

Chapter Three: Holocaust Revisionism's Enemies: Organised Jewry - 2

Powerful as is Organised Jewry in Britain, in the United States it is a formidable animal indeed. However, because, unlike Britain, the United States has constitutional safeguards for freedom of speech, the legal persecution of Holocaust Revisionists (and *anti-Semites*) has been very muted. The most powerful arm of Organised Jewry in the United States is the Anti-Defamation League of B'nai B'rith. Usually referred to as simply the ADL, this was founded at Chicago in 1913. (1) [B'nai B'rith is an older, Jewish fraternal organisation].

The ADL And Its Influence

The ADL was founded at a time when there was genuine anti-Jewish prejudice and bigotry in the United States. A few years after its inception, the *Protocols Of Zion* reared its ugly head in the West, and was given enormous impetus both in America and throughout the world by the endorsement of the incredibly wealthy (and incredibly gullible) industrialist Henry Ford. (2) There can be no doubt that, in its early years at least, the ADL played a significant and honourable role in combating anti-Jewish slanders. But it doesn't take many years for the oppressed to become oppressors in turn, (3) and the ADL has long become both a smear merchant in its own right and an errand boy for political Zionism.

As long ago as 1951, the former *Times* correspondent Douglas Reed wrote that "The Anti-Defamation League reported that in one recent year it transmitted 216 broadcasts a day, that it influenced 1900 daily newspapers with a circulation of 43,000,000...that it placed 330,000 books in public libraries, as well as 9,000,000 pamphlets 'tailored to fit the audience'..." (4) A campaigning organisation which reaches into the minds of so many people on a day to day basis is influential indeed. If any critic of the ADL had any doubts about the way that influence is used, they were shattered in 1993.

In May of that year a former senior San Francisco police officer named Tom Gerrard was arrested in that city and charged with eight counts of theft of government documents, burglary, conspiracy and computer theft. Gerrard was a spy for the ADL, which was reported to have spied on no less than 950 political organisations and to hold files on 12,000 individuals.

Many organisations, political and non-political, hold files both on numerous individuals and other organisations for all manner of reasons, so the mere fact that the ADL does too is not necessarily sinister. However, the organisations in the ADL's files included not only the Ku Klux Klan and the Institute for Historical Review, but Action for Animals, Peace Now and Greenpeace! (6) Exactly why an organisation whose *raison d'être* is - allegedly - to combat anti-Semitism, should spy on Greenpeace is not clear. The ADL has offices in thirty-three American cities, a staff of four hundred and an annual budget of over thirty million dollars. (7) Every cent of that is spent on spying on American citizens, smearing them, intimidating them, and lobbying to destroy their rights.

It should be borne in mind that although the exposure of Tom Gerrard is the first such exposure in the ADL's history, Gerrard is almost certainly not the first person to have carried out this sort of programme for the ADL. One can only guess how many others there have been, and how much harm has been done to ordinary decent people who have been smeared as anti-Semites by these Machiavellian schemers and arch-liars. And by other arms of Organised Jewry throughout the world.

Among the Anti-Defamation League's most prominent critics are two distinguished Jewish scholars. Alfred Lilienthal, a New York lawyer and America's leading anti-Zionist Jew, devotes considerable space to the ADL in his 1978 book *The Zionist Connection*, while the academic Nathaniel Weyl takes the organisation to task in his formidable 1968 survey *The Jew In American Politics*.

Lilienthal refers to the ADL as a Jewish Gestapo (8) and says of American Zionist organisations generally: "I dislike the coercive methods of Zionists who, in this country, have not hesitated to use economic means to silence persons who have different views. I object to the attempts at character assassination of those who do not agree with them." (9) He deals extensively with the smear tactics used by Organised Jewry generally against their enemies - real and imagined - and has particularly strong words for the men he refers to as

the high priests of the cult of anti-anti-Semitism, the ADL staffers Arnold Forster and Benjamin Epstein. (10)

These two uglies have collaborated on a number of books, including *The New Anti-Semitism* and *Danger On the Right*. The current writer has read both these books cover to cover and has no serious disagreement with either Lilienthal or Weyl (see below) on this score. Of *The New Anti-Semitism*, (11) Lilienthal says that the book contains no index, probably purposely because "it would have quickly revealed an imposing roster of respectable people listed as 'anti-Semites.'" Lilienthal says the ADL and the report's authors equate the mildest criticism of Israel or Zionist activities with anti-Semitism, (12) and suggests, without a trace of humour, that the organisation should change its name to the *Defamation League*. (13)

Nathaniel Weyl, a Columbia University graduate and author of several books, is also scathing in his criticisms of the ADL: "The Anti-Defamation League supposedly exists to refute slanders against the Jewish people and promote tolerance amongst the non-Jewish majority. It is difficult to believe that the best way of bringing this about is for the national chairman of the ADL to slander some twenty per cent of the American people as associates of 'kooks,' 'bigots' and 'yahoos.'" (14)

This is a reference to another Forster & Epstein publication, the aforementioned *Danger On The Right*. This book was put out as a professional smear job in 1964, at about the time Senator Barry Goldwater was running for President. (Goldwater is part-Jewish and had been attempting to woo the Jewish vote). The book attempts to smear all "Extreme Conservatives" as anti-Semites, Nazis or fellow travellers. (15)

This slander on the American people may have been published as long ago as 1964, but it is still very much alive today. As recently as 1992, the ADL commissioned a survey which "found" that 1 in 5 Americans "hold strong prejudicial attitudes against Jews". (16) Anti-Semitism was said to be most prevalent among blacks and the elderly. One wonders how many black members the various Klans and American Nazi parties have. The "anti-Semitism" found by organisations such as the ADL is in stark contrast to the social status of Jews, not only in the United States but in Britain and elsewhere.

Weyl's 1968 study (already cited) reports that, according to census data, 8.4% of Negro Americans go to college; 21.4% of whites; 44.1% of Chinese and Japanese Americans; and 80% of Jews! (17) Although genuine anti-Semites

(and outright crazies) often make exaggerated claims about the alleged Jewish dominance of the economy, it is well documented that many Jews enjoy phenomenal success in business, ditto politics. To take an extreme example, at the time of writing, in Britain, the Home Secretary, the Foreign Secretary and the Lord Chief Justice are all Jewish. (18) As the former two are also MPs and are therefore elected it should be patently obvious that, however many "anti-Semites" there are in Britain, most people either don't hate Jews enough not to vote for them or to boycott them in business. The plain truth is that most people simply couldn't care less, but what does piss many people off is the incessant whining and wailing by organisations like the ADL throughout the United States, Britain and the world. Many people are literally sick to death of hearing about "anti-Semitism", the Holocaust and six million *exterminated* Jews.

