this possible? Can the entire media, academia, the government, and tens or hundreds of thousands of people all be lying?

HOLOCAUST DENIAL: NEW NAZI LIE or NEW INQUISITION?

In the first instance, it is not necessary to postulate the idea that everyone who repeats the Holocaust story - or that everyone who denies it - is a conscious liar. Jewish academics and their fellow travellers make similar claims about the *Protocols Of Zion*, the notorious anti-Semitic fabrication which was distributed in Tsarist Russia at the turn of the century, and a decade later reared its ugly head in the West. (4) The claim is routinely made that not only was the *Protocols* anti-Semitic (which it was and is), but that anyone who ever believed it or believes it, is an anti-Semite. The reality is that the vast majority of people who have peddled this garbage - including many highly intelligent people - did so and do so not because they hate Jews but because they sincerely believed or believe it. Difficult as it is to believe that anyone would take such trash as the *Protocols* at face value today, it is a documented fact that at one time millions of people throughout the world believed it, including many Jews! (5) A corollary of this is that if free debate on the *Protocols* had been suppressed to the same extent as free debate on the Holocaust, the Nazi persecution of the Jews may have been overshadowed by official repression and persecution by the democracies!

Let us now return to the question about liars. Does the media lie to us? We know damn well it does; one of the charges the extreme left often hurls at the media is that it is the "Tory press", that it does the dirty work for rich men, the ruling class, or whatever. Certainly in some countries the media is even more outrageously dishonest than in Britain. Do academics lie to us? Would they? Academics are human the same as the rest of us, and are vulnerable to exactly the same sort of pressures, including those of conformity. Be that as it may, not all academics endorse the Holocaust, and increasingly, "respectable" Revisionist Historians are rewriting history.

Does the government lie to us? If you really need me to answer that question, you must have a hole in the head. The main objection to the Holocaust Revisionists then is the scale of the lying and deception they allege must have taken place, and be taking place still. It was and remains truly enormous. Or does it? We shall see.

Notes And References

(1) Eratosthenes of Cyrene c276-195BC.

(2) The Pole Nicolaus Copernicus 1473-1543, who was a mathematician and astronomer among other things, removed the Earth from the central position in the universe. Galileo Galilei 1564-1642, (usually known simply as Galileo), endorsed the Copernican world view, and published his *Dialogue Concerning the Two Chief World Systems* in 1632. Five months after publication, Galileo was summoned before the Inquisition. His book was ordered to be burnt and he was sentenced to life imprisonment, (the sentence was commuted to house arrest).

(3) Butz, *Hoax of the Twentieth Century*, page 241, (op cit). I used part of this quote earlier, but it is such an excellent argument that it is worth repeating.

(4) For the full historical background to the *Protocols*, the reader is referred to *WARRANT FOR GENOCIDE: The myth of the Jewish world-conspiracy and the Protocols of the Elders of Zion*, by Norman Cohn, published by Eyre and Spottiswoode, London, (1967).

(5) The 1920 Small, Maynard American edition of the *Protocols* attributes the following quote (page 144) to Rabbi Stephen Wise: "'The conspiracy,' if there is one, is among those of Jewish birth who are or seem ashamed of their origin. They follow false gods or none at all, and among them will be those who may seize power for their own ends." [Citing an article from the *New York Tribune*, March 2, 1920. *JEWISH PLOT ONLY AMONG APOSTATES, SAYS DR. WISE*. This address was made March 1, 1920 at Carnegie Hall.]

Why May The Holocaust Alone Not Be Challenged?

In 1993, an American Jew named Ron David published a remarkable book on the Arab-Israeli conflict. In the introduction, he writes: "Until six or seven years ago I was certain that the Israelis were on the side of the Angels, *period*. No questions, no conditions, no mitigating factors - just the Good Guys versus the Bad Guys.

A few years ago I started ~~doing~~ some research. Research is pretty basic stuff...The one thing you *cannot* do is have a closed mind. A researcher who has made his mind up in advance is *not* a researcher, he's an ideologue and a justifier..." (1)

He continues his polemic on page 2: "The first thing that strikes you [like an elbow in the groin?] when you begin researching the Arab/Israeli conflict is the unChristian feeling that everybody involved has taken a Vow of Closed-Minded Stupidity. The Arab/Israeli conflict makes smart people dumb, sensitive people brutal, and open minded people pigheaded fanatics...It's like the Emperor's clothes: once you see the Emperor standing there with his little dingus hanging out, it's all you can do not to burst out laughing...*I swear to you*, I cannot see how any fair-minded person with an IQ over fifty can believe the Zionist/Jewish/Israeli version of what happened in the Middle East - *and* of what is happening now. Mother Goose is more plausible than that!" (2)