Finally, neither American law enforcement agencies nor genuine civil rights organisations have been particularly impressed with the ADL. An FBI memorandum on the organisation dated September 22, 1966, reports that "The Anti-Defamation League has vested interest in discovering and exposing anti-semitic organizations such as the Klan and other hate groups. While the League has recorded some accomplishments in this area, they do not have the facilities to examine and discover the complete facts with regard to organizations such as the Klan." (19)

And in 1982, the United States Commission On Civil Rights issued a similar though more strongly worded attack on an ADL report. It accused the organisation of mixing epithets and "emotionally-laden labels" with the facts, and of including hearsay and other unverifiable material which "borders on jingoism" and which, if published by the USCCR, could "seriously undermine the agency's reputation for fairness and objectivity". (20)

An amusing footnote on the mischief-making of the ADL. Not content with attacking *goyim* left, right and centre as "anti-Semitic", in 1997 it launched a campaign against Jewish intolerance! Following the death of Rabbi Hugo Gryn, a Reform Jew who was not exactly flavour of the month with the Ultra-Orthodox, there was a great schism in British Jewry, revolving principally around the issue of who should attend his funeral. Gryn died in 1996, but the schism deepened, and in the May 30, 1997 issue of the *Jewish Chronicle*, Chief Rabbi Sacks was quoted thus: "Such is the depth of the divisions that anyone who seeks to make peace between Jew and Jew is at risk of being attacked on all

sides". The title of the article in which this quote appeared (on page 6) is *ADL campaigns against Jewish intolerance*; the comment of the Chief Rabbi was thrown in to emphasise that this was a universal problem. On the other side of the Atlantic, the ADL's new campaign was said to be the first one ever aimed at Jews. On the very same page another article reported on a renewed drive against "suspected Nazis" living in Canada; Sol Littman, who at the time of writing is still, apparently, head honcho of the Canadian Branch of the Wiesenthal Center, was behind this latest piece of mischief-making. [See pages 27-35 of the current work re Littman's and the Wiesenthal Center's activities].

AIPAC

The American-Israel Public Affairs Committee is the most influential organisation in the United States lobbying specifically on behalf of the State of Israel. Although, unlike the ADL, it does not concern itself with Holocaust Revisionism, it has been responsible for the propagation of black propaganda against "enemies" of Israel, real and imagined. In other words, anyone who has been so thoughtless as to claim that Palestinians have rights, or to protest against brave Israeli soldiers shooting Palestinian children. It has also been known to work in collusion with the ADL. (21) In 1992, AIPAC had 55,000 members, an annual budget of twelve million dollars, and a staff of over a hundred, including six whose job it was to work full time lobbying Congress and government officials.

As well as smearing Gentiles as anti-Semitic, Jewish opponents of Zionist tyranny and Imperialism are smeared as self-hating Jews. A lot of this is done covertly, so as with the dirty work of the ADL, one can only wonder how many "anti-Semites" are the inventions of professional Jewish propagandists.

Interestingly, AIPAC has a big file on the black politician Jesse Jackson. A former operative of an AIPAC secret smear unit told an American newspaper that the Jackson file is locked away because AIPAC employs around twenty Afro-Americans "in menial positions" (22) and "we had to be careful they didn't know we were going after blacks."

The Jewish Defense League

Said an Israeli general called Mike:
"It's untrue that we Jews are war-like,
Though we often react
Just before we're attacked
With what's known as a pre-emptive strike!" (23)

There is, allegedly, a saying from Morocco which Jewish mothers tell their children: "If a goy hits you, bow your head and he will spare your life." (24) Any honest person who has studied Jewish history will realise that the above limerick is a far more accurate reflection of what Jewish mothers tell their children than the Moroccan fantasy.

The ugliest face of Jewish "self-defence" in the United States is undoubtedly the Jewish Defense League. The JDL was founded by the Zionist fanatic Rabbi Meir Kahane, who was assassinated in New York in November 1990. In July 1984, the offices of the Institute for Historical Review were burnt to the ground; although no one was ever apprehended for this attack, the JDL was almost certainly responsible. (25) The Institute for Historical Review has been targeted on other occasions by the JDL, for example, the Jewish Revisionist and IHR member David Cole was violently assaulted by a JDL thug at a Revisionist meeting in January 1992. The IHR has published a special, twenty page, illustrated in-depth report on the activities of the JDL and "other Criminal Zionist Groups", whose crimes include murder. (26) Members of the JDL have also been caught red-handed staging anti-Semitic incidents; on one occasion a certain Mordecai Levy posed as a mythical neo-Nazi named James Guttman to stage a public meeting. (27)

Simon Wiesenthal "Nazi Hunter", And His Center

Simon Wiesenthal is the most famous "Nazi Hunter" in the world. It is often the case though that reputations are made up out of the whole cloth by the media, or even by the people who own them. To be scrupulously fair to Wiesenthal, his reputation *has* been created largely by the media, but it remains to be seen how much if any of it he deserves.

It would take a book to describe all Wiesenthal's dubious activities; Revisionist Historian Mark Weber has published a short but damning critique of Wiesenthal. (28) Wiesenthal's critics include the American Bar Association and, surprisingly, Organised Jewry! (29) Here, the current writer proposes to focus on three of Wiesenthal's offerings: a photograph of a "war criminal" in one of his books; and the reaction of the authorities in both Europe and Canada to the activities of his Center.

Simon Wiesenthal And The Remarkable Story Of An "Atrocity" Photograph

Wiesenthal's 1989 book *Justice Not Vengeance* contains a photograph of an alleged war criminal, a German officer who is, apparently, torturing two prisoners. The plate is captioned "We had this photograph printed on post-cards which were sent to Chancellor Helmut Schmidt in 1979, demanding that the statute of limitations on Nazi crimes be revoked."

Wiesenthal's explanation of how this photograph came into his possession is unconvincing. "An American soldier had discovered this photgraph [sic] in one of the private quarters he'd been billeted in and brought it to my office." (30)

However, Holocaust Revisionist Udo Walendy claims that "...the picture is an obvious fake. The guard's uniform is all wrong. The side pockets beneath

the belt are missing. The buttoned edge of the jacket does not run correctly from top to bottom. The pistol hangs on the wrong side. An *unterscharführer* would not be allowed to wear officer's pants with boots.

"Secondly, the lighting is all wrong. The *guard* and the two hanging victims cast no shadows, while the prone victim does." Walendy concludes by saying that when he visited the Dachau museum in January 1968, a life-size blow-up of the photograph revealed that no ropes were detectable. "Either the victims are floating in mid-air, or someone forgot to draw them in!" (31)

According to Walendy this photograph is a drawing; this is not necessarily the case; photography is more art than science. (32) However, this photograph was certainly staged. It appeared in print as early as 1954, in the British magazine *Royal Air Force Flying Review*. (33) It was obviously taken many years earlier, probably in 1945, after the liberation of the camps. This photograph also appears in two other popular books on World War Two. One of these is the book *Medical Block Buchenwald*, which was written by a former inmate, Walter Poller. (I am referring here to the 1975 Corgi paperback edition of Poller's book). According to his own account, Poller was arrested in 1938 and was released from Buchenwald on May 10, 1940, (34) which means that he did not witness, as an inmate, any of the terrible scenes that were found in Buchenwald and other camps at the end of the war. Although Poller's is one of the more believable accounts to have been written by former concentration camp inmates, (35) the horror photographs in his book have little or no relation to the author's brief sojourn in the camp. (36)

Returning to the Wiesenthal photograph, when one compares different versions of it one realises that it proves nothing, because they are so different that unless one were to obtain the original plate, one would not be able to make any definitive judgment on its authenticity. (37) One thing is for certain though, this photograph was most definitely not *discovered* by a serviceman who walked into Wiesenthal's office and handed it to him.

Although the current writer has not been able (to date) to trace this "atrocious" photograph further back than 1954, there is no doubt in my mind that it was indeed taken in Buchenwald, but, as stated, after the war. In his 1976 study *A PICTORIAL HISTORY OF THE SS 1923-1945*, author Andrew Mollo admits candidly that many such photographs were indeed staged after the war. Photograph 316 is captioned "Former inmates dressed in SS uniforms were on hand

to demonstrate the more grisly apparatus such as the gallows and crematoria" to the local inhabitants as part of their *re-education*. (38)

It should be stressed that there is nothing dishonest about this sort of "staging" (perhaps reconstruction is a better word), *provided that there is no intent to deceive the public* and that the reconstruction is duly credited. (39) Obviously this does not apply in the case of Simon Wiesenthal. The reader should bear this in mind also whenever he is shown alleged atrocity photographs, be they of the Second World War or of anything else. A photograph without an accredited caption is meaningless, however emotive.

The Wiesenthal Center And The "War Criminals" Who Never Were

The Los Angeles based Wiesenthal Center is not actually run by the great man himself but pays him \$75,000 a year for the privilege of using his name, (40) (nice work if you can get it). If Wiesenthal's sleight of hand gives the reader cause for concern, some of the Wiesenthal Center's activities have given Organised Jewry cause for concern, in both Europe and Israel. The reader should bear in mind here that Organised Jewry in Britain and throughout the world have never been slow to smear people as anti-Semitic, pro-Nazi, etc, so when their reaction to such allegations is lukewarm, there is clearly mischief with a capital M afoot.

In the 1980s, the Wiesenthal Center made allegations against residents of a number of countries, including the United Kingdom and Sweden. The Swedish allegations concerned twelve former Balts who were named in a letter handed by the Center to the Swedish Embassy in Washington on November 18, 1986. (41)

The Swedish Allegations

On November 20, 1986, the Swedish Government appointed a high powered three man commission to investigate these allegations, and the following February the commission reported its findings and a recommendation that the Wiesenthal Center's report be ignored.

Very briefly, the Wiesenthal Center's letter concerned allegations made in a 43 page document. The relevant section of the report of the Swedish Commission concludes that the allegations of the Wiesenthal Center concerned eight men who were dead; (42) of the remaining four, ~~over 90~~, the youngest was seventy and the other two were nearly eighty.

The allegations were said to have been based on four publications, three of which were produced by the Soviet Union. (43) The fourth was a publication of the Yad Vashem Archive in Israel. According to the Swedish Commission: "The Swedish embassy official who questioned the 'Yad Vashem' archive representative in Israel found that the facts mentioned in the Wiesenthal allegations were inconsistent with the truth." (44)

The British Allegations

The allegations against British citizens came from the same source. Initially there were seventeen names on the list, but later another thirty-four were added. (45) One of the first seventeen, Mr Antanas Gecas, a 71 year old Lithuanian, was named under Parliamentary privilege by the Zionist Labour MP Greville Janner.

According to the Latvian analysis, media coverage of this report was largely irresponsible and "demonstrate that the people on the list have already undergone trial and conviction by the media, before any judicial tribunal of any description has as much as examined any of the evidence against them." What exactly was the evidence against them?

The Latvian analysis names three propaganda booklets which were published in the 1960s by the so-called Latvian Council for Cultural Relations with Countrymen Abroad, a KGB front organisation based in the Latvian capital Riga. The booklets were published in Latvian and English, and the titles are given here as *People without a Conscience, Political Refugees Unmasked* and *Who are the Daugavas Vanagi?* (46)

These three booklets were mailed to prominent persons, the media and other institutions in the West in the 1960s as part of a KGB black propaganda campaign against anti-Communist emigrés. In 1986, copies that had been sent to the Yad Vashem archive came into the possession of the Jerusalem branch of the Simon Wiesenthal Institute. To its credit, Yad Vashem disclaimed the allegations. (47) To their credit, so, for the most part, did Organised Jewry. Eric Moonman, a senior member of the Anglo-Jewish Establishment and a notorious Zionist had this to say: "I is not within the wishes of the Jewish community in this country to see an indiscriminate campaign of allegations made against people unless there is adequate evidence." (48) What more need be said?

The current writer has seen copies of two of the above books; (49) their grotesquely obvious propaganda content should alert any half-intelligent reader immediately. Thus we are told that the Daugavas Vanagi is a "fascist set-up", and that "some today believe in the 'Red Menace' and so on and on, and thereby hinder the World Peace Movement." (50) And that an organisation called the Assembly of Captive European Nations-Latvian Delegation (ACEN) formed by "nazi collaborationists" has a truly sinister goal: "Their aim is to cast rude slander on their countries who decided to go Socialist." (51) And so on, ad nauseum.

On page 109 of *"POLITICAL REFUGEES" UNMASKED!* appears a document which implicates a Mr Paul Reinhardt in "war crimes". He was one of the Latvian emigrés named by the Wiesenthal Center. In April 1987, Mr Reinhardt - since deceased - was described by the Jewish-controlled race-hate magazine *Searchlight* as "a prominent member of the exile Latvian community in London"; a photograph of the Latvian centre in London (72 Queensborough Terrace) appeared in the same article captioned "London headquarters of Latvian collaborators in exile." (52)

Although an attempt was made to keep the Wiesenthal Center inspired witch hunt going, the allegations in Britain appear to have fizzled out around the

end of 1987, although the hate lives on. In July 1995, an 84 year old Surrey man, Szymon Serafimowicz (now deceased), was charged with four murders, those of unknown Jews in Russia in 1941 and 1942, ie two in each year. These were said to be specimen charges. (53) For the record, this witch hunt is in stark contrast to international Zionism's attitude to Jewish war crimes. The following month, a report in the *Jewish Chronicle* claimed that no action was to be taken over the murder of 49 Egyptian prisoners on Sinai in 1956 - after an admission by a retired Israeli army officer. Prime Minister Rabin rejected a call for an inquiry into the atrocities because "There were aberrations on both sides...There is no purpose in raising events of the past...Raising the issue embarrasses the Arab side as well." (54) Talk about *chutzpah*.

The Canadian Allegations

While the Wiesenthal Center allegations were investigated thoroughly in both Sweden and the UK, in Canada they resulted in an investigation which was comprehensive beyond all meaning of the word. As in Sweden, the Canadian Government set up an official high powered commission of inquiry, this one under a judge, which resulted in a two part report published December 30, 1986. (55) The full title of this report is *Commission of Inquiry on War Criminals Report, Part 1: Public, Honourable Jules Deschênes, Commissioner, Ottawa, Canada*, and it runs to a staggering 966 pages. (56)

One of the special advisers to the Canadian Commission was Raul Hilberg, the author of one of the standard (Exterminationist) works on the Final Solution. The report includes 822 opinions on individual cases; (57) it is clear from even a superficial reading of the report that most of the cases investigated resulted from allegations which came either directly or indirectly from Jewish individuals or organisations. The most prominent name among those individuals is that of Sol Littman, Canadian representative of the Simon Wiesenthal Center.