David is clearly an angry man, but although his polemicising and moral outrage continue throughout the book, he presents the reader with a mass of documentation that shatters forever the image the Western media has built since the inception of the State of Israel in 1948. Fifteen or even ten years ago, the author would have been denounced as a self-hating Jew, if his book had not been given the silent treatment. If such a book had been written by a Gentile, it would have been denounced as vicious anti-Semitism. Indeed, when a British politician, Christopher Mayhew, (3) wrote a far more moderate book on the dirty tricks of the Zionist lobby, (4) it was both condemned as anti-Semitic and likened to the notorious *Protocols Of Zion*. (5)

HOLOCAUST DENIAL: NEW NAZI LIE or NEW INQUISITION?

In July 1981, a forty to fifty thousand strong crowd of Zionist Jews and their supporters gathered in London's Trafalgar Square to protest against the British government's recognition of the PLO. Posters of Yasser Arafat bearing the legends *WANTED FOR MURDER* and *WANTED FOR CHILD MURDER* were displayed by angry protesters. (6)

Now, not only has the Western world recognised the PLO, but so has the Israeli government, albeit under considerable pressure from both the United States and other Western nations. The whining and wailing of Zionist Jews and their fellow travellers, dupes and apologists has now been swept aside along with the black hand of censorship and Zionist propaganda.

One other point we should mention here is the pervasive influence of political Zionism throughout the Western media. It is a staple of anti-Semitic propaganda that the Jews control the media. The response of Jewish (and particularly Zionist) organisations is that this is pure anti-Semitic propaganda. However, anyone who takes the trouble to examine the facts, dispassionately, will soon realise that there is more than a grain of truth in this seemingly ludicrous charge.

The United States is the power centre of world Jewry; the two most influential daily newspapers in the United States are the *Washington Post* and the *New York Times*. The *Washington Post* was owned for many years by Eugene Meyer (1875-1959); he was succeeded by his daughter, Katherine Graham. The *Washington Post* is now owned by the Washington Post Company. (7) According to the *Encyclopaedia Judaica* (Volume 11, page 1463), the *Washington Post* Company was (is?) headed by Katherine Graham. The *New York Times* was founded in 1851 and was taken over by Adolph Ochs (1858-1935) in 1896. (8) From there it passed into the hands of Arthur Hays Sulzberger (1891-1968), (9) a one time anti-Zionist, who once declared: "I dislike the coercive methods of Zionists who, in this country, have not hesitated to use economic means to silence persons who have different views. I object to the attempts at character assassination of those who do not agree with them." (10)

The full extent of Jewish control (quote unquote) of the US print media has been extensively documented, and again, we can quote a Jewish source - the anti-Zionist Jew Alfred M. Lilienthal (see also previous footnote) here: "All of the leading magazines, ranging from *Commentary*, *Esquire*, *Ladies Home Journal*, *New York Review of Books*, and *U.S. News and World Report*, have Jews in key positions as publishers, editors, or managing editors. These people, at

the very least, have the veto power over what appears in their publications. No one is about to criticize Jews - or even take Israel to task - for fear of being out of line with the boss, who is likely to fire him." (11)

Another Jewish stronghold is the film industry. The Jewish control of Hollywood was so great that at one time Jews controlled every single studio. (12) In 1987, an American Jew writing in a popular book wrote: "Until television undercut the industry's power, Jews guided the destiny of America's largest propaganda machine [and] put their stamp on the American mind ..." (13)

This is an unfortunate if accurate choice of words, which, had it appeared in the British National Party's theoretical journal *Spearhead*, would have been immediately condemned as typical of that organisation's anti-Semitism. One should not make too much of the heavy involvement of Jews in the mass media, but the fact remains that they are there, and even if they are not themselves biased inevitably and invariably towards Israel and Zionism and against Holocaust Revisionism, they are certainly susceptible to pressure from such organisations as the Anti-Defamation League of B'nai B'rith, ostensibly a Jewish "civil rights" organisation, but in reality one of the nastiest smear outfits on the face of this planet. (14) Just as significant as the Jewish presence in the Western media is the lack of an articulate Arab presence - something which has been changing slowly over the past decade and more.