It was Littman who was responsible (in Canada) for raising allegations about Dr Mengele, the legendary "Angel of Death"; these date to December 1984. (58) The *Deschênes Report* devotes an entire chapter to *THE MENGELE*

AFFAIR. Dr Josef Mengele was born March 16, 1911 and joined the SA aged 22. In 1938 he joined the SS and in 1943 he was promoted to captain and assigned to Auschwitz. As might be expected, there are numerous versions of what happened to him - as well as to other important Nazis - who disappeared after the war. One of Littman's allegations had it that Mengele had applied for a visa to emigrate to Canada from Buenos Aires in 1962. It was even suggested that he may have been living in Canada. The truth is that at the end of the war, Mengele escaped to South America where he spent the rest of his life. (59)

Mengele was said to have used up to 8 aliases including that of George Menk. Menk - a real but different person - came to Canada in 1958 when he was 44 years old. Another alias was said to be that of Joseph (Josef) Menke who had been a major in the SS. Regarding all the above, the Commission concluded that "There is no documentary evidence whatsoever of an attempt by Dr. Joseph Mengele to seek admission to Canada from Buenos Aires in 1962." and "much to its regret, the Commission must say that it takes a dim view of the attitude of Mr. Littman." (60)

Some of the allegations levelled at Canadian citizens on account of this witch hunt would have been amusing if this business were not so outrageous; one woman was investigated because she was alleged by "a private citizen" to have claimed that she loved Hitler! (61)

Cases 179 & 180 involved the denunciation as war criminals of a couple bearing a German name, living in a secluded place under the protection of two black dogs and offering old European furniture for sale. While Case No 18 was that of an unnamed individual accused by Wiesenthal himself. He was said to have been a member of the Galician Division of the Waffen-SS. Wiesenthal was able to provide no meaningful evidence. Case closed.

The following "estimates" of the alleged number of "war criminals" living in Canada is extracted from *The Deschênes Report*; (62) for a country with a population of less than thirty million people, (63) it is truly amazing that anyone would take it seriously.

Table 1: Alleged number of war criminals living in Canada

1971, 19 May	Simon Wiesenthal	<i>Toronto Star</i>	Several hundred
1975, 26 Dec.	Unidentified groups	<i>Montreal Gazette</i>	Over 50
1976, 1 Dec.	Michael Hanusiak	<i>Toronto Star</i>	At least 50
1977, 11 Nov.	Ian Adams	<i>Weekend Magazine</i>	800
1979, 6 March	Robert Kaplan	House of Commons	Over one dozen
1979, 6 March	Maurice Dupras	House of Commons	Some 15
1979, 25 March	Olivia Ward	<i>Toronto Star</i>	Over 1,000
1980, 28 April	Meir Halevi	<i>Globe and Mail</i>	200
1981, January	Interdepartmental Committee		Report to Government 50-100
1981, 24 Feb.	Sabina Citron	<i>Globe and Mail</i>	1,000
1981, 29 May	Abraham Cooper	<i>Regina Leader-Post</i>	1,000
1981, 13 July	Irwin Cotler	<i>Ottawa Citizen</i>	At least 100
1981, 15 Sept.	Adalbert Rueckerl	<i>Vancouver Sun</i>	500-1,000
1982, 18 June	David Matas	<i>Toronto Star</i>	50-60
1982, 12 Oct.	Charles Kremer	<i>Windsor Star</i>	Over 2,000
1982, 6 Nov.	Dept. of Justice	<i>Toronto Star</i>	Handful
1982, 6 Nov.	RCMP	<i>Toronto Star</i>	80-100
1982, 6 Nov.	Irwin Cotler	<i>Toronto Star</i>	75-100
1983, 13 March	Robert Kaplan	<i>Toronto Sun</i>	Over 100
1983, 13 April	Irwin Cotler	<i>La Presse</i>	Maybe 1,000
1983, 5 July	Jewish Defence League	<i>Globe and Mail</i>	Maybe 1,000
1983, 21 July	Adalbert Rueckerl	<i>Globe and Mail</i>	1,000
1983, 21 Nov.	Solicitor General Department	<i>Globe and Mail</i>	100
1983, 21 Nov.	Edward Greenspan	<i>Globe and Mail</i>	2,000
1984, 24 Jan.	Sol Littman	<i>London Free Press</i>	2,000
1984, 8 Nov.	Sol Littman	<i>Toronto Star</i>	3,000
1985, 16 Jan.	Simon Adler	<i>London Free Press</i>	1,000
1985, 25 Jan.	Sol Littman	<i>Toronto Star</i>	3,000
1985, 7 Feb.	John C. Crosbie	House of Commons	Relatively few

1985, 23 August Sol Littman Report to Solicitor General 2-3,000
 1986, 16 May Simon Wiesenthal *New York Daily News* 6,000

We close this chapter with a few words about Simon Wiesenthal himself.

Afterword On Simon Wiesenthal

We will not cover here the allegations that Wiesenthal made against Chicago resident Frank Walus, which, led - starting in December 1974 - to a witch hunt against this totally innocent Polish-born American citizen; nor will we cover Wiesenthal's own proven doctoring of an atrocity photograph or other dubious activity. We will point out though that the Director of the Yad Vashem Holocaust Center no less has denounced both Wiesenthal and the Center that bears his name for trivialising and commercialising the Holocaust. Wiesenthal is said to have stressed the necessity of impressing on the public that as well as the proverbial six million Jews the Nazis exterminated five million *goyim*, a "diplomatic" number chosen by Wiesenthal because while "The gentiles will not pay attention if we do not mention their victims, too", it reminds the world that while others suffered under Nazism, the Jews still suffered more than anyone else. (64)

At long last though, the Exterminationist chickens are coming home to roost for this evil old man. On February 8, 1996, a TV documentary screened by the German TV station NDR exposed Wiesenthal to the world. Even though Revisionists - and even some non-Revisionists - have documented his nonsense for many years, this programme was presented to the world as an Earth-shattering revelation. According to one Revisionist source, the producer and the critics interviewed on the programme were all Jews. (65) The mainstream media was no less forthright; the *Guardian* described Wiesenthal as a "tragic bungler"; (66) doubtless in the years and decades to come, a far less charitable consensus will emerge.

Chapter Four: Holocaust Revisionism's Enemies: The Organised Left

Just as there is no such homogeneous entity as Organised Jewry, so too is there no such animal as the organised left. However, like Organised Jewry, many "left wing" organisations share broadly similar agendas, and for the most part they bury their differences when it comes to showing a united front against the common enemy, which is usually referred to as fascism. Needless to say, just as "anti-Semites" in the eyes of Organised Jewry includes anyone Jews don't like, the definition of fascist by the organised left extends to fringes, or even most of, the Conservative Party, the police, the judiciary, and all the other "lackeys" of the capitalist system. Left wing organisations often form popular fronts, and these are frequently interpreted by the far right as part of the communist conspiracy. If one accepts the broad definition of conspiracy as a "combination of persons for an evil or unlawful purpose", then this view is not unnecessarily paranoid. (1)

There are several left wing organisations in Britain that have been extremely active in opposing Holocaust Revisionism physically. There are probably many more in the United States, some in France, (2) and undoubtedly many others elsewhere. The "anti-fascist" movement in Germany is, as one might expect, very active, but as Holocaust Revisionism has been banned there, there is not much call for a street presence against Revisionism. (3) Nazism is illegal in Germany, the NSDAP-AO was banned there in 1974. (4) In September 1994 the *Jewish Chronicle* reported gloatingly that "Denying the Holocaust" in Germany can now attract a five year gaol term. (5)

The Far Left And The Board Of Deputies

The far left in Britain, as elsewhere, is, by and large, passionately anti-Zionist. This has often been an embarrassment to Organised Jewry, but like left

wing organisations generally, Jewish organisations are prepared to bury ideological differences when it suits them.

The Anti-Nazi League (ANAL) was founded in 1977. (6) Be that as it may, it is the world's worst kept secret that the Anti Nazi League is the popular front of the Socialist Workers Party. From the beginning the hard core of its activists and many of its sponsors have been SWP members. The Socialist Workers Party (formerly the International Socialists) was founded by Ygael Gluckstein, who uses the name Tony Cliff when passing himself off as a British "worker". Many of the SWP's leading members have been and remain Jews. That being said, the State of Israel certainly has no friends in the SWP.

In its April 1992 issue, *Searchlight* accused the SWP of perpetuating its "own brand of antisemitism" because it had had the audacity to attack the State of Israel for its barbaric treatment of the Palestinians.

In 1973, an editorial in *Socialist Worker* said of political Zionism that "Its essence is that a 'chosen people', the Jews, are superior to everyone else and can and should trample on the rights of other peoples". (7) That is certainly the case, however, there are rich pickings to be found among well-to-do Jews whose paranoia about "Nazis" is easily exploited by professional "anti-fascists", so Jewish businessmen have been targeted by ANAL fund-raisers.

In March 1979, it was reported that the Board of Deputies had complained - not for the first time - about the dissemination of anti-Zionist propaganda at ANAL meetings. (8) Allegations of anti-Semitism against confirmed leftists and "anti-racists" still rear their heads now and again, such is the whining corruption of Organised Jewry. A good example was the hue and cry raised against the British actress Vanessa Redgrave in the United States in the late seventies. Redgrave, whose politics are every bit as red as her hair, had long identified herself with the "oppressed" Palestinians, and drew a great deal of flak when she was chosen by CBS TV to play the part of a Holocaust "survivor" in a major anti-Nazi feature, *Playing For Time*. The subject of the three hour dramatisation, Fania Fenelon (Goldstein), condemned Red Vanessa as anti-Semitic. (9) Her ludicrous allegations were mimicked by Organised Jewry throughout the United States.

Another confirmed "anti-racist" is "Red" Ken Livingstone. Livingstone is quite a character; he has the outward appearance of an English gentleman, is highly cultured, accented and well-mannered, and would not be out of place as a member of the landed gentry, yet when he was the leader of the long departed

Greater London Council (GLC) he made a reputation for dishing out public money left, right and centre to every loony leftist cause under the sun, from the "wimmin's movement" to ethnic groups to the organised homosexual movement for the production and dissemination of queer porn. (10)

Livingstone's passionate opposition to anti-Semitism didn't stop him being smeared as an anti-Semite, or Organised Jewry trying to drag him into court for "inciting racial hatred" against those wonderful people who gave you Sabra and Shatila. (11)

Left Wing Violence Against "Zionists"

After the left wing conference *Marxism 93*, a large format leaflet was issued by an organisation calling itself the Campaign Against Violence in the Labour Movement. This contained some interesting cameos indeed, none more so than the following, which was reported by a correspondent named Mark Sandell:

"At 1.35PM ON Friday 16 July I was petitioning and leafleting about violence at *Marxism '93*' outside the final rally...Very quickly I was surrounded by eight *Socialist Worker* sellers...I was threatened and some of the group stood in front of me to stop me talking to passers-by...an older man started a row with me, saying over and over 'are you calling me an anti-semite?' He told me that he was Jewish. I told him that I did not call him an anti-semite but that I thought the logic of the SWP's politics was to be systematically hostile to most Jewish people and that the logic of that politics was anti-semitic. He did not want to discuss this at all. He repeated over and over 'you can't call me anti-semitic'. He grabbed my shirt and twisted it in his fist up to my throat. Then he tore the leaflets out of my hand and grabbed my petition." (12) Poor Mr Sandell claimed that he then received a kicking from this group of "anti-fascist" thugs and ended his letter thus: "when I protested at the violence [they replied] 'You deserve it, you f*cking Zionist'. When I complained, other SWP members repeated this sentiment."

This is what these people do to each other; one can only imagine what they would do to Revisionists and "fascists" if they ever came to power.

ANAL, The 43 Group And Associated Thugs

In 1993, ANAL published a short anti-Revisionist pamphlet called *HOLOCAUST DENIAL: THE NEW NAZI LIE*. It was not concerned with debating the Revisionists, primarily it was aimed at smearing them - of course - and raising cash and recruiting new members. One of the sponsors of this pamphlet was Morris Beckman. On page 2, Beckman is credited thus: "of the '43 Group of Jewish anti-fascist fighters which helped to destroy Mosley's fascist organisation after the war." (13)

If ever there was a case of gilding the lily, this is it. In 1992, former street thug Beckman published his fanciful biography of the 43 Group. Although gratuitously inaccurate in places, in other places - and often the same places - Beckman's book is so candid that it is embarrassing. Notwithstanding the fantasy of their having destroyed Mosley's Union Movement, the 43 Group certainly succeeded on many occasions in shutting down fascist meetings and denying "fascists" their democratic rights, and always by violence or the threat of violence. The following are extracts from Beckman's book. (14)

Page 31 "By early summer 1946, between six and ten fascist meetings per week were being attacked by the Group." (15)

Page 31 "...a team of hard men would jump from taxis and demand the literature [from the fascist paper sellers]...Those who refused [to hand it over] were beaten up..."

Page 78: "'Go! Go! Go!'

We went at our fascists and they fought back hard. It was a savage few minutes...'Don't pissball about - hurt the bastards!' [yelled one of the *anti-fascists*.]"

Page 88: Beckman boasts of using forged tickets to get into fascist meetings to cause disruption. They might at least have had the decency to pay on the door.

Page 89: "In October 1947 the Group was attacking an average of fifteen outdoor meetings every week, and by whatever means causing more than half to close down prematurely." (16)

Page 126: Beckman boasts of putting Jeffrey Hamm in Brighton hospital with a fractured jaw. (17)

Page 151: Jeffrey Hamm was speaking at a street meeting standing on top of a van and "Hamm stared down contemptuously at the struggling mob below and gave the fascist salute, a ploy always calculated to goad opponents. He succeeded only too well - a piece of brick hit him on the side of the head and he fell on top of the van, unconscious."

Page 167: Beckman boasts of his co-racialists assaulting Jeffrey Hamm and a German house guest in his own home in the process of stealing a file containing the names of members of his organisation.