Ron David is clearly right when he says that the Arab-Israeli conflict makes otherwise smart people dumb, sensitive people brutal, and open minded people pigheaded fanatics. But what applies to the Arab-Israeli conflict applies much more so to the Holocaust, which, by the way, David accepts in its entirety, including much outright nonsense. (15)

In 1970, Morris Kominsky published *The Hoaxers* (16) in which he exposed much anti-Semitic propaganda (among other things). Unfortunately, as well as exposing anti-Jewish lies, he added a few lies of his own, or, at best, authenticated some other canards.

On page 121 of his sometimes excellent, sometimes appalling book, Kominsky attacks the Holocaust Revisionists: "...any person with a knowledge of history knows that the Nazis exterminated Jews in Germany, Poland, Czechoslovakia, Austria, Hungary, [the] Soviet Union, France, Belgium, and wherever they could apprehend them."

Clearly this is not true. On the same page he refers to "overwhelming evidence beyond any possibility of refutation." Again, this is not true, as we

shall see. On page 123 he refers to "the alleged Soviet massacre at Katyn forest". This is an extremely unfortunate choice of words, because the Katyn massacre was not only perpetrated by the Soviets, but an attempt was made at the kangaroo court of Nuremberg to blame this atrocity on the Nazis.

The definitive book on the Katyn atrocity, was published in 1979. (17) A monument to the 14,500 victims - Polish officers and intellectuals - was erected in London only in 1976. (18) On page 162 of his book, Kominsky, referring to *Common Sense*, May 15, 1961, (19) uses the following unfortunate phrase: "On page 4, next to a column which attempts to *prove* that the extermination camp at Dachau was staged by the Jews..." This is complete nonsense, because, as we shall see later, Dachau was not any sort of extermination camp, a fact conceded by all serious historians of the Holocaust today, although there has been more than a little confusion about it over the years.

Author Kominsky embarks on a vigorous defence of communist China, and, for example, denies that Mao's gang murdered 60,000,000 people. On page 351, he is scornful of even 20,000,000 dead in Soviet Russia, and says this was a canard spread to make Hitler seem "by comparison a Christian gentleman". (20)

Still on page 351, Kominsky throws out a challenge to American anti-communists: "If the Soviet Government murdered 20 million people, Mr. Dilley and the other Ultra-Rightists must produce documentation..." Like the documentation on the Nazi extermination camps, which, according to the author, included Buchenwald and Dachau!

In Chapter IV, which is called *The Anti-Soviet Liars*, the author reveals that there were no purges, no mass murders, no starvation; Lenin was a thoroughly decent chap, and any hardships suffered by the Soviets was all the fault of the filthy capitalists anyway; they shouldn't have boycotted the country.

Evidently, Mr Kominsky is in dire need of a lesson or two in Twentieth Century history, but the point I wish to make is that no one bats an eyelid when he - or his fellow travellers - spout such arrant nonsense about the Soviet Union. When Stalin died in 1953, Harry Pollitt, the Secretary of the British Communist Party, (21) hailed him as "the greatest man of our time". (22)

The London *Times* of May 7, 1994 (page 13), reported that Shigeto Nagano the new Japanese Justice Minister, had issued a public apology and retracted his claim that the 1937 Japanese massacre of 155,000 Nanking Chinese was a fabrication. Asian countries it seems were not amused, but this is in stark

contrast to the treatment meted out to Jean Marie Le Pen, who referred to the alleged Nazi gas chambers as "a detail of history" and was dragged into court for defaming the dead. (23) And it is in even starker contrast to the treatment meted out to 64 year old Erwin Schoenborn in 1979. For in July of that year, the *Jewish Chronicle* reported that Herr Schoenborn, who was said to have been Germany's top neo-Nazi activist, was gaoled for eighteen months simply for claiming that gas chambers had not existed and offering a 10,000 Mark reward if anyone could offer him proof that they had. (24)

Notes And References

(1) *ARABS & ISRAEL FOR BEGINNERS*, by Ron David, published by Writers And Readers Publishing, New York, (1993). Illustrated by Susan David. This quote is taken from page 1.

(2) David, *Arabs And Israel For Beginners*, page 2, (ibid).

(3) Christopher Mayhew was created a Life Peer in 1981; he is a former Labour and Liberal MP.

(4) *Publish It Not...The Middle East Cover-Up*, by Michael Adams and Christopher Mayhew, published by Longman, London, (1975).

(5) *Book 'not antisemitic'*, published in the *Jewish Chronicle*, February 2, 1979, page 2, reported that an Israeli "dove" appeared for Mayhew and Adams in a libel case. In the August 10, 1979 issue, page 3, it was reported that the judge claimed that the book was anti-Semitic and compared it to both *Mein Kampf* and the *Protocols*.