The 43 Group folded in 1950; (18) the current writer was informed by people active in Mosley's movement at the time that this was due to its method of extracting "donations" from its Jewish supporters rather than to the fascist movement collapsing. (19) In 1996, the group held a reunion fifty years on; another prominent member, Gerry Flamborg, stated candidly that: "We infiltrated the Fascists. We closed 90 per cent of their meetings. Thugs were what we were fighting, and we proved we were more ruthless than what they were." (20)

One of the speakers at this reunion was the Jewish "historian" (and intellectual prostitute) David Cesarani. Formerly Director of the prestigious Wiener Library, Cesarani was one of the people behind the ANAL pamphlet. Cesarani said of Beckman's hate filled screeds that it was "A marvellous book. I read it in one sitting and found myself enthralled." (21)

Cesarani is an even bigger scumbag than the man he obviously admires so much, Beckman. He is the author of a book on alleged Nazi war criminals called *JUSTICE DELAYED*; one of the photographs in this book is credited to *Searchlight* magazine. Cesarani actually refers to "The authoritative anti-fascist magazine *Searchlight*". (22) The reader is referred to pages 47-51 for a proper appraisal of this anti-British, anti-white, race-hate organisation. The fact that Cesarani exhibits such appalling judgment about both self-professed street thug Morris Beckman and the perfidious *Searchlight* Organisation proves that his "researches" are not to be trusted. As well as being both a professional Jewish propagandist and apologist he has a far stronger commitment to ideology than truth. Assuming that he has any commitment to truth at all. (23)

In 1993, a London newspaper reported that Cesarani had told an audience that "FRESH evidence has been unearthed revealing that more Nazi war criminals have settled in Britain than was first thought". (24) So where is this "evidence", David? I could write more about Professor Cesarani but the above should be sufficient. I will though return to Morris Beckman and contrast Cesarani's open admiration for this self-professed street thug with the condemnations of two decent Jews.

In March 1996, the *Jewish Chronicle* published a story about the fiftieth anniversary reunion of the 43 Group. (David Cesarani was one of the speakers at this meeting). One former member was quoted by the paper thus: "We infiltrated the Fascists. We closed 90 per cent of their meetings. Thugs were what we were fighting, and we proved we were more ruthless than what they were." (25) [See also previous page].

The following month, the paper published a letter from Beckman in which he endorsed the same gratuitous violence and repeated his boring chant that: "We felt obliged to defend the Jewish community from mounting physical and verbal abuse...It was the Fascists whom the 43 Group attacked, exposed and totally destroyed after a four-year street war." (26)

Not all Jews were impressed with this fiery rhetoric though, and two old campaigners also had letters published under the same heading. Writing from Melbourne, Australia, AJEX member (27) Ephraim Briskman, reported that at outdoor meetings during the 30s, "Trained Jewish speakers were able effectively to demolish the Fascist 'case' and to deal with anti-Jewish questions. Frequently, they were assaulted, and at times they required police protection. But they never gave up...After the war...the Association of Jewish Ex-Servicemen and Women...masterminded a similar campaign." He says this was very effective and that the 43 Group should have adopted a similar policy. "In our violent world, Jews may sometimes be compelled to use violence in self-defence...But so long as we live in a democratic society, the use of violence can only be counter-productive." (28)

Henry Morris, also of AJEX, wrote that his was "a responsible organisation, constrained to act within the law..." and of the 43 Group he said: "They are entitled to celebrate the 50th anniversary of their brief spell of self-gratification, earned while indulging in punch-up politics with Oswald Mosley's Fascists. History will determine whether they achieved anything lasting and really

worthwhile." (29) It is obvious from the tone of Mr Morris's letter that he has already made his judgment.

For the record, even Organised Jewry were concerned about the activities of the 43 Group, and it was Organised Jewry rather than the supposed total destruction of the "fascist menace" which led to its disbanding.

In its February 4, 1949 edition (page 12), the *Jewish Chronicle* published an article entitled *STUPID TACTICS*, which referred to "unseemly disturbances" in Kensington when "an irresponsible group of young Jews took upon themselves the task of organising a counter-demonstration to a fascist meeting". Sixteen months later, such "unseemly disturbances" were no longer to be tolerated. The *Jewish Chronicle* reported on page 14 of the June 16, 1950 issue *43 GROUP DISBANDS*. The tone of this article is far from complimentary: "On several occasions we have been compelled to call attention to the unhappy consequences of their misguided conduct." While on page 19, an article with the slightly different title *43 GROUP DISBAND* makes no mention of destroying the fascists, but does make it clear that the 43 Group was disbanded under pressure from AJEX and Organised Jewry generally. (We should at least give them credit for that).

Centerprise

A few words here about Morris Beckman's publisher, Centerprise. This organisation calls itself a "community project". As well as publishing "radical" literature, it runs a bookshop and a café in Hackney, North London. In 1994, the current writer brought a libel action against Centerprise (and others) and received an out-of-court settlement of £2,300 from Centerprise Trust. As part of its rolled-up plea, Centerprise had pleaded "innocent dissemination", (30) so in the spring of 1995 I visited the Centerprise bookshop to see the kind of literature it stocked. I found on sale queer porn of the vilest sort, and left wing hate literature including an issue of *Class War* which contained direct incitements to murder Britain's judges, and a newspaper called *Green Anarchist* which gloated over the murder of three French policemen and incited the murder of British policemen.

Shocking as is this sort of hate literature, it is even more shocking that Centerprise Trust is a registered charity and receives the bulk of its income from the ratepayer and the taxpayer! (31) The people who run the Centerprise Trust, bookshop and "community project" are typical left wing "anti-racists" and, along with Organised Jewry, make up the hard core of the anti-Revisionist movement. The sort of filth and poison they peddle - including direct incitements to murder - gives the lie to their apparent concern for Jews and other minorities and their incessant carping on about both the Holocaust and the evils of so-called *racism*.

More ANAL Uglies

In 1978, a decade and a half before its smear pamphlet on Holocaust Revisionists, ANAL was itself the subject of a pamphlet, an excellent documented exposé produced by the National Front called *Lifting the lid off the 'Anti Nazi League'*. (32) The pamphlet's thesis is one that has stood the test of time, namely that ANAL's real purpose is not to fight the mythical Nazi menace but to build a popular front against capitalism. Among the interesting snippets of information garnered by the Front's research department is that in July 1978, Richard Wainwright, the Liberal MP for Colne Valley and one time ANAL sponsor, withdrew all support for the organisation "because of the lack of any democratically elected management controlling it". This is, as the pamphlet points out, "a rather strange state of affairs in an organisation which is supposedly in existence to 'defend democracy'" (33) Two of the organisation's then many sponsors were Denis Lemon, editor of the homosexual newspaper *Gay News*, and Peter Hain, who went on to become a Labour MP. We will now take a brief look at both men.

Lemon died July 11, 1994, of an AIDS-related illness, unsurprisingly. (34) Born in 1945, Denis Edward Lemon was co-founder as well as the editor of *Gay News*, which first reared its ugly head in 1972. In June 1976, issue 96, the paper published a "poem" by an academic, Professor James Kirkup, *The Love That Dares To Speak Its Name*. (35) This "poem" described homosexual acts performed by a Roman soldier (a centurion) on the body of the dead Christ. When

this "poem" came to the attention of the Christian right, it caused outrage, none more so than with the well-known "anti-obscenity" campaigner Mary Whitehouse, who initiated a private prosecution for blasphemous libel - the first since 1921 - against *Gay News* and its editor. (This will probably be the last such prosecution in Britain). Whatever one may think of Mary Whitehouse and her ilk, and however repulsive Libertarians may find any form of censorship, including anti-blasphemy laws, this "poem" was undoubtedly both blasphemous and obscene by any reasonable definition of the words.

The Kirkup "poem", which appeared on page 26 of the paper, contains the following edifying lines:

"I knew he'd had it off with other men -
with Herod's guards, with Pontius Pilate,
with John the Baptist..."

The author goes on to describe homosexual acts performed by the centurion which, even if relating to live persons, would be grotesque beyond belief, but such acts performed on a corpse, and the corpse of Jesus Christ at that, would turn the stomachs of all but the most depraved and corrupt of human beings. The blasphemy of Salman Rushdie many years later (which led to worldwide hysteria and a *fatwa* against the offending author), was positively benign in comparison.

The trial of *Gay News* and Denis Lemon opened on July 4, 1977. Interestingly, the judge, Mr Justice King-Hamilton, was President of West London Synagogue. He was said to have been "strongly prejudiced against homosexuality". (36) [It's a pity there aren't more Jews like him]. Another Jew, the distinguished journalist and critic Bernard Levin, appeared for the defence, (on purely Libertarian grounds). (37) Levin had obviously been misled about the nature of *Gay News*. Leaving aside the absurd oxymoronic suggestion that there can be such a thing as a respectable homosexual publication, the same issue which published this blasphemous "poem" also published an article on paedophilia called *A KIND OF LOVING*, which some people - parents in particular - may consider even more offensive than the Kirkup "poem" in some ways.

A KIND OF LOVING appeared in the *Personal Comment* column on page 13 and was written by a self-professed paedophile in defence of his perversion.

At the end of the article the editor (presumably Lemon) has written (in italics): "The author of this article wished his name to appear but, on legal advice, has reluctantly agreed that the piece must be published anonymously." Anyone who has studied dedicated homosexual publications - as has the current writer in the course of his various researches - will realise that both the Kirkup "poem" and *A KIND OF LOVING* reflect the spirit and the ethos of the organised homosexual movement worldwide, a movement whose leaders and rank and file regard themselves as dedicated "anti-fascists", and who have been and remain in the forefront of the anti-Revisionist movement.

Returning to the *Gay News* trial, in spite of the high-powered and high profile defence campaign, both Lemon and *Gay News* were convicted. Lemon was fined £500 and given a suspended sentence; *Gay News* was fined a thousand pounds and ordered to pay costs. The appeal went all the way to the House of Lords where Lemon had his suspended sentence quashed. In February 1982, Lemon sold *Gay News*. He spent the last years of his life more or less as a recluse. In catering of all things, (would you buy a salt beef sandwich from this man?)

Peter Hain may be neither a faggot nor a convicted blasphemer, but in his own way he is just as ugly as Denis Lemon. In 1978, he was ANAL's press officer, and he didn't much like what the National Front pamphlet had to say about him, in particular its exposing his eulogy for a terrorist murderer in his native South Africa. He sued for libel; the jury didn't think much of Hain either, and although they found in his favour he was awarded a derisory five pounds damages. (38)

An Anti-Semitic Outrage That Never Was

In 1992, ANAL was caught with its hands in the till and came under fire from Jews in Brighton. After the desecration of the town's Florence Road Jewish cemetery, three hundred people took part in an ANAL sponsored march which was addressed by Brighton's Jewish mayor. The cemetery was said to have been daubed with swastikas (which had been conveniently removed by the gardener). These swastikas obviously never existed, and neither did the gardener because the cemetery didn't employ one! (39) One can only wonder how many

other such anti-Semitic "outrages" are whipped up out of thin air. And how many shekels they bring into the coffers of organisations such as the Anti Nazi League.

Incitements To Violence And Photomontages

The aforementioned pamphlet, *Lifting the lid off the 'Anti Nazi League'*, reproduces a leaflet distributed in June 1978 in Bristol which it says constitutes "a direct incitement to violence against National Front members", as it certainly does. Such literature is a poor advertisement for an organisation which would have the public believe that it is a guardian of democracy and an opponent of political violence and intolerance.

On page 20 of the very same pamphlet another typical dirty trick of the "anti-fascist" left is shown. An Anti-Nazi League poster is reproduced in which a National Front banner - held by marchers - is displayed with swastikas painted on and a portrait of Adolf Hitler superimposed on the whole. While the poster is obviously a montage, the swastikas painted on the Union Jack banner would pass a cursory inspection. Eighteen and more years on, the manufacture and manipulation of both images and propaganda by the Anti Nazi League and its fellow travellers has in places become far more sophisticated, but the message remains the same.

ANAL's Latest Mischief Making

In 1996, ANAL published an eight page pamphlet entitled *SACK THIS RACIST: NEVER AGAIN!* The racist concerned is Christopher Brand, an academic based at Edinburgh University. Brand has done the unthinkable for an academic, he has claimed that the races differ in innate intelligence, in

particular that blacks are less intelligent than whites, and has published a book which says the same, *The g Factor*.

If the account given in this pamphlet is half-correct (an optimistic suggestion), Christopher Brand is not a particularly pleasant individual. The current writer has not read Brand's book and has no intention of reading it. I will say though that, having observed in great detail over the years the way whites (including Jews) behave when this subject is raised, the question of *Aryan* "superiority" does seem incredibly doubtful. In any case, the question of what constitutes intelligence, how much of it is due to nature, how much to nurture and so on, is extremely complex and, like the meaning of life, can be debated endlessly.

What is amusing here though is the hysteria and moral outrage generated by this noisy, vociferous minority. And the inherent dishonesty of this pamphlet. A picture of Brand appears on the front cover; beneath it is - yes, you guessed - a group of concentration camp inmates standing behind barbed wire. On page 3 appear a number of quotes from BNP Führer John Tyndall, two of them dating to 1976 and one of them appearing as long ago as 1961, from his pamphlet *The Authoritarian State*, when Tyndall was an outright Nazi.

Thus we are informed that in November 1976, Tyndall told - or was quoted by - the *Sunday Mirror* thus: "There are a great deal of people who have democratic rights who should not have them." And, it would appear, that there are a great deal of people who don't have democratic rights who should, including you, JT, for the sub-heading under which this and the other quotes appear is *No platform for racists & fascists*.

The Searchlight Organisation

In the mid-seventies, a so-called anti-fascist magazine called *Searchlight* was founded. The pilot issue dated February 1975 was available by subscription only, bore the subtitle *Expose the Racists and Extremists*, and boasted the legend: *DEFEND DEMOCRACY*. Over the years, *Searchlight* has become increasingly concerned with the struggle against Holocaust Revisionism, not with attempt-

ing to refute the Revisionists' arguments, but with suppressing both the arguments and the people who espouse them.

An enormous mythology has been built up around both *Searchlight* magazine and its parent organisation, but self-praise is no praise at all, and almost all the praise heaped on *Searchlight* and the evil people behind it has come, directly or indirectly, from its controllers. It has been claimed variously that the *Searchlight* Organisation was founded as early as May 1964 (40) or even 1962. (41) In reality, the *Searchlight* Association Limited was incorporated as a "COMPANY LIMITED BY GUARANTEE AND NOT HAVING A SHARE CAPITAL". Its "Memorandum of Association" (840295) is stamped by Companies House "REGISTERED - 9 MAR 1965". The original directors were Reginald Fresson, Maurice Orbach, Benjamin Mark Goodman, Baron Moss, Frederic (or Frederick) Leonard Tonge, Philip Ian Page and William Douglas McClelland. The company secretary was Norman James Stenner. (42)

Between 1965 and 1967 it published four issues of a broadsheet; what it did between 1967 and 1974 remains something of a mystery, although it probably continued in an ad hoc fashion supplying disinformation to the media about the international Nazi conspiracy so beloved of its controllers. It certainly filed accounts up until 1971, (43) but was dissolved under Section 353(3) of the Companies Act 1948 by notice in the *London Gazette* dated 3 OCT 1975.