(6) *Massive 'No' to Arafat's PLO*, by Barry Toberman, published in the *Jewish Chronicle*, July 10, 1981, pages 2-4.

(7) *Willings Press Guide 1994*, Volume 2, page 693.

(8) *Universal Jewish Encyclopedia*, Volume 8, page 280.

(9) *Encyclopaedia Judaica*, Volume 15, pages 508-9.

(10) *THE ZIONIST CONNECTION: What Price Peace?*, by Alfred M. Lilienthal, published by Dodd, Mead, New York, (1978), page 344. This was in 1946, before the founding of the State of Israel and while Organised Zionist Jewry was using every dirty trick in the book to bring about the inception of the

nascent state. The dirty tricks have hardly abated since, rather they have become dirtier, uglier, and far more proficiently executed.

(11) Lilienthal, *The Zionist Connection*, page 219, (ibid). It is hardly surprising that *Commentary* has Jews in key positions since it is a Jewish magazine (it actually started life as the *Contemporary Jewish Record*), but before anyone accuses Lilienthal of paranoia, self-hatred or even anti-Semitism it should be pointed out that his book - a massive study - is anything but anti-Jewish. Like Ron David, Lilienthal is simply an honest man who is not afraid to call a spade a spade and to judge Jews by the same criteria as Gentiles.

(12) A point made by American anti-Semite Frank L. Britton in his 1952 study *Behind Communism* (see in particular page 92), but for all the whining and wailing any mention of this "sensitive" subject raises, it is too well documented (from pro-Jewish and Jewish as well as anti-Jewish sources) to be worth debating.

(13) See page 35 of *The Jewish Image in American Film*, by Lester D. Friedman, published by Citadel Press, Secaucus, New Jersey, (1987). The 1992 edition of this large format, lavishly illustrated book is distributed in the UK by Virgin Books.

(14) The ADL started life as a respectable organisation, and, in the first instance, published refutations of such nonsense as the *Protocols Of Zion*. However, it has long since nailed its flag to the mast of political Zionism, and has also carried out spying on an enormous scale on anti-Semites - real and imagined - anti-Zionist Jews, and a plethora of individuals and organisations who could in no way be described as anti-Semitic. There is even some suggestion (false in the current writer's view) that the ADL acts as an arm of the American Secret State. The *Guardian* for May 10, 1993, carried an article on an ongoing ADL/FBI scam. It claimed that the ADL had spied on 950 political and other organisations and held computerised files on 12,000 individuals. Tom Gerard, a former CIA operative, was out on \$20,000 bail charged with stealing official documents, among other things. He had served with the Agency from 1982-5.

(15) On page 110, David repeats the anti-German libel that the Nazis turned Jewish corpses into fertiliser. This is a libel which, like the *Protocols* and much other anti-Semitic nonsense, is repeated innocently. Doubtless he believes much other wartime and Zionist-inspired propaganda.

HOLOCAUST DENIAL: NEW NAZI LIE or NEW INQUISITION?

(16) *THE HOAXERS: Plain Liars, Fancy Liars, and Damned Liars*, by Morris Kominsky, published by Branden Press, Boston, (1970). [Already alluded to].

(17) *Katyn*, by Louis FitzGibbon, published by the Noontide Press, Torrance, California, (1979). Subtitled *A Crime Without Parallel*.

(18) The remains of only 4,253 were ever found.

(19) There have been a number of publications with this title; I have been unable to locate this particular one.

(20) Kominsky, *The Hoaxers*, page 350, (op cit).

(21) Harry Pollitt (1890-1960), was a founder member in 1920 of the Communist Party of Great Britain. In 1956 he resigned as secretary and became chairman. The Communist Party of Great Britain was slavishly pro-Soviet, and after Pollitt's death, a Russian ship was named after him. In 1972, a Moscow publishing house published a glowing biography of him.

(22) Published in a 16 page Communist Party pamphlet, *IN MEMORY OF JOSEPH STALIN AND KLEMENT GOTTWALD*.

(23) See for example the *Times*, May 24, 1990, page 9. M. Le Pen was order to pay a "symbolic" one franc in damages. To the best of the current writer's knowledge, none of the plethora of survivors - Jew and Gentile - who have lied repeatedly about the Holocaust have ever been so treated.

(24) *Top neo-Nazi sent to jail*, by F. Sachser, published in the *Jewish Chronicle*, July 6, 1979, page 4.