In 1974, A.F. & R. Publications put out *A WELL-OILED NAZI MACHINE: An Analysis of the Growth of the Extreme Right in Britain*. This was the publication which really launched *Searchlight* in its present form; written by Maurice Ludmer and Gerry Gable - both racial Jews - the pamphlet claimed, among other things, that "Recently, journalists and MPs were sent copies of a magazine...entitled 'The Lie of the Six Million'..."

Actually, this magazine/pamphlet was the now world (in)famous *Did Six Million Really Die?* The story of its distribution was revealed in an article *Secret of a house called Heidelberg*, by Andrew Fyall, which appeared in the *Daily Express*, June 17, 1974, page 8. Page 16 of *A Well-Oiled Nazi Machine* concludes no "freedom of speech" for *racialists* ad nauseum. Which gives the liars of the "anti-racist" left, and Jewish hatemongers such as Gable a monopoly. (44)

Maurice Ludmer visited Belsen as a serviceman in 1946; Gable was born in January 1937 so didn't have that dubious pleasure. Although the *Searchlight* team have never been slow in branding Revisionists liars, they have never been

shy about telling a few lies about the Holocaust themselves, as the reader will now see.

In its September 1976 issue, in an attack on Revisionism, *Searchlight* published a photograph of a group of concentration camp survivors standing naked in front of a pile of bodies. This sort of emotive photograph is often used as *proof* that the Nazis exterminated (or gassed) Jews. In reality, this photograph is a proven fake. It is a fake because there are at least two radically different versions of it; both versions are reproduced in *Did Six Million Really Die?* As the *Searchlight* article in question is an attack on this pamphlet, its authors can hardly have been unaware of this. (45)

In issue 30 (undated but published circa late 1977), *Searchlight* published an article called *NAZI ROEDER IN BRITAIN FOR SPEAKING TOUR*. At the time, Manfred Roeder was awaiting trial in Frankfurt for distributing a pamphlet written by former SS man Thies Christophersen: *AUSCHWITZ: TRUTH OR LIE*. (46)

This anti-Revisionist article reproduces a famous photograph of a bulldozer shovelling bodies into a mass grave. In reality, this photograph was taken at Belsen on April 17, 1945; as we have already pointed out, there were no *gas chambers* at Belsen (47) and today only the ill-informed or the mischievous would make such a claim; (48) undoubtedly a number of atrocities and brutalities were committed at Belsen in the last few months of the war, but these were not *exterminations*. (49)

Professor Butz has written, incisively, that "It is, I believe, Belsen which has always constituted the effective, mass propaganda *proof* of exterminations, and even today you will find such scenes occasionally waved around as *proof*". (50) Ironically, far from lending any credence to the Exterminationist hypothesis, the terrible scenes found at Belsen actually support the Revisionist thesis! When the British arrived at Belsen, they found ten thousand bodies lying around the camp. (51) It took several days for the British to dispose of all these bodies, which could not be incinerated but were simply shoved into the ground using a bulldozer, hence those terrible emotive photographs. Yet we are told in many publications (including official publications) that at the Auschwitz complex even larger numbers of bodies could be disposed of in a single day! (52)

Returning to *Searchlight*, the July 1982 issue contained an article called *RECAP No. 4: DENYING THE HOLOCAUST*. This article made the specious

claim that Paul Rassinier, one of the pioneers of Holocaust Revisionism, was "infused with a deep anti-semitism". Although it admitted that Rassinier had himself been interned in Buchenwald, it was claimed too that he was never in the extermination section of the camp. The implication is that Jews were exterminated (ie gassed) in Buchenwald. In reality, it is not claimed now that they were any gas chambers in this camp. (53)

In its November 6, 1987 issue, the *Jewish Chronicle* published an article *Put war criminals on trial*. (54) This article linked in with the (later discredited) Wiesenthal Center allegations - previously discussed - and reported the launch of a campaign at Manchester University by the "Union of Jewish Students/Searchlight War Crimes Campaign". One of the people featured in this article was none other than *Searchlight* head honcho Gerry Gable, who was quoted thus: "The heads of the American French and Israeli legal services departments agree the Soviet government has never produced forged documents in these cases." (55)

This is palpable nonsense as we have already seen, (see page 31), but, in view of Gable's well-documented propensity to lie gratuitously, one is entitled to ask if the Soviet Union's *apparatchiks* were the only forgers at work here. The previous month, Gable himself was reported to have been beavering away behind the Iron Curtain. The London *Daily Mail* said he had made "a breakthrough' after weeks in Moscow and the Latvian capital Riga, examining official files about Paul Reinharde, a leading figure in London's Latvian community." (56)

Again, we have already seen that Organised Jewry in Britain have rejected these allegations in total - or at the very least they have been allowed to quietly fade from view; Gable's investigation came to nothing, exactly the same way all the other witch hunts and wild goose chases this hate-filled little Jew has led the police, "anti-fascists", the media and his own kind on these past twenty and more years. These include Column 88, the so-called Nazi Underground, which turned out to be a bunch of pillocks parading around in Nazi uniforms and coal scuttle helmets; the mythical plot to bomb the 1981 Notting Hill Carnival which Gable's *agent provocateur* - Ray Hill - incited; and a plot, supposedly, to kidnap and murder Gable himself.

Gable's most cynical lies though have been reserved for the Jews themselves; totally without shame, he led the *Jewish Chronicle* up the garden path the same time he was fantasising about Nazis in Riga. His October 23, 1987 interview

with that newspaper is a tissue of lies which the paper could have refuted from its own archive if its staff had had the will. (57)

Gable got a sort of comeuppance in April 1995 when he was forced to issue an apology to Colin Jordan. For the benefit of overseas readers and those who are not *au fait* with extremist politics, Colin Jordan is Britain's leading Nazi, and has been since 1956. (58) In 1976, Jordan brought criminal libel proceedings against *Searchlight's* then editor Maurice Ludmer in connection with the April 1975 issue of the magazine and the pamphlet *A Well-Oiled Nazi Machine*, which Gable co-wrote. (59) In both these publications Ludmer (and Gable) had accused Jordan of masterminding an arson campaign against London synagogues in the 1960s.

Criminal libel is a rarity in English law, and Jordan's summons was dismissed, but when Gable lied through his teeth about Jordan again, in the August 1994 issue of *Searchlight*, the fearless Führer took him to the Press Complaints Commission instead, and his complaint was upheld. Gable tried to make light of his apology in the April 1995 issue, but the fact remains that he was forced to issue an apology to Britain's most notorious Nazi. (60) It is a damning indictment of everything the Searchlight Organisation claims to stand for that Gable cannot find something unpleasant to say about someone as notorious as Colin Jordan that is also true.